Huygens Institute - Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW)

Citation:

F.A.H. Schreinemakers, Equilibria in ternary systems. XVI, in: KNAW, Proceedings, 17 I, 1914, Amsterdam, 1914, pp. 169-182

This PDF was made on 24 September 2010, from the 'Digital Library' of the Dutch History of Science Web Center (www.dwc.knaw.nl) > 'Digital Library > Proceedings of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW), http://www.digitallibrary.nl'

at infinity of the x-axis and having the parabola Ψ_1 as directrix (i.e. enveloping the parabola Ψ_1) The parameter (of the perpendicular transverse section) of this cylinder is the reciprocal value of the weight g_x of the variable x.

The equipotential lines in z = 0 are the homothetic ellipses $[p_i V_i^s] = \text{const.}$ Such an ellipse is the locus of the points of equal ϵ .

When the (rigorous) equation of condition is: x = const. the parabola Ψ_1 is parallel to the plane x = 0 The tangent cylinder is then infinitely narrow; its parameter is 0, the weight of x is infinite.

Chemistry. — "Equilibria in ternary systems. XVI By Prof. F. A. H SCHREINEMAKERS.

(Communicated in the meeting of May 30, 1914).

Now we shall consider the case that the vapour contains two components

We assume that of the components A, B, and C only the component B is exceedingly little volatile, so that practically we may say that the vapour consists only of A and C. This is for instance the case when B is a salt, which is not volatile, and when A and C are solvents, as water, alcohol, etc

Theoretically the vapour consists only of A + B + C, herein the quantity of B is however exceedingly small in comparison with the quantity of A and C, so that the vapour consists practically totally of A and C.

When, however, we consider complexes in the immediate vicinity of the point B, the relations become otherwise. The solid or liquid substance has viz. always a vapour-pressure, although this is sometimes immeasurably small, therefore, a vapour exists however, which consists only of B, without A and C. When we now take a liquid or a complex in the immediate vicinity of point B, the quantity of B in the vapour is then still also large and is not to be neglected in comparison with that of A and C.

Consequently, when we consider equilibria, not situated in the vicinity of point B, then we may assume that the vapour consists only of A and C, when these equilibria are situated, however, in the immediate vicinity of point B, we must also take into consideration the volatility of B and we must consider the vapour as ternary.

When we consider only the occurrence of liquid and gas, then, as we have formerly seen, three regions may occur, viz. the gasregion, the liquid-region and the region L-G. This last region is separated by the liquid-curve from the liquid-region and by the vapour our from the vapour-region. As long as the liquid our is not situated in the vicinity of point B, the corresponding vapour-curve will be situated in the immediate vicinity of the side AC. Consequently the vapour-region is exceedingly small and is reduced just as the vapour our practically to a part of — or to the whole side AC. Therefore we shall call this vapour curve the straight vapourline of the region L - G in the following. Consequently we distinguish: within the triangle practically only two regions, which are separated by the liquid curve, viz. the liquid region and the region L - G; the first reaches to the point B, the latter to the side AC. The conjugation-lines liquid-gas end, therefore, all practically on the side AC.

When the liquidcurve comes, however, in the immediate vicinity of point B, so that there are liquids, which contain only exceedingly little A and C, then the quantity of B in the corresponding vapours will no more be negligible with respect to A and C. The vapourcurve will then also be situated further from the side AC, so that also the vapour-region becomes larger. At sufficient decrease of pressure or increase of temperature the vapour-region will cover even the entire component-triangle. In that case we must, therefore, certainly distinguish between the three regions, of which the movement, occurrence and disappearance have been treated already previously.

In order to deduce the equilibrium F + L + G, we may act now in the same way as we did before for a ternary vapour. We distinguish the following cases.

1. The solid substance is a ternary compound.

2. The solid substance is a binary compound of two volatile components.

3. The solid substance is a binary compound of one volatile and one non-volatile component.

4. The solid substance is one of the components.

1. We consider firstly the case sub 1, viz. that the solid substance is a ternary compound; this is for instance the case with the compound $Fe_{s}Cl_{a}$. 2HCl. 12H₂O.

Now we imagine for instance in fig. 7, 11, 12, or 13 (I) the component-triangle ABC to be drawn in such a way that the point F is situated within this triangle. Curve Min can then again represent the saturation curve under its own vapour pressure of F,

the corresponding vapourcurve M_1m_1 is then, however, no more a curve situated within the triangle ABC, but it becomes a straight line, which is situated on one of the sides of the triangle. We shall call this line the straight vapourline of the compound F. When Aand C are the two volatile components, then this straight vapourline is situated on the side AC. As not a single liquid of curve Mm can be in equilibrium with a vapour, which consists of pure A or of pure C, the points A and C can never be situated on the straight vapourline. From this follows: the straight vapourline of the ternary compound F covers only partly the side AC and in such a way that it covers neither A nor B.

2. The solid substance is a binary compound, of two volatile components. We take a binary compound F of B and C (fig. 1) so that B and C now represent the two volatile components and A the non-volatile component.

In order to deduce the saturation curve under its own vapourpressure we may act again in the same way as we did before for the general case. For this we take a definite temperature T and a pressure P in such a way that no vapour can be formed and the isotherm consists only of the saturation curve of F. This is represented in fig. 1 by pq.

At decrease of P the region L-G occurs; such a region is represented in fig. 1 by $Cdee_1$ with the liquid-curve de and the straight vapourline Ce_1 . The liquid e is in equilibrium with the vapour e_1 , the liquid d with the vapour C and with each liquid of curve ed a definite vapour of the straight vapourline Ce_1 is in equilibrium.

We may distinguish three cases with respect to the occurrence of this region L-G.

a. In the equilibrium L - G of the binary system BC a point of maximum pressure occurs. The heterogeneous region L - G arises in a point of the side BC.

b. In the equilibrium L - G of the binary system BC a point of minimum-pressure occurs; one heterogeneous region arises in B and one in C, which come together at decrease of P in a point of BC.

c. In the equilibrium L-G of the binary system BC neither a point of maximum- nor a point of minimum pressure occurs; the heterogeneous region arises in B or in C.

Here we consider only the last case and we assume in this case that C is more volatile than B; after this the reader can easily

3



deduce the two other cases. At decrease of P the heterogeneous region arises, therefore, in the angular point C (fig. 1) and it expands, while curve pq changes of course its form and position, over the triangle. Under a definite pressure the terminatingpoint e of the liquidcurve coincides with the terminatingpoint p of the saturation curve, under a definite other pressure ecoincides with q.

When e coincides with q, we may imagine in fig. 1 that the liquidcurve is represented by qq'_{2} or by qq'_{1} ; in the latter case it intersects the curve qp, in the first

case it is situated outside this curve. When e coincides with p, we may imagine that the liquidcurve is represented either by pf (fig. 1) or by a curve, not drawn in the figure, which intersects pq. Now we shall examine which of these cases may occur.

To the equilibrium between a ternary liquid x, y, 1-x-y, and a binary vapour $y_1, 1-y_1$ the conditions are true:

Let us firstly consider the region L - G in the immediate vicinity of the point C. As x, y, and y_1 are then infinitely small, we put:

$$Z = U + RTx \log x + RTy \log y \text{ and } Z_1 = U_1 + RTy_1 \log y_1$$

The two conditions (1) pass then into:

$$U - x \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - U_1 + y_1 \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial y_1} - RT (x + y - y_1) = 0 \quad . \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + RT \log y = \frac{\partial U_1}{\partial y_1} + RT \log y_1 \dots \dots \dots$$
(3)

Under a pressure P_C the region L-G in fig. 1 consists only of the point C, and, therefore, x = 0, y = 0 and $y_1 = 0$; then the unary equilibrium: liquid C + vapour C occurs. This is fixed by $Z = Z_1$ or $U = U_1$, wherein x = 0, y = 0 and $y_1 = 0$.

Let in fig. 1 the region *Cdee*, make its appearance under a pressure $P_C + dP$; the points e_1 , e_2 , and d are then situated in the imme-

172

vicinity of C, now we equate $x = \xi$, $y = \eta$ and $y_1 = \eta_1$. From ollows:

where K is a constant fixed by (3). When we assume, as g, 1, that C is more volatile than B, the point e_1 is situated een C and e and K is, therefore, smaller than 1.

we equate in (1) $P = P_C + dP$, $x = \xi$, $y = \eta$ and $y_1 = \eta_1$; the point $C U = U_1$ is satisfied, it follows, that:

$$-RT[\xi + \eta - \eta_{1}] + [V - V_{1}] dP = 0$$

$$\xi + (1-K)\eta = -\frac{V_1 - V}{RT}dP. \qquad (5)$$

the immediate vicinity of the angular point C (fig. 1) curve ed is, fore, a straight small line. We find from (5) for the length of varts Cd and Ce:

$$C d = -\frac{V_1 - V}{RT} dP \text{ and } C e = -\frac{V_1 - V}{RT (1 - K)} dP \dots \qquad (6)$$

 $V_1 - V > 0$ and 1 - K > 0, it follows from (6) that Cd and Ce positive, when dP is negative. At decrease of pressure curve cd therefore, within the triangle. From (6) follows: Cd: Ce = (1 - K): as $K = \eta_1: \eta = Ce_1: Ce$, we find: $Cd = ee_1$.

order to examine the liquidcurves going through the points 1 q (fig. 1) in the vicinity of these points, we put in (1):

ien find:

$$U - x \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - (y - y_1) \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - RTx - Z_1 = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial Z_1}{\partial y_1}. \quad (8)$$

: the liquidcurve of the region L-G we find from this:

$$[xr + (y-y_1)s + RT] dx + [xs + (y-y_1)t] dy = 0 \quad . \quad . \quad (9)$$

: the direction of this liquidcurve in its end on the side BC fore x = 0) we find:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{(y-y_1)s + RT}{(y-y_1)t} \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (10)$$

ien we call φ the angle, which this tangent forms with the BC (taken in the direction from B towards C), we have, when agine the componenttriangle rectangular in C:

$$tg \varphi = \frac{(y - y_1) t}{(y - y_1) s + RT} \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (11)$$

For the saturation curve under a constant pressure of F; consequently for curve pq, we find:

$$Z - x \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x} + (\beta - y) \frac{\partial Z}{\partial y} - \zeta = 0 \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (12)$$

or after substitution of the value of Z from (7):

$$[xr + (y - \beta)s + RT] dx + [xs + (y - \beta)t] dy = 0 \quad . \quad (13)$$

When we call ψ the angle which forms the tangent in p or q with the side BC (taken in the direction from B towards C_{j} we tind:

$$tg \psi = \frac{(y-\beta) t}{(y-\beta) s + RT} \quad \cdots \quad \cdots \quad \cdots \quad (14)$$

Let us now consider these two tangents in the point p of fig. 1. In this point $y_{-3} < 0$ and $y_{-y_1} > 0$.

The denominators of (11) and (14) have, therefore, either opposite sign or they are both positive, so that we may distinguish three cases. In each of these cases we find $\varphi < \psi$; the liquidcurve of the region *L*-*G* and the saturation curve of *F* under a constant pressure are, therefore, situated in the vicinity of point *p* with respect to one another in the same way as the curves *pf* and *pq* in fig 1.

Curve pf can also no more intersect curve pq in its further course; we may see this also in the following way.

- At decrease of P the two curves must touch one another under a definite pressure P_h somewhere in a point h within the componenttriangle; therefore imagining the liquidcurve of this pressure P_h to be represented by ed (fig. 1), we must imagine ed to be drawn in such a way that it touches pq in h. For this point $h \frac{dy}{dx}$ from (9)

must be equal to $\frac{dy}{dx}$ from (13); then holds:

$$\frac{xr + (y - y_1)s + RT}{xs + (y - y_1)t} = \frac{xr + (y - \beta)s + RT}{xs + (y - \beta)t} \quad . \quad . \quad (15)$$

 \mathbf{or}

$$y_1 = \beta \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (16)$$

As y_1 indicates the vapour conjugated with liquid h, (16) means: the liquid-curve of the region L - G and the saturation curve under a constant pressure of F touch one another in a point h, when the vapour belonging to this liquid h is represented by the point F.

As all vapours belonging to curve ed (fig. 1) are represented by

 Ce_1 , and consequently no vapour exists of the composition F, the curves *ed* and *pq*, therefore, cannot touch one another.

Let us now consider the tangents to the liquid-curve and to the saturation curve under a constant pressure in the point q (fig. 1); as the vapour, belonging to this liquid, may be represented either by a point q_1 situated between q and F or by a point q_2 between F and C, we must distinguish two cases.

When the vapour is represented by q_1 , then we have $y - \beta > 0$ and $y - y_1 > 0$. As $y - \beta > y - y_1$, the denominators of (11) and (14) have either the same sign or the denominator of (11) is positive, while that of (14) is negative. In each of these three cases we find $\varphi < \psi$; the liquid-curve of the region L-G and the saturation curve under a constant pressure of F are, therefore, situated in the vicinity of point q with respect to one another as the curves qp and qq'_1 .

When the vapour corresponding with liquid q is represented by q_2 , then $y - \beta < 0$ and $y - y_1 > 0$, in absolute value $(y - \beta) s$ is always smaller than $(y - y_1) s$. The denominators of (11) and (14) have, therefore, either the same sign or the denominator of (11) is negative, while that of (14) is positive. In each of these three cases we find $\varphi > \psi$; the liquid-curve of the region L-G and the saturation curve under a constant pressure of F are, therefore, situated in the vicinity of point q with respect to one another as the curves qp and qq'_2 .

With the aid of the preceding considerations we may easily deduce now the saturation curves under their own vapour-pressure of F; for this we shall assume that the solid substance melts with increase of volume. We distinguish three cases.

1. The temperature is lower than the point of maximum-sublimation T_K of the binary substance F.

In a similar way as we have deduced the general case fig. 11 (I) we now find with the aid of fig. 1 for the saturation curve under its own vapour pressure a diagram as is drawn in fig. 2; in this figure a part only of the component riangle is drawn. Curve h a c m b n is the saturation curve under its own vapour pressure, $h_1 a_1 c_1 F_1 b_1 n_1$ is the corresponding straight vapour line. In this figure are indicated the equilibria: $F + L_h + G_{h_1}$, $F + L_a + G_{a_1}$, $F + L_c + G_{c_1}$, $F + L_m + G_E$, $F + L_b + G_{b_1}$ and $F + L_n + G_{n_1}$; L_h and L_n are binary liquids. As we have assumed that the temperature is lower than the point of maximum-sublimation T_k of the solid



substance F, the vapour n_1 must be situated between F and n. Consequently we have here the case that the vapour, corresponding in fig. 1 with the liquid q, is represented by q_1 ; the liquid-curve of the region L-Ggoing through the point q can, therefore, be represented by qq_1' (fig. 1). It follows from this position of qq_1' that on further decrease of pressure the liquidcurve of the region L - G must touch curve pq in a point m (fig. 1); in fig. 2 this point of contact is also represented by m. Previously we have seen that the vapour corresponding with such a point of contact has the composition F; in fig. 2 m and F are joined for this reason by a conjugation-line.

Fig. 2

It follows from this deduction that the pressure is a minimum in the point m of fig. 2 and increases from m in the direction of the arrows, consequently towards n and h. Further it is evident that the vapour pressure in h is higher than in n.

176

2.The temperature is higher than the point of maximum sublimation T_K and lower than the minimum-meltingpoint T_F of the substance F.

In a similar way as we have deduced the general case fig. 7 (I), we now find with the aid of fig. 1 a diagram as fig. 3. Curve

h a c b n is the saturation curve under its own vapour-pressure, $h_1 a_1 c_1 b_1 n_1$ is the corresponding straight vapour-line. As we have assumed that the temperature is higher than T_K but lower than T_F , F must, as in fig. 3, be situated between n and n_1 . Therefore, here we have the case that the vapour, corresponding in fig. 1 with the liquid q, is represented by q_2 ; the liquid-curve of the region L-G going through the point q may, therefore, be represented by qq'_{2} (fig. 1). It follows from this position of qq'_2 that on further decrease of pressure the liquidcurve of the region L-G no more intersects curve pq.



From this deduction it follows that the pressure increases along curve hn in the direction of the arrows, therefore, from n towards h and that on this curve hn neither a point of maximum- nor a point of minimum ressure occurs.

3. The temperature is higher than the minimum-meltingpoint T_F and lower than the point of maximum-temperature T_H of the binary equilibrium F + L + G.

In a similar way as we have deduced the general case fig. 12 (I) we now find for the saturation curve under its own vapour-pressure an exphased curve, in fig. 4 a similar curve is represented by the curve hn indicated by 5; the pressure increases in the direction of the arrow, consequently from n towards h.

In fig. 4 the saturation curves under their own vapour-pressure of F are drawn for several temperatures $(T_1 - T_5)$. When we take T_1 and T_2 lower than T_K , then a point of minimum-pressure must occur on the curves, indicated by 1 and 2. When we take T_4 between T_K and T_F and T_5 between T_K and T_H , then the saturation curves





under their own vapourpressure have a position as the curves lm indicated by 4 and 5, on which no point of minimum pressure occurs. At T_H the saturation curve disappears in a point H and the corresponding straight vapourline in a point H_1 (not drawn in the figure).

On the saturation curve of the temperatures T_1 and T_2 we find a point of minimum-pressure m, this point has disappeared on the saturation curve of the temperature T_4 ; between these two temperatures we consequently find a temperature T_3 , at which the point m coincides with the terminating point n of the saturation curve under its own vapour pressure. As the vapour belonging to a point of minimumpressure has always the composition F, this case occurs when the liquid n can be in equilibrium with a vapour F. As then the binary equilibrium F + L + vapour F can occur this temperature T_3

- 10 -

consequently is the maximum temperature of sublimation T_K of the substance F.

Now we will deduce in another way the saturation curves under their own vapour pressure of F. The conditions of equilibrium are:

$$Z - x \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x} - (y - \beta) \frac{\partial Z}{\partial y} = \mathbf{5} \quad Z_1 - (y_1 - \beta) \frac{\partial Z_1}{\partial y_1} = \mathbf{5} \quad \frac{\partial Z}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial Z_1}{\partial y_1} \quad . \tag{17}$$

These conditions follow also from the equations 1 (II) when we equate herein $\alpha = 0$ and $x_1 = 0$ and when we consider Z_1 as independent of x_1 . We put

The three conditions (17) pass then into:

$$U - x \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - (y - i^{\dagger}) \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - RTx - \xi = 0 \quad . \quad . \quad (19)$$
$$Z_{1} - (y_{1} - i^{\dagger}) \frac{\partial Z_{1}}{\partial y_{1}} - \xi = 0 \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (20)$$
$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Z_{1}}{\partial y_{1}} = 0 \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (21)$$

From this follows.

$$\begin{bmatrix} xr + (y - \beta)s + RT \end{bmatrix} dx + \begin{bmatrix} xs + (y - t) dy = 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V - x \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - (y - \beta) \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} - v \end{bmatrix} dP \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (22)$$

$$(y_1 - f) t_1 dy_1 = \left[V_1 - (y_1 - f) \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial y_1} - v \right] dP \quad . \quad . \quad (23)$$

$$sdx + tdy - t_1 dy_1 = \left(\frac{\partial V_1}{\partial y_1} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\right) dP$$
 . . . (24)

With the aid of (23) we may also write for (24):

$$(y_1 - \cdot) s dx + (y_1 - \cdot) t dy = \left[V_1 - (y_1 - \cdot) \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} - v \right] dP \qquad (25)$$

so that for the relation between dx, dy, dy_i , and dP we shall consider the equations (22), 23), and (25).

In order to examine if a point of maximum- or of minimumpressure is possible on the saturation curve under its own vapourpressure, we take (23). From this follows dP = 0 when

$$y_1 = \beta \quad \ldots \quad \ldots \quad \ldots \quad \ldots \quad \ldots \quad (26)$$

In order to examine if the pressure for this point is a maximum or a minimum, we develop (20) further into a series; when we equate herein $y_1 = l^2$, we find:

As $V_1 - v$ and t_1 are both positive, it is apparent that the pressure is a minimum. In accordance with our previous considerations (see fig. 2) we find therefore: on the saturation curve under its own vapour pressure of the solid substance F the pressure is a minimum in a point m, when the vapour corresponding with this liquid has the composition F.

179

In order to examine the change of pressure along the saturationcurve in the vicinity of its extreme ends h and n (fig. 2, 3, and 4) we equate x = 0; from (22) and (25) we then obtain:

$$[(y-\beta)s + RT] dx + (y-\beta)t dy = [V-(y-\beta)\frac{\partial V}{\partial y} - v] dP \quad . \quad . \quad (28)$$

$$(y_1 - \beta) s dx + (y_1 - \beta) t dy = [V_1 - (y_1 - \beta) \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} - v] dP.$$
 (29)

From this follows:

 $(y_1 - \beta) RT dx = [(y_1 - \beta) V + (\beta - y) V_1 + (y - y_1) v] dP \quad . \quad (30)$

When ΔV_1 is the change of volume, which occurs when between the three phases of the binary equilibrium F + L + G a reaction occurs, in which one quantity of vapour arises, then we may write for (30):

$$dP = -\frac{\beta - y_1}{\beta - y} \cdot \frac{RT}{\Delta V_1} \cdot dx \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot (31)$$

Now ΔV_1 is always positive in the binary system F + L + G, except between the minimum-melting point T_F and the point of maximum temperature T_H , where ΔV_1 is negative. In fig. $4 \Delta V_1$ is consequently negative for liquids between F and H, positive for all other liquids on the side BC.

 $\beta - y$ is positive, when the liquid is situated between F and C, negative when the liquid is situated between F and B (figs. 2-4). $\beta - y_1$ is positive, when the vapour is situated between F and C, negative when the vapour is situated between F and B (figs. 2-4).

In the points h of figs. 2-4 is $\Delta V_1 > 0$, $_{l}: -y > 0$ and $\beta - y_1 > 0$; from (31) follows therefore dP < 0. From each of the points h the pressure must, therefore, decrease along the saturation curves, we see that this is in accordance with the direction of the arrows in the vicinity of the points h (figs. 2 -4).

In the point *n* of fig. 2 is $\Delta V_1 > 0$, $\gamma - y < 0$ and $\gamma - y_1 < 0$; from (31) follows, therefore dP < 0. Consequently we find that

the pressure in fig. 2 must decrease from n along the saturationcurve, which is in accordance with fig. 2.

In the point *n* of figure 3 is $\Delta V_1 > 0$, $\beta - y < 0$ and $\beta - y_1 > 0$; from (31) follows, therefore dP > 0. Consequently the pressure must increase from the point *n* in fig. 3 along the saturation curve. which is in accordance with fig. 3.

In the point *n* of curve 5 in fig. 4 is $\Delta V_1 < 0$, $\beta - y > 0$ and $\beta - y_1 > 0$; from (31) follows, therefore dP > 0. Consequently the pressure must increase from *n* along curve 5, which is in accordance with the direction of the arrows.

We may summarise the above-mentioned results also in the following way: when to the binary equilibrium F+L+G (in which F is a compound of two volatile components) at a constant temperature we add a substance, which is not volatile, then the pressure increases when the binary equilibrium is between the point of maximum-sublimation T_K and the point of maximum temperature T_H ; in all other cases the pressure decreases.

In the consideration of the general case, that the vapour contains the three components (XI and XII) we have deduced that the saturation curves under their own vapour pressure can disappear in two ways at increase of pressure.

1. The saturation curve of the temperature T_H disappears in the point H on the side BC [fig. 5 (XI)].

2. The saturation curve of the temperature T_{II} touches the side BC in the point H and is further situated within the triangle; at further increase of I' it forms a closed curve situated within the triangle, which disappears at T_R in a point within the triangle [fig. 6 (XI)].

In the case now under consideration, that the vapour consists only of B and C, only the case 1 occurs; this has already been discussed above and is represented in fig. 4. It follows already immediately from the following that the case 2 cannot occur. On a closed saturation curve under its own vapour pressure a point of maximumand a point of minimum pressure occurs. On the curves now under consideration only, as we saw before, a point of minimum pressure can occur, so that closed saturation curves are impossible.

We may deduce this also in the following way and we may prove at the same time these curves, just as in the general case, to be parabolas in the vicinity of H.

When we consider the binary equilibrium F + liquid H +vapour, then x = 0; we equate $y = y_0$, $y_1 = y_{10}$ and the pressure $= P_{II}$. To this equilibrium applies: 181

$$\dot{U} - (y_0 - \beta) \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - 5 = 0 \qquad Z_1 - (y_{1 \cdot 0} -) \frac{dZ_1}{\partial y_1} - 5 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial Z_1}{\partial y_1} \qquad (32)$$

further we have:

 $(y_{1\cdot 0}-\beta) \ V + (\beta - y_0) \ V_1 + [y_0 - y_{1\cdot 0}] \ v = 0 \quad . \quad . \quad (33)$ which condition we may also write :

$$\frac{V-v}{y_0-y} = \frac{V_1-v}{y_1\cdot_0-y} = \frac{V_1-V}{y_1\cdot_0-y_0} = \mu \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (34)$$

For a ternary equilibrium F + L + G, the liquid of which is situated in the vicinity of point H, the pressure is equal to $P_{\Pi} + \pi$, $x = \xi$, $y = y_0 + \eta$ and $y_1 = y_{1\cdot 0} + \eta_1$.

The three equations (17) pass then, when we use the conditions (22) into:

$$RT\xi + [v-V]\pi + \frac{1}{2}r\xi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}t\eta^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial P} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial P}\right)\pi^{2} + s\xi\eta + \ldots + (y-\beta)L = 0 \quad (35)$$

$$\left[v-V_{1}\right]\boldsymbol{\pi}+\frac{1}{2}t_{1}\eta_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial P}-\frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial P}\right)\boldsymbol{\pi}^{2}+\ldots+\left(y_{1}-\beta\right)L_{1}=0 \quad (36)$$

$$L = L_1 \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (37)$$

Herein is:

ţ

,

$$L = s\xi + t\eta + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\pi + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}\xi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial t}{\partial y}\eta^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial y\partial P}\pi^{2} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial y}\xi\eta + \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x\partial y}\xi\pi + \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial y^{2}}\eta\pi + \dots$$
(38)

$$L_{1} = t_{1} \eta_{1} + \frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial y_{1}} \pi + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial t_{1}}{\partial y_{1}} \eta_{1}^{s} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} V_{1}}{\partial y_{1} \partial P} \pi^{2} + \frac{\partial^{2} V_{1}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}} \eta_{1} \pi + \dots$$
(39)

In (35) and (36) y_0 and $y_{1,0}$ are replaced by y and y_1 ; we shall do the same in the following equations. When we multiply (35) by $y_1 - \beta$ and (36) by $y - \beta$, then it follows with the aid of (37) that: $(y_1 - \beta) RT \xi + \frac{1}{2} (y_1 - \beta) r \xi^2 + \frac{1}{2} (y_1 - \beta) t\eta^3 - \frac{1}{2} (y - \beta) t_1 \eta_1^2$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left[(\beta - y_1) \frac{dV}{dP} + (y - \beta) \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial P} + (y_1 - y) \frac{\partial v}{\partial P} \right] \pi^2 + (y_1 - \beta) s \xi \eta = 0.(40)$$

From (36), (37), and (40) it follows that this can be satisfied by: η_1 of the order π , η of the order π and ξ of the order π^2 .

From (35), (36) and (37) then follows:

$$t\eta = \left(\mu - \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\right)\pi$$
 and $t_1\eta_1 = \left(\mu - \frac{\partial V_1}{\partial y_1}\right)\pi$. (41)

Proceedings Royal Acad. Amsterdam. Vol. XVII.

Substituting these values in (40) we find:

$$2 (y_1 - \beta) RT \xi = a \pi^2 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (42)$$

wherein a has the same value as in (21) (XII).

From this it follows with the aid of the first relation (41) that:

$$2 (y_1 - \beta) RT \xi = \frac{a t^2}{\left(\mu - \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\right)^2} \eta^2 \dots \dots (43)$$

In the same way as in (XII) we find that we may write for this:

$$2 RT \xi = \frac{t^3 (y-\beta) (y_1-y) \frac{d^3 l}{dP^3}}{(y_1-\beta) \left(\mu - \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\right)^2} \eta^2 \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (44)$$

wherein $\frac{d^2l}{dP^2}$ is fixed by (24) (XII). From this it follows that the curve going in fig. 4 through the point *H* is parabolically curved in this point and touches the side *BC* in this point.

As in this point $y-\beta < 0$, $y_1-y < 0$, $y_1-\beta < 0$ and $\frac{d^2l}{dP^2} > 0$, ξ is always negative. From this it follows that this parabola has only the point H in common with the triangle and is further situated completely outside the triangle. Consequently only the point H represents a liquid; its other points have no meaning.

(To be continued).

Chemistry. — "The system Ammonia-water". By Prof. A. SMITS and S. POSTMA. (Communicated by Prof. J. D. v. D. WAALS).

(Communicated in the meeting of May 30, 1914).

After the preliminary communication ¹) on this subject the investigation of the system NH_3 - H_3O has been continued in different directions, and it has now been completed.

The continued research was directed in the first place to the *accurate* determination of the meltingpoint lines, corresponding with the pressure of one atmosphere. These determinations, which were now carried out by means of a gauged resistance thermo-

¹⁾ These Proc. XII, p. 186.