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# Anatomy. - "On the occurrence of a monkey-slit in man." By Di. C. 'T. van Valhinburg. (Communicated by Prof. Winkleb'. <br> (Communicated in the meeting of December 28, 1912.) 

It has long been known that under some circumstances, in case of disturbances in the development of the central nervous system of man, a slit may occur on the surface of the occipital lobe vividly reminding of the so-called monkey-slit of anthropoides. I communicated an example of this fact in a former paper. ${ }^{1}$ ) The slit then characterized as monkey-slit, answered to the requirement that at least part of its orcipital boundary covered convolutions at the botiom of the slit connected with the parital lobe (operculation). Elinot Smith ") has described the brains of many Egyptians in which he very often found ( $70 \%$ of the hemispheres) a sulcus simianlis sive lunatus. Brodmann ${ }^{3}$ ) corroborated this view with the brain of three Javanese. On the other hand Zocrerkandl ${ }^{4}$ ) thinks that the existence of a monkey-slit in man is by no means proved. As a proof he gives a reproduction of some hemispheres in his abovementioned essay. On these surfaces however - of course specially selected by Zuckerkandi - Elfiot Smith would doubtlessly diagnosticate a monkey-slit.

How are these contradictory views to be reconciled. We read in Zuckerkandl's paper (1.c.): "Am menschlichen Gehirn soll nur "daun von einer Affenspalte die Rede sein, wenn an der Hemis"pharenoberfäche beide Rander der fraglichen Furche mit,jenen der "Affenspalte am Affengehirn identisch sind. Trifft dies nicht zu, "liegt eine Furche vor, welche nur auf einer Seite (hinten) ron einem "der Grensrander der Affenspalte abgeslossen ist, waihrend der andere "(vordere) nicht wehr dem Gyr. angularis sondern einem Bestandteil "der Affenspaltengrube (Uebergangswindungen) angehört, dann hat "man es nicht mit der typischen Affenspalte zu tun."

[^0]Zuckerkandi strongly emplasizes a difference hetween monkeyslit - i.e. the slit between the operculum occipitale and the parietal convolution lying frontally to the operculated transition-convolutions -- and the monkey-slit sulcus - i.e. the sulcus lying on the bottom of the sulc. lunatus.

This difference must unconditionally be accepted, and to my knowledge this is done by the majority of authors (Bowk a.o.).
It is however another question whether this difference is really of such a nature that we should be compelled by it for ever to deny the homologisation between a monkey-sht and a very similar sulcus in man. For that similarity is even readily accepted by Zuckerkandl, as he admits the occurrence of "Affenspaltresten" in man. Eliot Snirt is of opinion that the difference is nothing but a quibble of words. Evidently the matter hinges upon the question: what is in the monkey-slit-complex the cardinal point? We have then the choice between the slit - postulating the existence of bottom-convolutions and an operculum covering these - and the sulcus existing on the botom of the slit, which if there are no bottom-convolutions to be operculated, looks like every other sulcus.

In lower monkeys (platyrrhines) and prosimii ${ }^{1}$ ) a sulcus is found that must doubilessly be indicated as sulcus lunatus whilst bottomconvolutions, operculation, a proper "monkey-slit" ${ }^{2}$ ) may be absent.
This sulcus lies in the brains of these animals transversally often not reaching the interhemispherical fissure - across part of the latero-dorsal surface of the lob. occipitalis. No other sulcus ends in it; it lies oceipital from the sulcus parieio-occipitalis. In some platyrrhines (ateles) the sulc. interparietalis (which, as has been remarked, does not reach the sulc. lunatus) forms a $T$-shaped extremity, sometimes already indicater in some specimens of lemuridae. I refer those interested in this problem to the report that will be given by Dr. Ariens Kappers in 1913 at the International Congress of Medicine in London: Cerebral localization and the significance of sulci.

Ascending in the range of monkess we find that the sulc. interparietalis in katarrhines has its distal termination in the s. lunatus. At the same time we find that, at the bottom of the latter, cortical convolutions are hidden; its occipital lip has grown an operculum.

The most developed katarrhines - the anthropoides - usually

[^1]show the beginning of apparent return to lower relations, because part of the transition-convolutions (the first) has become superficial. It is however still separated by the sulc. interparietalis from the superficial part of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ transition-convolution. A similar situation was to be found in the microcephalic idiot described by me in a former paper (l. e.). If now moreover the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {dd }}$ transitionconvolutions become supericial i.e, if they pass from the bottom of the monkey-slit to the surface of the lob. parietalis, then of the entire s. simialis-complex there remains only the bottom-sulcus which is then, with regard to its parietal lip, differently limited from what was the case with anthropoides, at least as regards the region of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ transition-convolution. This is however not always the case. Also where there is no question of great disturbances of development, as in the above-cited case of mikrocephalia, little hidden convolutions may be found (vide e.g. some drawings in Zockerkandi's paper l. c.). Such brains connect the monkey-slit in a more limited sense -- as it occurs in anthropoides - with the sulcus lunatus (as with Elicot Smith we best call it) of man. About the frequency of the occurrence of this sulcas in Etropeans I cannot fix a percentage on account of my limited material.

In 22 hemispheres of idiots of the Institute for Brain-research I find it 8 times. In the brain of normal individuals it likewise "often" occurs. Eluiot Smith fixed already the altention to the brain-photographs of Rexzivs. I could not decide with certainty whether, as it seems to be Elliot Smurn's view, there exists any preference in this respect for the left hemisphere.

Notwithstanding all these assertions it is necessary to fix as strongly as possible the diagnosis: sulcus lunatus. One cannot give a definition of it of absolute value, i. e. without involving in it the relation to neighbouring sulci. As conditions for accepting a sulcus lunatus I fixed in general the following relations and circumstances:

1. The sulcus in question lies somewhat crescentshaped (with its concavity caudad) or more translersal, not far from the pole of the occipital lobe;
2. In its lateral part terminates a sulens, that is often connected with the first temporal sulcus (sulcus praelunatus);
3. More or less parallel to it, more towards the front, lies a
sulcus, into which the sulcus interparietalis terminates (sule. occipitalis transversus);
4. The occipital extremity of the sulcus calcarinus falls (whether bent or not round the mantle-side) behind it, and sometimes extends between two sulci occipitales which are found there (they may be connected V-shaped).


Fig. 1.
Occipitallobe of the idiot $D$, seen from behind. The dotted line indicates the direction of the section according to which fig. 4 has been drawn; for the shortenings vide text.

Fig. I represents an occipital lobe (of an idiol) seen from behind on which the abore-mentioned desiderata have been most accomplished.

The principal requirements are fulfilled: the situation of the sulci occipitalis transversus (o.t.) and calcarinus (G.a.) resp. before and behind the sulcus lunatus (Lun) is typical. At the former the suicus interparietalis (i.p.) terminates; the sulcus parieto-occipitalis ( $p o$ ) cuts frontally from it the medial mantle-side. An indication of a $V$-shape of the occipital sulci ( 0 ) between which the sulc. calcarinus points, is extant. The sulcus praelunatus ( $p r l$.) is distinci, but not immediately connected with the sulc. temsessing the sulcus luma variation exists in the occipital sulci and the relations of the sulcus praelunatus. All our cases answer to the above-mentioned principal requirements, where a sulcns lunatus was admitted, with only one exception. In the latter case (it regards the cerebrum of an idiot, with a too little frontocaudal dianeter; weight of the brain about 1000 grams) the cuneus is very narrow, because the sulcus calcarinus has a strongly dorsal direction. I refer to fig. 2. At the limitation of the second and posterior third part of the cuneus this sulcus splits T-shaped. The inferior branch terminates near the occipital pole, bebind the snlens lunatus, the dorsal branch reaches the medial mantle-side immediately behind the sulcus parieto-occipitalis; consequently not only far before the sulcus lutanus, but even before the sulcus occipitalis transversus. Vide fig. 3. I come to the conclusion that this branch must indeed be reckoned to the sulcus calcarinus, and is not a cuneus-sulcus


Fig. 2.
Left occipital lobe of the idiot $W$, seen from the medial side.
po = sulc. parieto-occipitalis $c \alpha=$ sulc. calcarinus $C=$ corpus callosum (splenium).


Fig. 3.
The same occipital lobe as fig. 2, seen from behind; shortenings as above.
terminating in the sulcus calcarinus from the fact, that its lips show as distinclly as the other part of the sulcus in question a beautiful stripe of VicQ d'Azyr. When using this argument we have introduced into our reasoning a new element of a microscopical, anatomical, and even, may be, of a physiological nature. Many anatomists indeed regard the region over which the above-mentiod stripe extends as the terminal region of the centripetal, geniculo-occipital radiation, the recipient optic cortical-field (Visuosensory : Campbeli, Boluton, Moti a.o.).

Apart from any physiological function and even from specific projection-combinations we may admit in man as irrefutable, that wheresoever the typical stria Vicq d'Azyr is found, we have to do with an area of a special character, which on account of its peculiar relations (in the greater majority of cases) to the limitations of the suleus calcarinus, may be characterised as regio calcarina. Area striala (Elhot Smiri) area 17 (Brodmann) and regio calcarina are consequently regarded in man as, synonyms. My above mentioned conclusion that in reality the cuncus-sulcus terminating in the sulcus calcarinus must be regarded as a final branch of that sulcus seems consequently not to be a hazardous assertion.

As especially Brodmann ${ }^{2}$ ) has taught us, the area striata (his area 17) extends in the monkey over the lateral surface of the lob occipitalis (the operculam occipitale) as far as the monkey-slit.

Elliot Sminf stated the same fact in his Egyptians, be it over a more narrow strip of the region concerned, and he uses this fact as one of the arguments for homologising his sulc. lunatus with the monkey-slit. This author conceives the connection between histolo-gically-characterised areas and brain-sulci a little schematically: very regularly he admits and represents the latter as limits of the former: ${ }^{2}$. Apmit from the facl that after the investigations of Brodmann, Cantpbell a. 0 . his view cannot be maintained in this form, it postulates in the case discussed here a complete homology in the relation between the sulcus lunalus and the area striata in monkey and man. As appears from the phylogenesis of the sulci there is no complete parallelism between the evolution of the sulci and the relative migrations of the special cortical zones.

[^2]Zimens ${ }^{1}$ ) called already attention to the comparative slowness, with which in the range of development of mammals sulci change their places.
In the report that he intends to give (l.c.), Arieins Kapplins - comes on other grounds to the same but more developed conclusion: sulci are more conservative than the neighbouring cortical zônes.

Where we see in man the area striata extending as far as the sulcus lunatus - if the latter is extant - we may see in it a very welcome affirmation of the similarity between sulcus lunatus and monkey-slit, ascertained by other methods (morphologically). It can however not be a point of issue for proving a homology - in the way as Ellior Smirt regarded this fact.
As far as the extension of the area striata can be mapped out macroscopically (with the helprot the magnifying glass) (Ellior Suitn's investigation was made in this way) the material of the Brain-Institute does not offer uniform indications. It seems that the area striata is not always dorsolaterally limited by the sulc. lunatus; this limitation is likewise not a sharp one in this sense, that sulcus and area noust join each other without any intervening space (in this respect our material corresponds with Brodmann's Javamese). The type of the


Fig. 4.
$\mathrm{v}=$ stria Vicq d'Azyr; other shortenings as above.
Sagittal section through the occipital lobe of the idiot $D$. (vide fig. 1). cellamination offers the same evidence as that of the extension of VICQ d'Azyr's stripe. Fig. 4 gives a reproduction of the latter. It has been made after a section somewhat lateral from the place where in fig. 1 a dotted line has been drawn. The preparation consequently cuts the sulc. lunatus perpendicularly. The letters placed in the figure render a further description almost superfluous. I only draw attention to the slight depth of the sulc. lunatus, which is never found in anthropoids. In man (our material) this sulcus shows a very different depth; in this respect likewise it seems to show all the transitions between the anthropoid-like state and its total disappearance from the surface of the brain; its extremitios (medial and lateral) are most undeep.
It seems as if first the hidden convolations, afterwards their bottom is brought to the periphery; the cortex is "smoothed".
The immodiate connexion between area striata and sulc lunatus,

[^3]shown by EliLiot Smitit in his Egyptians - also distinctly visible in fig. 4 - needs not exist in the European who possesses this sulcus. But it is even impossible - at all events in the material I had to dispose of -- in cases where a sulcus lunatus is extant always to ascertain a greater extension of the area striata on the lateral surface of the brain, than in cases where no vestige of the above mentioned sulcus is to be found. Of course there is no longer question of a limitation in the sense of Smirir ; it is an illustration of the conservatism of sulci we spoke off above, even of one that is destined to disappear. ${ }^{1}$ )

I have asked myself if there was any connection between the existence of a sulcus lonatus on the lateral cortical surface and the extension of the area striata at the medial hemisphere-wall, in so far as the latter in general is connected with - is dependent upon the direction and the modus of ramification of the sulcus calcarinus. No regularity at all could be ascertained in this respect. A sulcus lunatus can be found with all sorts of s. calcar. I gave already examples of two forms.

I can add as a third, extreme, form a case where sulcus calcarinus and sulcus parietooccipitalis are nowhere connected, where a superficial cuneo-limbic transition-convolution exists at the point of the cuneus, exactly as it is found - almost always - in anthropoids.

The sulc. lunatus that was here very evident, showed all the above mentioned characteristics. A more or less "anthropoid" condition of the cunens, caused by rariations in the direction of the sulcus calcarinus does however, as it seems. not always hold connection wilh the existence of a sulcus lunatus.

In general the existence of a sulcus lunatus is by no means a proof of imperfect development of the brain in which it is found. In normal Europeans it is decidedly frequently met with, as Elelot Surtir concluded already from the drawings of others. The examples slown by me were taken from idiots, becarse I found in a comparatively little material such strong variations at the medial occipital surface, cach time with distinct sulcus lunatus on the lateral one. It seems probable that defective development may often be the cause of these deviations in the direction of sulci and convolutions, but with regard to the many variations in normal brains it cannot be proved.

Whether and how - in a definite case - the existence of the sulcus lunatus is influenced by such a "defective development" is a phenomenon that lies completely beyond the field of our observation.
${ }^{\text {l }}$ ) In a case of Anophtalnos there existed a beautiful monkey.slit: the area striata at the medial brain-surface scarcely reached the occipital pole: calcarina extension normal.
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