Huygens Institute - Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW)
Citation:
J. Wolff, Series of analytical functions, in: KNAW, Proceedings, 22 II, 1920, Amsterdam, 1920, pp. 656-660
This PDF was made on 24 September 2010, from the 'Digital Library' of the Dutch History of Science Web Center (www.dwc.knaw.nl) > 'Digital Library > Proceedings of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW), http://www.digitallibrary.nl'

Mathematics. — "Series of analytical functions". By Prof. J. Wolff. (Communicated by Prof. L. E. J. Brouwer).

(Communicated at the meeting of September 29, 1918).

Osgood's theorem: "If the series  $f_1 + f_2 + \ldots$ , all the terms whereof are analytical functions within a region T of the complex plane, is convergent at the points of a set  $(\beta)$  everywhere dense in T, and if besides,  $|f_1 + f_2 + \ldots + f_n| < G$  at every point of T (G being a constant), the series converges everywhere in T and there represents an analytical function" has been again demonstrated by Arzela 2).

VITALL 1) and PORTER 1) have extended the theorem by proving that it is sufficient if only the set  $(\beta)$  of the points where the series converges has an internal point of T for a limiting point.

Of the thus extended theorem a simple demonstration shall be given in the sequel.

1. To this end we suppose that the  $f_i$  are analytical in T, that in T everywhere  $|S_n| < G$  for every n, G being a constant, and that the series is convergent at the points  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots$ , having the internal point  $z_0$  of T for limiting point, and we shall prove that the series converges uniformly in every region lying with its boundary within T.

Now describe a circle (R) with centre  $z_0$  and radius R, lying in T. Let  $\beta_i$  be a point of  $(\beta)$  inside a circle  $(\frac{1}{2}R)$  with centre  $z_0$ , and let  $f(\beta_i)$  denote the sum of the series at  $(\beta_i)$ ,  $S_n(\beta_i)$  the sum of n terms, then

$$S_n(\beta_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{S_n(t)dt}{t-\beta_i}$$
 and  $f(\beta_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{n=\infty} \int_{(R)} \frac{S_n(t)dt}{t-\beta_i}$ 

If  $\beta_k$  denotes another point of  $(\beta)$  inside  $(\frac{1}{2}R)$ , then

$$|S_n(\beta_i)-S_n(\beta_k)|<\frac{4G}{R}|\beta_i-\beta_k|,$$

<sup>1)</sup> W. F. Osgood. Functions defined by infinite series. Annals of Mathematics, Series 2, Vol. 3, Oct. 1901, p. 26.

<sup>3)</sup> V. C. Arzela. Annals of Mathematics, Series 2, Vol. 5, 1904, p. 51.

<sup>3)</sup> G. VITALI. Sopra le serie di funzioni analitiche. Annali di Matematica, Serie 3a, tomo 10, 1904, p. 65.

<sup>4)</sup> M. B. Porter. Annals of Math. Series 2, Vol. 6, 1904-5, p. 45 and p. 190.

for every n, so that

$$|f(\beta_i)-f(\beta_k)|\leq \varepsilon$$

as soon as

$$|oldsymbol{eta}_i - oldsymbol{eta}_k| < rac{R arepsilon}{4 G},$$

whence it follows that  $f(\beta_i)$  tends to a limit  $f(z_0)$  as  $\beta_i$  tends to  $z_0$ . Let  $\eta$  denote an arbitrary positive number. For all sufficiently small values of  $|\beta_i - z_0|$  we then have:

$$|f(\beta_i) - f(z_0)| < \frac{\eta}{3}.$$

Also  $|S_n(\beta_i) - S_n(z_0)| < \frac{2G}{R} |\beta_i - z_0|$  for every n, so that for all sufficiently small values of  $|\beta_i - z_0|$  the relation

$$|S_n(eta_i) - S_n(z_o)| < \frac{\eta}{3}$$

holds, where n is arbitrary.

Now choose a  $\beta_i$  satisfying these two conditions, then from a certain n onwards we have:

$$|S_n(\beta_i)-f(\beta_i)|<\frac{\eta}{3}.$$

It follows thence that from this value of n onwards we have continually:

$$|S_n(z_0)-f(z_0)|<\eta,$$

from which we conclude to the convergence of the series at  $z_0$ . The sum there is  $f(z_0)$ .

At the same time it has become evident that

$$\lim_{\beta_i = z_0} f(\beta_i) = f(z_0)$$

2. Provisorily we consider n constant. Then for  $|z-z_{\bullet}| < \frac{1}{2}R$  we have:

$$S'_{n}(z_{0}) = \frac{S_{n}(z) - S_{n}(z_{0})}{z - z_{0}} + \psi_{n}(z),$$

where  $\lim_{z=z_0} \psi_n(z) = 0$ . The function  $\frac{S_n(z) - S_n(z_0)}{z-z_0}$  is analytical inside  $(\frac{1}{2}R)$ , its absolute value being less than  $\frac{2G}{R}$ . If n now is made to increase infinitely, this function tends to a limiting value at the points  $\beta_i$  and therefore, according to § 1, also at  $z_0$ . At  $z_0$  it has

for every n the value  $S_n'(z_0)$ . It follows thence that  $S_n'(z_0)$  tends to a limit  $f^{(1)}(z_0)$ .

Similarly

$$S''_{n}(z_{0}) = 2! \frac{S_{n}(z) - S_{n}(z_{0}) - \frac{z - z_{0}}{1} S'_{n}(z_{0})}{(z - z_{0})^{2}} + \Phi_{n}(z),$$

where  $\lim_{z = z_0} \Phi_n(z) = 0$ . The first term of the right-hand side is analytical

inside  $(\frac{1}{2}R)$ , its absolute value being less than  $\frac{4G}{R^2}$ , since  $\left|\frac{S_n(k)(z_0)}{k!}\right|$ , the absolute value of the coefficient of  $(z-z_0)^k$  in the development  $S_n(z) = S_n(z_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k (z-z_0)^k$ , is less than  $\frac{G}{R^k}$ . As n increases infinitely this function tends to a limit at the points  $\beta_i$  and therefore at  $z_0$  too, from which it follows that a limit

$$\lim_{n=\infty} S''_n(z_0) = f^{(2)}(z_0)$$

exists.

Thus pursuing we find that for every k a limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} S^{(k)}(z_0) := f^{(k)}(z_0).$$

exists.

3. For an arbitrary z inside  $(\frac{1}{2}R)$  we have:

$$S_n(z) = S_n(z_0) + (z - z_0) S'_n(z_0) + \ldots + \frac{(z - z_0)^k}{k!} S_n(k)(z_0) + \ldots$$
 (1)

For a fixed value of z the terms of this series, if n increases infinitely, tend to those of the series

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + (z - z_0) f^{(1)}(z_0) + \dots + \frac{(z - z_0)^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(z_0) + \dots$$
 (2)

which represents a function, analytical in  $(\frac{1}{2}R)$ , since  $\left|\frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{k!}\right| \leq \frac{G}{R^k}$ .

From  $\left|\frac{S_n(k)(z_a)}{k!}\right| < \frac{G}{R^k}$  it follows that the series (1) converges uniformly,

if the terms are considered as functions of the two independent variables z and n, at the points of the set  $|z-z_0| \le \frac{1}{2}R$ ,  $n=1,2,\ldots$ 

It follows from this that  $S_n$  converges uniformly to f inside  $(\frac{1}{2}R)$ .

4. Instead of  $\frac{1}{2}R$  as well  $\lambda R$  could have been chosen, where  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary positive number < 1. Hence  $S_n$  converges uniformly to an analytical function in the interior of every circle (R) lying wholly inside T. Let z be an arbitrary point in T, then z can be

enclosed within the last of a chain of circles, all lying within T, the first being (R) with centre  $z_0$  and every circle having its centre within the preceding one. Since the points where  $S_n$  converges condense towards the second centre,  $S_n$  converges uniformly throughout the second circle; similarly within all the following, hence in any circle with centre z which lies in T. Every region lying with its boundary within T can be covered by a *finite* number of such circles which involves the uniform convergence of  $S_n$  to an analytical function throughout  $\tau$ .

5. Lastly we shall give a simple proof of Osgood's original theorem. According to § 1, if  $S_n$  is convergent at the points of the set  $(\beta)$  which is everywhere dense in T, it converges everywhere throughout T and the limiting function f is continuous in T, whilst  $|f| \leq G$ . Now draw a circle (R) with centre  $z_0$ , lying altogether in T. If  $|z-z_0|$  is again  $< \frac{1}{2} R$ , then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{n=\infty} \int_{(R)}^{\infty} \frac{S_n(t)dt}{t-z} = \frac{R}{2\pi} \lim_{n=\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{S_n(t)e^{\theta t}d\theta}{t-z}, \quad t = z_0 + Re^{\theta t}.$$

We now make use of the self-evident extension to complex functions of the following theorem of Osgood:):

If a function  $\varphi_n(\theta)$ , continuous in the interval  $a \leq \theta \leq b$  for every n, converges to a function  $\varphi(\theta)$  which is continuous throughout this interval, and if, besides,  $|\varphi_n(\theta)| \leq G$  throughout the interval and for every n, G being a constant, then

$$\lim_{n=\infty}\int_{a}^{b}\varphi_{n}(\theta)\,d\theta=\int_{a}^{b}\varphi(\theta)\,d\theta.$$

If we put  $\varphi_n(\theta) = \frac{S_n(t) e^{\theta t}}{t-z}$ , then  $\varphi_n$  is continous in  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ ,

 $|\varphi_n| < \frac{2G}{R}$ , and  $\varphi(\theta) = \frac{f(t)e^{\theta t}}{t-z}$  is continous in  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ . Hence

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(R)} \frac{f(t) dt}{t - z}.$$

Since f is continuous on (R), it follows from this that f is analytical inside  $(\frac{1}{2}R)$ .

The same lemma can be used to prove in a simple way that  $S_n$  converges uniformly to f inside  $(\frac{1}{2} R)$ .

<sup>1)</sup> W. F. Osgood. On the non uniform convergence. Am. Journal of Math. 1897. For an extension vide H. Lebesgue, Leçons sur l'Intégration, p. 114.

For  $|z-z_0| \le \frac{1}{2}R$  viz. we have

$$|f(z)-S_n(z)| \leq rac{1}{\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} |f(t)-S_n(t)| d heta, \quad t=z_0+Re^{ heta_1}.$$

Here  $\varphi_n = |f(t) - S_n(t)|$  is continuous in  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$  and 2G;  $\varphi$  is zero. Hence

$$\lim_{n=\infty}\int_{0}^{2\pi}|f(t)-S_{n}(t)|\ d\theta=0.$$

For all sufficiently large values of n therefore  $|f-S_n|$  is less than a given number everywhere inside  $(\frac{1}{2}R)$ , that is to say,  $S_n$  converges uniformly to f inside  $(\frac{1}{2}R)$ .

By virtue of the same lemma we have

$$\lim_{n=\infty} S_n^{(k)}(z) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \lim_{n=\infty} \int_{(R)} \frac{S_n(t)dt}{(t-z)^{k+1}} = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_{(R)} \frac{f(t)dt}{(t-z)^{k+1}} = f^{(k)}(z),$$

whence it follows that the series may be differentiated termwise infinitely often.

Let C be a regular curve in T then, again by virtue of the above-mentioned lemma, we have

$$\int_C f(z)dz = \lim_{n=\infty} \int_C S_n(z)dz.$$

Hence, if C is closed and its points, as well as the points enclosed, are all internal points of T, then

$$\int_C f(z)dz = 0.$$

From this also it may be concluded, according to a theorem enunciated by Morera  $^{1}$ ), that f is analytical throughout T.

<sup>1)</sup> Reale Istituto Lombardo di Sc e lettere, Rendic., 2nd series, Vol. 19, 1886.