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of the mouth of the Nanga Koli A. F. H. Hersch collected in 1890 according to Pannerork ${ }^{1}$ ) quartzite and greywakke(?). On the southcoast at Nanga Mbawa I found granite (read quartz-diorite) and gabbro ${ }^{3}$ ) and finally in the valley of the river Ndona quartz-diorite. None of these rocks were ever found as rock, they are consequently at least "auf tertiärer Lagerstätte". They are the last remains of rockmasses that got into the conglomerates by washing from which they got afterwards free again.

The oldest formations of Flores belong to the effusive rocks of the character of dacites, labrador-andesites and hornblende-andesites with their tufas, on which those of the limestones of the Reo-formation and those of the tuffas of the Soa formation follow. They were uncovered by subsequent elevation. Afterwards the island was over its entire length the scene of violent volcanic eruptions, from which the only partly known crater mountains proceeded. The material produced by them consists - as far as our knowledge reaches - exclusively of pyroxene-andesites belonging to the Pacific type of rocks. The younger coral limestones occurring only sporadically have only been formed after the formation of the volcanoes.

Von Schelle's postulation that the bottom "to the north of Mount Rokka is very rich in tin-ore" appears to have been not only vain but also very expensive.

Mathematics. - "The theory of the combination of observations and the determination of the precision, illustrated by means of vectors." By Dr. M. J. van Uven. (Communicated by Prof. W. Kapteyn),"
(tommunicated in the meeting of June 27, 1914).
By L. von Schrutra ${ }^{3}$ ) and C. Rodriguez ${ }^{4}$ ) a method has been given of illustrating geometrically the theory of the combination of observations by the method of least squares, namely by means of vector operations. Rodrigurz however chooses in the case of rigorous equations of condition another way, whilst von Schretika, who consistently

[^0]operates with vectors, restricts himself to two variables and one rigorous equation of condition.

It is our purpose not only to extend their method to the case of an arbitrary number ( $N$ ) of variables and an equally arbitrary number ( $\boldsymbol{v}$ ) of conditions, but also to derive the weight of the unknown quantities in the same way.
I. There are given $N$ quantities $x, y, z, \ldots$ which are to be determined from $n$ (approximate) equations of condition (equations of observation) :

$$
a_{i} x+b_{i} y+c_{i} z+\ldots+m_{i}=0 \quad i=1, \ldots n .
$$

These equations have the weights $y_{i}$ resp., and so are equivalent to the equations
$a_{i} V g_{i} . x+b_{i} V g_{i} \cdot y+c_{i} V g_{i} \cdot z+\ldots+m_{i} V g_{i}=0 \quad i=1, \ldots n$, each of which has the weight unity.

We now introduce

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{a}_{i}=\frac{a_{i} V g_{i}}{V\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right]}, \quad \beta_{i}=\frac{b_{i} V g_{i}}{V\left[g_{i} b_{i}^{2}\right]}, \quad \gamma_{i}=\frac{c_{i} V g_{i}}{V\left[g_{i} i_{i}^{2}\right]}, \ldots \mu_{i}=\frac{m_{i} V g_{i}}{V\left[g_{i} m_{i}{ }^{2}\right]} ; \\
A=v V\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right], \quad B=y V\left[g_{i} b_{i}^{2}\right], \quad C=z V\left[g_{i} i_{i}^{2}\right], \ldots M=V\left[g_{i} m_{i}^{2}\right] \\
A_{i}=A a_{i}=a_{i} V g_{i}, x, \quad B_{i}=B \beta_{i}=b_{i} V g_{i} \cdot y, \quad C_{i}=C \gamma_{i}=c_{i} V g_{i} \cdot z, \ldots \\
\ldots M_{i}=M \mu_{i}=m_{i} V g_{i},
\end{gathered}
$$

[] denoting summation over $i$ from 1 to $n$.
So the equations of observation run in the form

$$
A_{i}+B_{i}+C_{i}+\ldots M_{i}=0 \quad i=1, \ldots n
$$

We now consider $A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}, \ldots M_{i}$ as the components of the vectors $\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$, resolved parallel to the rectangular coordinate axes of an $n$-dimensional space. Thus the tensors are $A, B, C, \ldots M$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}, \ldots \mu_{i}$ representing the direction cosines.

The set of $n$ equations of observation may now be condensed in the single vector-equation

$$
\mathfrak{A}+\mathfrak{B}+\mathfrak{E}+\ldots+\mathfrak{M}=0,
$$

which expresses, that the vectors $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots \mathfrak{M}$ must form a closed polygon. The coefficients $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, \ldots$ and the weights $g_{i}$ being given, the unit vectors $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \ldots$ of the vectors $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{\mathfrak { G }}, \ldots$ are determinate. So the vector-equation requires that $M \gg$ may be resolved in the $N$ directions $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \ldots$, in other words: that $\mathfrak{m l}$ lies in the $N$-dimensional space $R_{N}$, determined by the vectors $a, b, c, \ldots$ and called the space of the variables (or unknown quantities).

In consequence of the errors of observation this condition is not fulfilled. The most probable corrected value of $\mathfrak{M}$ is the projection of $\Im$ on the space $R_{N}$ of the variables.

Denoting the projecting vector by $\mathscr{\Re}$ (tensor $K$, direction cosines $x_{i}$, components $K_{i}$ ) we have really

$$
\mathfrak{A}+\mathfrak{B}+\mathfrak{C}+\ldots+\mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{R} .
$$

As $\mathfrak{\Re}$ is perpendicular to $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{G}, \ldots$, we have

$$
(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{K})=0, \quad(\mathfrak{B}, \mathscr{\Re})=0, \quad(\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{R})=0, \text { ete }
$$

or

$$
\left[\alpha_{i} K_{i}\right]=0, \quad\left[\beta_{i} K_{i}\right]=0, \quad\left[\gamma_{i} K_{i}\right]=0, \text { etc. }
$$

or because

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{i}=A_{i}+B_{i}+C_{i}+\ldots+M_{i}=\alpha_{i} A+\beta_{i} B+\gamma_{i} C+\ldots+M_{i}, \\
{\left[\alpha_{i}^{2}\right] A+\left[\alpha_{i} / \beta_{i}\right] B+\left[\alpha_{i} \gamma_{i}\right] C+\ldots+\left[\alpha_{i} M_{i}\right]=0,} \\
{\left[\beta_{i} a_{i}\right] A+\left[\beta_{i}^{2}\right] B+\left[\beta_{i} \gamma_{i}\right] C+\ldots+\left[\beta_{i} M_{i}\right]=0,} \\
{\left[\gamma_{i} \alpha_{i}\right] A+\left[\gamma_{i} \beta_{i}\right] B+\left[\gamma_{i}^{2}\right] C+\ldots+\left[\gamma_{i} M_{i}\right]=0,}
\end{gathered}
$$

By multiplying these equations by $V\left[g_{i} a_{i}{ }^{2}\right], V\left[g_{i} b_{i}{ }^{2}\right], V\left[g_{i} c_{i}{ }^{2}\right]$, ... resp., we obtain the "normal equations":

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right] x+\left[g_{i} a_{i} b_{i}\right] y+\left[g_{i} a_{i} c_{i}\right] z+\cdots+\left[g_{i} a_{i} m_{i}\right]=0,} \\
{\left[g_{i} b_{i} a_{i}\right] x+\left[g_{i} b_{i}\right] y+\left[g_{i} b_{i} i_{i}\right] z+\ldots+\left[g_{i} b_{i} m_{i}\right]=0,} \\
{\left[g_{i} c_{i} a_{i}\right] x+\left[g_{i} c_{i} b_{i}\right] y+\left[g_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right] z+\ldots+\left[g_{i} c_{i} m_{i}\right]=0,}
\end{array}
$$

II. After these developments which also are given by von Schbertia and Rodriguez we proceed to determine the weights of the variables.

For this we notice that all the quantities $M_{i}$ have the weight 1, and therefore have an equal mean error $\varepsilon$. From this ensues, that the projection of $\mathfrak{M}$ in any direction has the same mean error $\varepsilon$.

We have to investigate the influence on $\mathcal{A}$ due to the variation of $\mathfrak{M}$, if the other variables $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$ do not undergo that influence.

A variation of $\mathfrak{M}$ which does not displace the foot on $R_{N}$ of the projecting vector $\mathfrak{\Re}$, does not act upon any vector $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$ So we have only to do with a variation of the projection $\mathfrak{R}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text {on }} R_{N}$. In order to leave the vectors $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{S}, \ldots$ intact, the foot is to be moved in a direction $\mathfrak{z}$ perpendicular to $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$, and, because it lies in $R_{N}$, also perpendicular to $\Omega$.

Denoting by $\sigma_{i}$ the direction cosines of $\mathfrak{B}$, we may put the equation

$$
(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{z})+(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{z})=0,
$$

obtained by multiplying the equation of observation scalarly with $\mathfrak{B}$, in the form

$$
A\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]=-M_{s}
$$

$M_{s}$ designating the projection of $\mathfrak{M}$ on $\mathfrak{B}$.
As $M_{s}$ has the mean error $\varepsilon$, the mean error $\varepsilon_{A}$ of $A$ equals

$$
\varepsilon_{A}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]}
$$

whence

$$
g_{A}=\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]^{2} .
$$

The vector $\mathfrak{B}$, lying in $R_{N}$, may be resolved in the directions $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \ldots$ Denoting its components in these directions by $X, Y, Z, \ldots$ we find

$$
\mathfrak{s}=X \mathfrak{a}+Y \mathfrak{b}+Z \mathfrak{c}+\ldots
$$

or

$$
\sigma_{i}=X \alpha_{i}+Y \beta_{i}+Z_{\gamma_{i}}+\ldots
$$

Now, $\mathfrak{\&}$ being perpendicular to $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{\hookleftarrow}, \ldots$, whence $\left[\beta_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]=0,\left[\gamma_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]=0, .$. , we have

$$
1=\left[\sigma_{i}^{2}\right]=X\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]
$$

or

$$
X=\frac{1}{\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]}
$$

From the equations

$$
\left[a_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]=\frac{1}{X}, \quad\left[/ \beta_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]=0, \quad\left[\gamma_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]=0, \ldots
$$

which may also be written

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\alpha_{i}^{2}\right] X+\left[\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}\right] Y+\left[\alpha_{i} \gamma_{i}\right] Z+\ldots=\frac{1}{X},} \\
{\left[\beta_{i} \alpha_{i}\right] X+\left[\beta_{i}{ }^{2}\right] Y+\left[\beta_{i} \gamma_{i}\right] Z+\ldots=0,} \\
{\left[\gamma_{i} \alpha_{i}\right] X+\left[\gamma_{i} \beta_{i}\right] Y+\left[\gamma_{i}^{2}\right] Z+\ldots=0,}
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\alpha_{i}{ }^{2}\right] X^{2}+\left[\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}\right] X Y+\left[\alpha_{i} \gamma_{i}\right] X Z+. .-1=0,} \\
& {\left[\beta_{i} \alpha_{i}\right] X^{2}+\left[/\left[\beta_{i}^{2}\right] X Y+\left[\beta_{i} \gamma_{i}\right] X Z+. .+0=0,\right.} \\
& {\left[\gamma_{i} \alpha_{i}\right] X^{3}+\left[\gamma_{i} \beta_{i}\right] X Y+\left[\gamma_{i}^{2}\right] \lambda Z+. .+0=0,}
\end{aligned}
$$

the first unknown quantity $X^{2}$ takes the value

$$
X^{2}=\frac{1}{\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]^{2}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{A}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}=\frac{1}{g_{A}} .
$$

The reciprocal value of the weight of $A$ is therefore found to be the tirst unknown of the "modified normal equations".

Putting further

$$
X=\xi V\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{8}\right], \quad Y=\eta V\left[g_{i} b_{i}^{2}\right], \quad Z=\zeta V\left[g_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right], \ldots
$$

the modified normal equations pass into
$\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right] \xi^{2}+\left[g_{i} a_{i} b_{i}\right] \xi \eta+\left[\eta_{i} a_{i} c_{i}\right] \xi 5+\ldots-1=0$,
$\left[g_{i} b_{i} a_{i}\right] \xi^{2}+\left[g_{i} b_{i}{ }^{2}\right] \xi \eta+\left[g_{i} b_{i} c_{i}\right] \xi 5+\ldots+0=0$,
$\left[g_{i} c_{i} a_{i}\right] \boldsymbol{\xi}^{2}+\left[g_{i} c_{i} b_{i}\right] \boldsymbol{\xi} \eta+\left[g_{i} c_{i}{ }^{2}\right] \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\xi}+\ldots+0=0$,

Now, from $A=x V\left[g_{i} a_{i}{ }^{2}\right]$ ensues

$$
\varepsilon_{A}=\varepsilon_{x} V\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{3}\right]
$$

hence

$$
\frac{1}{g_{x}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{x}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right]} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{A}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{8}}=\frac{X^{2}}{\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right]}=\xi^{2}
$$

which is the well-known theorem on the weights of the variables. Example: 3 equations of observation with 2 variables.


Fig. 1.

The unit-vectors $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ determine a plan $R_{1}$. The extremity $\dot{M}$ of $-\mathbb{M}=O M$ is projected on this plane in the point $M^{\prime} . O M^{\prime}$ is resolved parallel to $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ into the components $O A=\mathfrak{A}$ and $O B=\mathfrak{b}$. In the plane $R_{2}(\mathfrak{i l}, \mathfrak{B})$ the vector $\mathfrak{B}$ is erected perpendicular to $\mathfrak{B}$. On this vector $O M=-\mathscr{M}$ and $O A=\mathfrak{U}$ have the same projection $O A_{s}=M_{s}$. This segment $M_{s}$ bas the mean error $\varepsilon$; the variable $A$, i.e. the segment $O A$ therefore has the mean error $\varepsilon_{A}=\frac{\varepsilon}{\cos A O A_{s}}$.
III. We now suppose that besides the $n$ approximate equations of condition (equations of observation) $\boldsymbol{v}$ rigorous equations of condition are given, viz.:
$a_{n+j} x+b_{n+j} y+c_{n+j} z+\ldots+m_{n+j}=0 \quad(j=1, \ldots v)$.
For the sake of regularity in the notation, we will also provide these equations with factors $g_{n+j}$ (which afterwards disappear from the calculation). Thus we really operate with
$a_{n+j} V g_{n+j} \cdot x+b_{n+j} V g_{n+j} \cdot y+c_{n+j} V g_{n+j} \cdot z+\ldots+m_{n+j} V g_{n+j}=0(j=1, . v)$.
Agreeing, that $[i]$ now means a summation over $i$ from 1 to $n+v$, we may, retaining the notation used above, consider $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{\infty}$, $\mathfrak{G}, \ldots, \mathfrak{M}$ as vectors in a space of $n+v$ dimensions.

The vector-equation

$$
\mathfrak{s}+\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{c}+\ldots+\mathfrak{m}=0
$$

is again not fulfilled on account of the errors of observation. The last $r$ component-equations $(n+1) \ldots(n+v)$ however hold exactly* this time.

Putting again

$$
\mathfrak{A}+\mathfrak{B}+\mathfrak{E}+\cdots+\mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{R}
$$

the $v$ projections $K_{n+1}, \ldots K_{n+}$, of $\Omega$ must be zero, whence $x_{n+j}=0(j=1, \ldots v)$.

So the vector $\Omega$ is perpendicular to the space $R_{\nu}$ "of condition" determined by the coordinate-axes $x_{n+j}$ and therefore cannot generally be any longer assumed to be perpendicular to the space $R_{N}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, .$. of the variables. $\Omega$ lies in the $n$-dimensional space $R_{n}^{\prime} x_{n+j}=M_{n+j}$ ( $j=1, v$ ), which is parallel to the space $R_{n}$ "of observation" determined by the axes $x_{h}(h=1, \ldots n)$.

The parallel-space $R_{n}^{\prime}$ cuts the space $R_{N}$ of the variables in a linear space of $N+n-(n+\boldsymbol{v})=N-v$ dimensions, which we shall denote by $\varrho_{N-,}^{\prime}$. This latter is parallel to the space $\rho_{N_{-},}$of intersection of the space $R_{n}$ of observation with the space $R_{N}$.

We now project the extremity of $\mathfrak{m l}$ lying in $R_{n}^{\prime}$ in this space on the space $\varrho^{\prime}{ }_{N-v}$ of intersection. The projecting vector will now be the "correction-vector" $\Omega$.

Translating $\Omega$ to the origin into the vector $O P, O P$ will be perpendicular to the space $\varrho_{V-v}$ common to $R_{N}$ and $R_{r}$.

Next we construct the normal space of $Q_{N-\nu}$ which passes through the origin $O$. This space has $n+v-(N-v)=n+2 v-N$ dimensions. It contains the space $R$, of condition (as normal space of $R_{n}$ ), further the line $O P$, and also the normal space of $n+v-N$ dimensions which can be drawn from $P$ perpendicular to $R_{N}$. This latter space therefore lies together with $R$, in a space of $n+2 v-N$ dimensions and thus cuts $R \geqslant$ in a space of $(n+v-N)+$ $+v-(n+2 v-N)=0$ dimensions, consequently in a point. As for this point $Q$, it thus lies both in $R$, and in the normal space drawn from $P$ perpendicular to $R_{N}$, from which among other things follows, that $P Q$ makes right angles with each line of $R_{N}$, more particularly with the vectors $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$ So, projecting $O P$ and $O Q$ on $\mathfrak{M}$, these projections are equal. The same holds for the projections on $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$

Representing $O Q$ by the vector $\Omega^{\prime}\left(K^{\prime}, x_{i}^{\prime}, K_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, we have, as $\Omega^{\prime}$ lies in $R v$,

$$
K_{h^{\prime}}^{\prime}=0 \text { and } \varkappa_{h}^{\prime}=0 .(h=1, \ldots n)
$$

From

$$
(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{M})=\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{Z l}\right),(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{W})=\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{W}\right),(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{C})=\left(\mathfrak{N}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{C}\right), \ldots
$$

follows

$$
K\left[x_{i} \alpha_{i}\right]=K^{\prime}\left[x_{i}^{\prime} \alpha_{i}\right], K\left[x_{i} \beta_{i}\right]=K^{\prime}\left[x_{i}^{\prime} \beta_{i}\right], K\left[x_{i} \gamma_{i}\right]=K^{\prime}\left[x_{i}^{\prime} \gamma_{i}\right], \ldots
$$

As $x_{n+j}=0$ for $j=1, \ldots v$, the sum $\left[x_{i} a_{i}\right]$ is only to be extended from 1 to $n$; hence $\left[x_{i} \alpha_{i}\right]=\sum_{1}^{n} x_{h} \alpha_{h}=\left[x_{h} \alpha_{h}\right]^{\prime}$; and since $x_{h^{\prime}}^{\prime}=0$ for $h=1, \ldots n$, the sum $\left[x_{i}^{\prime} \alpha_{i}\right]$ is to be extended from $n+1$
to $n+v$, so that $\left[x_{i}^{\prime} \alpha_{i}\right]=\sum_{1}^{\nu} x_{n+j} \alpha_{n+j}=\left[x_{n+j}{ }^{\prime} \alpha_{n+j}\right]^{\prime \prime}$. Here and in what follows $[h]^{\prime}$ will denote a sum over $h$ from 1 to $n$, and $[n+j]^{\prime \prime}$ a sum over $j$ from 1 to $v$.

We may therefore write

$$
\left[\alpha_{h} K_{b}\right]^{\prime}=\left[\alpha_{n+j} K_{n+j}\right]^{\prime \prime},
$$

or, because

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{h}=A_{h}+B_{h}+C_{h}+\ldots+M_{h}=\alpha_{h} A+\beta_{h} B+\gamma_{h} C+\ldots+M_{h} \\
{\left[\pi_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime} A+\left[\alpha_{h} \beta_{h}\right]^{\prime} B+\left[\kappa_{h} \gamma_{h}\right]^{\prime} C+\ldots+\left[\alpha_{h} M_{h}\right]^{\prime}=\left[\alpha_{n+j} K_{n+j}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime \prime},} \\
{\left[/ \beta_{h} \alpha_{h}\right]^{\prime} A+\left[\beta_{h}\right]^{\prime} B+\left[\beta_{h} \gamma_{h}\right]^{\prime} C+\ldots+\left[\beta_{h} M_{h}\right]^{\prime}=\left[\beta_{n+j} K_{n+j}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime \prime}} \\
{\left[\gamma_{h} \alpha_{h}\right]^{\prime} A+\left[\gamma_{h} \beta_{h}\right]^{\prime} B+\left[\gamma_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime} C+\ldots+\left[\gamma_{h} M_{h}\right]^{\prime}=\left[\gamma_{n+j} K_{n+j}\right]^{\prime \prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Putting
$\boldsymbol{a}_{n+j}=\alpha_{j}^{\prime}, \beta_{n+j}=\beta_{j^{\prime}}, \gamma_{n+j}=\gamma_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots K_{n+j}=-Q_{j}, M_{n+j}=M_{j}^{\prime}, m_{n+j}=m_{j}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\alpha_{h}{ }^{2}\right]^{\prime} A+\left[\alpha_{h} \beta_{h}{ }^{\prime} B+\left[\alpha_{h} \gamma_{h}\right]^{\prime} C+\ldots+\left[\alpha_{h} M_{h}\right]^{\prime}+\left[\alpha_{j}^{\prime} Q_{j}\right]^{\prime \prime}=0,\right.} \\
{\left[\beta_{h} \alpha_{h}\right]^{\prime} A+\left[\beta_{h}{ }^{h}\right]^{\prime} B+\left[\beta_{h} \gamma_{h}\right]^{\prime} C+\ldots+\left[\beta_{h} M_{h}\right]^{\prime}+\left[\beta_{j}^{\prime} Q_{j}\right]^{\prime \prime}=0,} \\
{\left[\gamma_{h} \alpha_{h}\right]^{\prime} A+\left[\gamma_{h} \beta_{h}\right]^{\prime} B+\left[\gamma_{h}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime} C+\ldots+\left[\gamma_{h} M_{h}\right]^{\prime}+\left[\gamma_{j}^{\prime} Q_{j}\right]^{\prime \prime}=0,}
\end{array}
$$

Introducing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{j}^{\prime}=a_{n+j}=\frac{\alpha_{j}^{\prime} V\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right]}{V g_{n+j}}, b_{j}^{\prime}=b_{n+j}=\frac{\beta_{j}^{\prime} V\left[g_{i} b_{i}^{2}\right]}{V g_{n+j}}, \\
& c_{j}^{\prime}=c_{n+j}=\frac{\gamma_{j}^{\prime} V\left[g_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right]}{V g_{n+j}}, . ., q_{j}=Q_{j} V g_{n+j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain, after multiplying successively by $V\left[g_{i} a_{i}{ }^{2}\right], V\left[g_{i} b_{i}{ }^{2}\right]$, $V\left[g_{i} c_{i}{ }^{2}\right], \ldots$
$\left[g_{h} a_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime} x+\left[g_{h} a_{h} b_{h}\right]^{\prime} y+\left[g_{h} a_{h} c_{h}\right]^{\prime} z+\ldots+\left[g_{h} a_{h} m_{h}\right]^{\prime}+\left[a_{j}^{\prime} q_{j}\right]^{\prime \prime}=0$,
$\left[g_{h} b_{h} a_{h}\right]^{\prime} x+\left[g_{h} b_{h}{ }^{2}\right]^{\prime} y+\left[g_{h} b_{h} c_{h}\right]^{\prime} z+\ldots+\left[g_{h} b_{k} m_{h}\right]^{\prime}+\left[b_{j}{ }^{\prime} q_{j}\right]^{\prime \prime}=0$,
$\left[g_{h} c_{h} a_{h}\right]^{\prime} x+\left[g_{h} c_{h} b_{h}\right]^{\prime} y+\left[g_{h} c_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime} z+\ldots+\left[g_{h} c_{h} m_{h}\right]^{\prime}+\left[c_{j} q_{j} q^{\prime \prime}\right]^{\prime \prime}=0$,
$N$ equations, which together with the $v$ conditions

$$
a_{j}{ }^{\prime} x+\dot{b}_{j}{ }^{\prime} y+c_{j}^{\prime} z+\ldots+m_{j}^{\prime}=0
$$

serve to determine the $N$ variables $x, y, z, \ldots$ and the $v$ auxiliary quantities $q_{j}$.
IV. In order to determine the weights of $x, y, z, \ldots$, i. e. of $A, B, C, \ldots$, we must examine the influence undergone by $\&$ from a variation of $\mathfrak{M}$, the vectors $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$ remaining unaltered.

A variation of $\mathfrak{M}$ only acts upon $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$ when the foot of $\mathfrak{R}$ on the space $\varrho^{\prime}{ }_{N-\nu}$ of intersection moves. If the foot is fixed, $\mathfrak{l}$ may freely
move in the space $S$, common to the normal space of $\varrho^{\prime} N-v$ (of $n+2 v$ $-N$ dimensions) and the space $R_{n}^{\prime}$ parallel to $R_{n}$. The space $S$ obviously has $(n+2 v-N)+n-(n+v)=n+v-N$ dimensions. A component of $\mathfrak{M}$ in this space has no effect on the vectors $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{\mathfrak { C }}, \ldots$ A component of $\mathfrak{M}$ will only have any effect on $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$, when it lies in the normal space $S^{\prime} N$ of $S$, which has $n+v-(n+v-N)=N$ dimensions. By translating this normal space $S^{\prime}{ }_{N}$ to $O$, it contains both $R_{\nu}$ and $\varrho_{N \rightarrow,}$ (intersection of $R_{N}$ and $R_{n}$ ).

The variation of $¥>$ will exclusively influence $\$$, when the component of $\mathfrak{M}$ undergoing this variation is perpendicular to $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$

These considerations lead to the result that we want that direction $\mathfrak{G}$, which lies in $S_{N}^{\prime}$ and is perpendicular to $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$ The vectors $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$ determine together a space of $N-1$ dimensions. The vector $\mathfrak{z}$ must lie in the normal space (of $n+v-N+1$ dimensions) of the space ( $\mathfrak{B},\left(\mathfrak{G}, \ldots\right.$ ). This normal space cuts $S^{\prime} N$ in a space of $(n+v-N+1)+N-(n+v)=1$ dimension, hence in a straight line. So there is always one and only one line $\mathfrak{E}$ fulfilling the imposed conditions.

Since $\mathfrak{E}$ lies in $S_{N}^{\prime}$, i. e. in the space joining $R$, with $o_{N-,}$, the projection $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{z}$ on $R_{n}$ will fall into $\varrho_{N-» .}$.

Now we have for the direction cosines $r_{i}$ of the projection $t$ of \& on $R_{n}$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{h}=\frac{\sigma_{h}}{V\left[\sigma_{h}^{h^{2}}\right]^{\prime}}\left(h=1, \ldots, \quad ; \quad \tau_{n+j}=0 \quad(j=1, . ., \mathfrak{v}) .\right.
$$

As t , being a line of $\rho_{N-v}$, also lies in the space $R_{N}$ and therefore may be resolved in the directions $\$ 1, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{h}=P \alpha_{h}+Q \beta_{h}+R \gamma_{h}+\ldots, & (h=1, \ldots n) \\
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{n+j}=P \alpha_{n+j}+Q_{i} \xi_{n+j}+R_{\gamma_{n+j}}+\ldots=0 . & (j=1, \ldots v)
\end{array}
$$

Putting

$$
P V\left[\sigma_{h}^{3}\right]^{\prime}=P^{\prime} \quad, \quad Q V\left[\sigma_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime}=Q^{\prime} \quad, \quad R V\left[\kappa_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime}=R^{\prime}, \ldots
$$

we obtain :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{h} P^{\prime}+\beta_{h} Q^{\prime}+\gamma_{h} R^{\prime}+\ldots & =\sigma_{h}, \\
\left.\alpha_{n+j} P^{\prime}+\beta_{n+j} Q^{\prime}+\gamma_{n+9} R^{\prime}+\ldots=1, \ldots n\right) \\
=0, & (j=1, \ldots v)
\end{array}
$$

and, $\mathfrak{z}$ being perpendicular to $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}, \ldots$,

$$
\left[\beta_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]=0, \quad\left[\gamma_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]=0 \ldots \quad\left[\sigma_{i}^{2}\right]=1
$$

In this way we have collected $n+v+N$ equations to determine the $n+v$ unknown quantities $\sigma_{2}$ and the $N$ unknown quantities $P^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}, R^{\prime}, \ldots$.
$S^{\prime} N$ being perpendicular to $\AA, \&$ is also perpendicular to $\Omega$. By . multiplying the equation

$$
\mathfrak{M}+\mathfrak{B}+\mathfrak{c}+\ldots+\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{M}
$$

sealarly by $\varepsilon$, it reduces to

$$
(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{k})+(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{k})=0
$$

or

$$
A\left[a_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]=-M_{s}
$$

In order to determine the mean error of $M_{s}$, we remark that of all the lines through $O$ in $R_{n} t$ is that which makes the smallest angle with $s$. The error of $M_{s}$ therefore depends for the most part on the error in the components $M_{t}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ in the direction $t$. We may consequently write

$$
\text { m. e. of } M_{s}=m \text {. e. of } M_{i} \times \cos (\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{t})=\varepsilon \cos (\mathfrak{z}, \mathrm{t})
$$

or

$$
\varepsilon_{s}=\varepsilon\left[\sigma_{i} i_{i}\right]=\varepsilon\left[\sigma_{h} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{h}}{V\left[\sigma_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime}}\right]^{\prime}=\varepsilon V\left[\sigma_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime}
$$

hence

$$
\varepsilon_{A}=\frac{\varepsilon_{s}}{\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]}=\frac{V\left[\sigma_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime}}{\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]} \varepsilon .
$$

Since

$$
M_{s}=M_{i}\left[\sigma_{i} \tau_{i}\right]=\left[M_{h} \tau_{h}\right]^{\prime} \cdot\left[\sigma_{i} \tau_{i}\right]=\left[M_{h} \frac{\sigma_{h}}{V\left[\sigma_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime}}\right]^{\prime} \cdot V\left[\sigma_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime}=\left[M_{h} \sigma_{h}\right]^{\prime},
$$

we have

$$
A=-\frac{M_{s}}{\left[\alpha i \sigma_{i}\right]}=-\left[\frac{\sigma_{h}}{\left[\alpha i \sigma_{i}\right]} \cdot M_{h}\right]
$$

or, putting

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\sigma_{h}}{\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]}=p_{h} \\
A=-\left[p_{h} M_{h}\right]^{\prime} \\
\frac{1}{g_{A}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{A}}{\varepsilon^{2}}=\frac{\left[\sigma_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime}}{\left[\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]^{2}}=\left[p_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Introducing

$$
X=\frac{P^{\prime}}{\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]}, Y=\frac{Q^{\prime}}{\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]}, Z=\frac{R^{\prime}}{\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]}, \ldots ; p_{n+j}=\frac{\sigma_{n+j}}{\left[\alpha_{i} \sigma_{i}\right]}(j=1, . . v)
$$

we arrive at

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{h} X+\beta_{h} Y+\gamma_{h} Z+\ldots, p_{h} \quad(h=1, \ldots n) \\
\alpha_{n-j} X+\beta_{n+j} Y+\gamma_{n+j} Z+\ldots=0 \quad(j=1, \ldots v) \\
{\left[\alpha_{i} p_{i}\right]=1,\left[\beta_{i} p_{i}\right]=0,\left[\gamma_{i} p_{i}\right]=0 \ldots}
\end{array}
$$

From these $n+v+N$ equations we can solve the $n+v$ unknown quantities $p_{i}(i=1 \ldots n+v)$ and the $N$ auxiliary quantities $X, Y, Z, \ldots$

The quantity $\frac{1}{g_{A}}=\left[p h^{2}\right]^{\prime}$ in question is also found as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{g_{A}} & =\left[p_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime}=\left[p_{h}\left(\alpha_{l} X+\dot{\beta}_{h} Y+\gamma_{h} Z+\ldots\right)\right]^{\prime}=X\left[p_{h} \alpha_{h}\right]^{\prime}+Y\left[p_{h} \rho_{h}\right]^{\prime}+Z\left[p_{h} \gamma_{h}\right]^{\prime}+\ldots= \\
& =X-X\left[p_{n+j} \alpha_{n+j}\right]^{\prime \prime}-Y\left[p_{n+j} \beta_{n+j}\right]^{\prime \prime}-Z\left[p_{n+j} \gamma_{n+j}\right]^{\prime \prime} \ldots \\
& =X-\left[p_{n+j}\left(\alpha_{n+j} X+\beta_{n+j} Y+\gamma_{n+j} Z+\ldots\right)\right]^{\prime \prime} \\
& =X .
\end{aligned}
$$

Returning to the original variables $x, y, z, \ldots$, we derive from

$$
x=\frac{A}{V\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right]}
$$

firstly

$$
\varepsilon_{x}=\frac{\varepsilon_{A}}{V\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right]}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{g_{x}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{x}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}=\frac{\left[p_{h}^{2}\right]^{\prime}}{\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right]} .
$$

Further, putting

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{h}=k_{h} \frac{V\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right]}{V g_{h}}, \quad p_{n+j}=k_{n+j} \frac{V\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right]}{V g_{n+j}} \\
\mathbf{X}=\boldsymbol{\xi}\left[g_{i} a_{i}^{2}\right], \quad Y=\eta\left[g_{i} b_{i}^{2}\right], \quad Z=\boldsymbol{\zeta}\left[g_{i} c_{i}^{2}\right], \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

the $n+v+N$ equations pass into

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{h} \boldsymbol{\xi}+b_{k} \eta+c_{h} \boldsymbol{\xi}+\ldots=\frac{k_{h}}{g_{h}}, \quad(h=1, \ldots n) \\
a_{n+j} \boldsymbol{\xi}+b_{n+j} \eta+c_{n+j} \xi+\ldots=0, \quad(j=1, \ldots v) \\
{\left[a_{i} k_{i}\right]=1, \quad\left[b_{i} k_{i}\right]=0, \quad\left[c_{i} k_{i}\right]=0, \ldots,}
\end{gathered}
$$

whence

$$
\frac{1}{g_{x}}=\left[\frac{k_{h}{ }^{2}}{g_{h}}\right]^{\prime}=\xi .
$$

Example: 2 equations of observation with 2 variables and 1 condition. The unit-vectors a and $\mathfrak{b}$ determine a plane $R_{N}(N=2)$, the plane of the variables. This plane cuts the plane of observation $R_{n}(n=2)$ in the line $\varrho_{-\nu}(N-v=1)$, which thus coincides with the line t. The line $O P$ is drawn in the plane $R_{n}$ perpendicular to $\rho_{N},(1)$. Through the extremity $M$ of the vector $\mathfrak{M}$ a line is drawn parallel to $O P$; this line cuts the plane $R_{N}$ of the variables in $M^{\prime}$. The veetor $M M^{\prime}=\|=P O$ is the correction-vector $\Omega . O M^{\prime}$ is resolved in the directions $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ into the components $O A=\mathbb{M}$ and $O B=\mathfrak{B}$. The lengths of these lines represent the most probable values of the variables $A$ and $B$.

The line $P Q$ is perpendicular to the plane $R_{N}$ and meets the normal $R$, (line of condition), erected in $O$ on $R_{n}$, in the point $Q$. The vector $O Q$ is called ${ }^{\prime}$ '.

The space joining $\rho_{N-}$, with $R$ is here the plane $S_{y}$. The plane


Fig. 2.
erected in $O$ perpendicular to $\mathfrak{F}$, intersects $S_{N}^{\prime}$ in the line $\mathcal{\xi}$, which therefore is perpendicular to $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{K}$. So $A$ and $\mathbb{X}$ are projected on $\mathfrak{\xi}$ in the same point $A_{s}$.

The normal plane $A A_{s} M$ of $\varepsilon$ cuts t in a point $T$, the distance of which to $O$ amounts to $M_{t}$ (with mean error $\varepsilon$ ). The mean error $\varepsilon_{s}$ of $A_{s}$ thus has the value $\varepsilon_{s}=\varepsilon \cos (\mathbb{B}, \mathfrak{t})$, and that of $A$ the value $\varepsilon_{A}=\frac{\varepsilon_{s}}{\cos (\hat{\mathrm{~B}}, \mathrm{a})}=\varepsilon \frac{\cos (\hat{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{t})}{\cos (\hat{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{a}})}$.
V. The errors (residuals) of $M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots M_{n}$ are $K_{1}, K_{2}, \ldots K_{n}$ resp. The sum of their squares is $\left[K_{h}{ }^{2}\right]=K^{2}$.

For the case that no equations of condition are given, $\Omega$ must be perpendicular to $R_{N}$. So $\Omega$ may dispose of a space of $n-N$ dimensions (the normal space of $R_{N}$ ). Hence $\mathscr{R}$ has $n-N$ components, all with the same mean value $\varepsilon$. Consequently

$$
K^{2}=(n-N) \times \varepsilon^{2}
$$

hence

$$
\varepsilon=\int \quad \frac{\left[K_{i}^{2}\right]}{n-N} .
$$

In case $v$ conditions are imposed, $\mathscr{F}$ may dispose of the space $S$ of $n+v-N$ dimensions. Consequently $\mathfrak{S}$ now has $n+v-N$ components, all with a mean value $\varepsilon$. In this case we have therefore

$$
\varepsilon=\int \frac{\left[K_{h}^{2}\right]}{n+v-N} .
$$
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    ${ }^{4}$ ) C. Rodriguez, La compensacion de los Errores desde al punto de visto geo metrico. Mexico, Soc. Cient. "Antonio Alzate", vol. 33 (1913-1914), p. 57.

