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Physics. - "Entropy and Probability." By Dr. L. S. Ornstern. (Communicated by Prof. Lorintz).
(Communicated in the meeting of September 30 1911).
Einstrin ${ }^{1}$ ) has defined the probability of state in a way by which he emancipates himself from special hypotheses conceming the structure of the systems to which he apphes his reasonings. He puts the logarithm of the probability thus defined proportional to the entropy. If therefore $d \varepsilon$ is the difference of energy between two states of the system, and of $d \Lambda$ represents the work done by the system if it passes in a reversible way from one state into the other we have

$$
d \eta=\frac{R}{N} d \log \quad W=\frac{d \varepsilon+d A}{T},
$$

$R$ is the gas constant and $N$ the number of molecules of the grammemolecule. The reasoning, used to deduce the proportionality of $\eta$ and $\frac{R}{N} \log W$, is perhaps not quite convincing, for on the one side it is presumed that a system runs through all states possible with the given energy, and on the other that $\log W$ like the entropy iends to a maximum value. It is possible by means of statistical mechanics (as well with the help of the canonical as with that of the micro-canonical ensembles) to find the relation of entropy and probability, however using many less general hypotheses as those employed by Eisstern. I will thy to show this in the following communication.

1. We consider a system of a great number (s) of degrees of liberty. We suppose that the changes of the state in this system are governed by the equations of Hamilon. Observation does not teach us anything on the $s$ general coordinates $(q)$ and the $s$ moments of momentum ( $p$ ) but we obtain knowledge of certain (e.g. geometrical) quanticies $A$. Suppose that there are $k$ quantities $\Lambda_{k}$ which are discernable for observation. The number $\%$ is small in comparison to $2 s$. We shall indicate these quantities by $\left.\boldsymbol{A}_{1} \ldots \Lambda_{( }, \Lambda_{6}{ }^{2}\right)$,

To the $2 s$ values of the coordinates and the moments in a given

[^0]state of the system corresponds a perfectly determined set of values $A$, on the contrary it must be kept in mind that a great number of sjstems with greatly differing values for the $p$ 's and the $q$ 's corresponds to a given set of values of the $\Lambda_{1}$ 's.

Moreover we must presume that the quantities $\Lambda_{y}$ are observable with moderate accuracy, so that systems for which $A_{\mathrm{x}}$ has a value between $\Lambda_{x}$ and $\Lambda_{x}+c h$, are undiscernible for observation. The quantities $\Delta \mathcal{A}$ are once for all fixed (in relation to the given accuracy of observation); $\Delta \Lambda_{y}$ will be small compared with $\Lambda_{\lambda}$.

A system having its $\boldsymbol{A}_{y}$ 's between the limits mentioned will be called the system $\left(\Lambda_{1} \ldots \Lambda_{y} \ldots \Lambda_{k}\right)$. For the sake of simplicity we shall suppose that the quantities $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$, depend only on the general coordinates ${ }^{1}$ ).

The $2 s$-dimensional space in which the system can be represented in the ordinary way can be dissolved into the extension in configuration, (the coordinates being the variables) and into the extension in moments or velocity. The part of the extension in configuration where the systems lie for which the value of the $\Lambda_{r}$ is between $\Lambda_{r}$ and $\Lambda_{1}+d \Lambda$, will be represented by

$$
\chi\left(\Lambda_{1} \ldots \Lambda_{1} \ldots \Lambda_{k}\right) d \Lambda_{1}!\ldots d \Lambda_{y} \ldots d \Lambda_{k}
$$

or shortly by

$$
\chi d A_{1} \ldots d A_{\nu} \ldots d A_{k}
$$

We shall further suppose that the potential energy $\varepsilon_{q}$ of the system of given $A$ 's is totally determined by the values of the quantities $A_{x}$. This is only approximately true, for $\varepsilon_{q}$ depends on the coordinates, which can still be greatly different for systems of which the $A$ 's are the same. We shall represent the potential energy by

$$
\varepsilon_{q}\left(\Lambda_{1} \ldots A_{<} \ldots A_{k}, a_{2} . . a_{n}\right)
$$

the quantities $a$ denoting parameters on which the potential energy may also depend; the same parameters shall appear generally also in the function $\chi$.

Finally we could suppose that there exist relations between the quantities $\Lambda$, suppose for example $b(b<k)$ of the form

$$
f_{\beta}=0
$$

For such a case we can always introduce $k-b$ new quantities $A$ which are mutually independent, we therefore shall suppose that this is yet the case for the $A_{r}$ 's mentioned above ${ }^{2}$ ).

[^1]2. We shall now consider a microcanonical ensemble between the energies $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon+d \varepsilon$, consisting of the systems described above. I shall represent the part of the extension in configuation for which the quantities $A$, are situated between the limits $A$, and $A,-d A$, by $\Omega\left(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{1} \ldots \Lambda_{h}\right)$. The value of $\Omega$ may be expressed by the equation.
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{Q}\left(A_{1} \ldots A_{i} \ldots A_{k}\right)=C\left\{\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{q}\left(\Lambda_{1} \ldots \Lambda_{r} \ldots A_{k}\right)\right\}^{\frac{s}{2}-1}\right. \\
\chi \cdot d \Lambda_{1} \ldots d A_{1} \ldots d A_{k} d \varepsilon ;
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

$C$ being a determined numerical constant which is of no importance to us. The quantities $\Lambda$, must have such values that $\varepsilon_{\square} \leqq \varepsilon$.
We shall first consider the question for which values of $\Lambda, \Omega$ is a maximum, i.e. which values of the $A$, occur in a maximal region.
We find for the maximum condition, proceeding in the usual way,

$$
-\left(\frac{s}{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{q}\left(A_{1} \ldots A_{\ldots} \ldots A_{k}\right)} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{q}}{\partial A}+\frac{1}{\chi} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial A_{\mu}}=0
$$

The quantity $\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{g}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$ being the kinetic energy of the system occurring maximally, this quantity is, as is proved by GibBs, proportional to the absolute temperature $T$ and it can be expressed by the formula

$$
\frac{s}{2} \frac{R}{N} T
$$

Neglecting 1 with respect to $\frac{s}{2}$, $s$ being very large, we find as a condition for the maximum of $\Omega$

$$
-\frac{N}{R T} \frac{\delta \varepsilon_{q}}{\partial \Lambda_{k}}+\frac{1}{\chi} \frac{\delta \chi}{\partial \Lambda_{l}}=0 .
$$

The further conditions that $d^{2} \log \Omega<0$ lead to a number of relations of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{s-2}{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{p}{ }^{2}}\left(\frac{\delta \varepsilon_{q}}{\partial \Lambda_{\psi}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{s-2}{2 \varepsilon_{\mu}} \frac{d^{2} \varepsilon_{q}}{\partial \Lambda^{\prime}} \\
& -\frac{1}{\chi^{2}}\left(\frac{\delta \chi}{\partial \Lambda}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\chi} \frac{\delta^{2} \chi}{\partial \Lambda_{\gamma}{ }^{2}}<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and to a number of relations in which the quantities $\frac{\delta^{2}}{\partial \Omega, \partial A \mu}$
densities in fixed elements of volume in a gas. In practice one will not use the relations to eliminate the 12 , but will use the Laplacian method of undetermined coefficients. It is also possible that mequalities appear as relations in evcry case; it Collows from our first hypothesis that we may assume that the relations are fulfilled without any approximation.
play a part. The complication offered by the appearance of those quantities may always be avoided by a linear substitution which removes those differential coefficients. I shall suppose that such-like $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\prime}$ s are introduced (further representing them by the same symbol $\left.A_{v}\right) ; A_{00}$ represents the value of $A_{k}$ in the maximum system.

3 . The region $\Omega$ where those systems are represented for which $A_{x}$ is situated between $A_{0}+\xi$, and $A_{5}+\xi+d_{\xi}$, can be easily calculated; we tind for it:
where

$$
\Omega_{0}=C_{\chi}\left(A_{20} \ldots A_{10} \ldots A_{k_{0}}\right)\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{q}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}-1}
$$

and

$$
p_{\prime}=-\frac{N}{R T \varepsilon_{p_{0}}}\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{q}}{\partial A_{v}}\right)^{2}-\frac{N}{R T} \frac{\partial^{2} \varepsilon_{q}}{\partial A^{2}}-\frac{1}{\chi^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial A_{v}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\chi} \frac{\partial^{2} \chi}{\partial A^{2}} .
$$

The expression found above can be used to calculate the total volume of the extension in phase of the space where the energy is contained between the given limits. For this purpose we have to take the sum of $\Omega_{\Delta}$ for all the values of $A$ 's which are compatible wilh the given energy. However, we can integrate with respect to the $\xi$, from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, the values of $\xi$, which devate considerably from 0 , attributing only very sinall amounts. Proceeding in this way we find for the extension in question, which we shall represent by the notation of Gibbs esde or $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon} d \varepsilon$

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon} d \varepsilon=e^{j} d \varepsilon=\frac{C \Omega_{0}}{\left(p_{1} \cdot \cdot p_{k} \cdot p_{k}\right)^{4 / 2}} d \varepsilon,
$$

$C$ being again a determined constant, the value of which is without importance for our conclasions.
With the help of the found expression we can express the value of the function $V(\varepsilon)$ which determines the magnitude of the part of the extension in phase for which the energy of the represented systems is smaller than $\varepsilon$.

We obtain for $V(\varepsilon)$ the expression

$$
V=C^{\prime} \int_{:(V=0)}^{\dot{x}} \frac{x\left(\Lambda_{1_{0}} \cdot A_{\nu_{0}} \cdot A_{k_{0}}\right)}{\left.\sqrt{\left(p_{1}\right.} \cdots p_{x} \cdots p_{z}\right)}\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{q}\left(A_{1} / \cdot \boldsymbol{A}_{\gamma_{0}} \cdot A_{k}\right)\right)^{\frac{s}{2}-1} d \varepsilon .
$$

The value of the integral can easily be obtained in case that the
value of the $\Lambda_{y}$, for which $\Omega$ is a maximum, is independent of $\varepsilon$. Then we may assume $\varepsilon(V=0)=\varepsilon_{q}\left(A_{10}, A_{\gamma_{0}} . \mathcal{A}_{k_{0}}\right)$. Substituting this value we find

$$
V=C^{\prime} \frac{\chi\left(\Lambda_{10} \cdot A_{x_{0}} \cdot A_{k_{0}}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{2} \cdot p_{k}\right)}} \frac{2}{s}\left(\varepsilon-\check{\varepsilon_{q}}\left(\Lambda_{10} \cdot . A_{t_{0}} \cdot A_{\left.l_{0}\right)^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}}\right.
$$

But the same relation holds for other cases. The quantity $A_{1}$ depending on $\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{V}{c}=\int_{\varepsilon(V=0)}^{z}\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{9}\left(A_{10} . . A_{\gamma_{0}} . A_{k_{0}}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}-1} \times\left(A_{10} . . A_{\gamma_{0}} . . A_{k_{0}}\right) \delta \varepsilon\right. \\
& \left.=\frac{2}{s}\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{q}\left(. . A_{0} . .\right)\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \chi\left(A_{\rho_{0}}\right)\right\}_{\varepsilon(V=0)}^{\varepsilon}+\int_{\varepsilon \in(V=0)}^{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{9}\left(. . A_{0}\right)\right)^{\frac{s}{2}-1} \chi\left(. . A_{1} . .\right) \\
& \sum_{1}^{k}\left\{\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{q}}{\partial \Lambda_{\nu_{0}}} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{\mu_{0}}}{\partial \varepsilon}\right\} d \varepsilon \\
& -\frac{2}{s} \int_{\varepsilon(\Gamma=0)}^{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{q}\left(A_{八_{0}}\right)\right)^{\frac{s}{2}-1} \sum_{1}^{k} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial A_{x_{0}}} \frac{\partial A_{x_{0}}}{\partial \varepsilon} d \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the integrals we find

$$
\frac{2}{s} \int_{i(V=0)}^{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{q}\left(A_{r_{0}}\right)\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \chi\left(A_{r_{0}}\right)\left\{\sum_{1}^{k}\left(\frac{s}{2\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{q_{0}}\right)} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{q}}{\partial A_{1}}-\frac{1}{\chi} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial A_{r_{0}}}\right) \frac{\partial A_{1_{0}}}{\partial \varepsilon}\right) d \varepsilon_{0}
$$

Every term of the integrals is zero. The kinetic energy being essentially positive we have at the limit $\varepsilon(V=0) \varepsilon=\varepsilon_{q}$, so that we obtain

$$
V=\frac{2}{s} C\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{q}\left(A_{\gamma_{0}}\right)\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \chi\left(A_{x_{0}}\right)
$$

$A_{0}$ having the value relating to the energy $\varepsilon$.
In this demonstration $V$ p, has been neglected, the influence however of these factors is small, they deviate only very slightly from 1, if compared with the quantities taken into consideration.
4. Glbbs has proved that $\log V$ is equivalent with the thermodynamic entropy. If two microcanonical ensembles whose energy differs $\Delta \varepsilon$ and whose parameters differ by $\Delta a$ there exists an equation of the form

$$
\left.e^{-\varphi} \nabla \Delta \log V=\Delta \varepsilon+\bar{A} \mid \Delta a^{1}\right) \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad .(I)
$$

in this equation $\bar{A}$ is the average force in the ensemble exercised with
${ }^{1}$ ) For the case under consideration we can prove without applying the general - discussions of Gibes that $\frac{\varepsilon_{p}}{2 s}$ shows a perfect analogy with the temperature, and the relation (l) can be proved somewhat miore simply than it has been done by Gibss.

Let us imagine two systems of the kind described above, which can interchange energy but which considered as a whole are isolated. Let us suppose that the first has $s_{1}$, the second $s_{2}$ degrees of fieedom, the energy of the first being $\varepsilon_{1}$, that of the second $\varepsilon_{2}$. The total energy being constant we have

$$
\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}=\varepsilon
$$

The quantity $\varphi_{12}$ of the combined system can be expressed (Gibss l.c. p. 98 form. 316) by

$$
e^{p_{12}}=\int e^{i_{1}+i_{2}} d \varepsilon_{2}
$$

If we represent the value of ( $\Lambda /$ ) when the $\Lambda$, lave their maximum value by $\boldsymbol{z}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)$, and if we distinguish for the first system $x$ parameters $\Lambda$, and for the second $n$ parameters $\lambda$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{\varphi_{12}}=\int\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{q_{1}}\right)^{\frac{s_{1}}{2}-1} \chi_{1}\left(A, \varepsilon_{1}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{q_{2}}\right)^{\frac{s_{2}}{2}-1} \\
\chi_{2}\left(\lambda_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\right) d \varepsilon_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

The maximum system will contribute a maximal amount to the integral. We can find this system asking for what values of $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ the function under the integral is a maximum $s_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}$ being a constant. We then find

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{s_{1}}{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{q_{1}}} \delta \varepsilon_{1}+\left(\frac{s_{3}}{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{3}-\varepsilon_{q_{2}}} \delta \varepsilon_{2}+ \\
\sum_{l}^{k}\left(-\left(\frac{s_{1}}{2}-1\right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{q_{1}}}{\partial A_{k_{0}}}+\frac{1}{\chi_{1}} \frac{\partial \chi_{1}}{\partial A_{l_{0}}}\right) \frac{\delta A_{k_{0}}}{\delta \varepsilon_{1}} \delta \varepsilon_{1}+ \\
\quad \sum_{1}^{n}\left(-\left(\frac{s_{2}}{2}-1\right) \frac{\delta \varepsilon_{q_{0}}}{\partial \lambda_{\rho_{0}}}+\frac{1}{\chi_{2}} \frac{\delta \chi_{2}}{\partial \lambda_{\mu_{0}}}\right) \frac{\partial \lambda_{\prime_{0}}}{\partial \varepsilon_{2}} \delta \varepsilon_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From the above considerations it follows that the summations in this equation are zero, the $\Lambda y$ being the values for the most frequent forms of the separate systems. We therefore find for the systems occurring maximally
.

$$
\frac{s_{1}-2}{2\left(\varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{q_{1}}\right)}=\frac{s_{2}-2}{2\left(\varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{q_{2}}\right)}=\mu
$$

or

$$
\frac{\varepsilon_{p_{1}}}{s_{1}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{p_{2}}}{s_{z}}
$$

i., e. that system is most frequent for which the kinetic energies of the composing systems are proportionate to the number of degrees of freedom.

If we separale the systems, their contents of kinetic energy will fulfill wilh
respect to the parameter $a$. Gibiss has shown that $e^{-} \psi$ corresponds with temperature. With the help of the given relations we can cal-
great probability the theorem of equipartition. Also two systems the energies of which are proportionate to their number of degrees of freedom will be, after that communication of energy has been made possible, in a probable state and it is therefore almost certain that one system will not give or obtain energy from the other. If two systems are brought into contact whose energies are in another proportion, their state is improbable and the total system will probably change in such a way that the system for which $\frac{\varepsilon_{p}}{s}$ is too great, loses energy. What has been said is sufficient to show that $\frac{\varepsilon_{p}}{s}$ can be used as a measure for the temperature.
We have next to consider the mean force in a micro-canonical ensemble. I will give a somewhat simpler deduction than that of Gibss.. (Hertz has also given another treatment).
Consider an ensemble for which the density $\rho$ in phase is a function of $\varepsilon$, the furction $f(\varepsilon)$ being zero everywhere except between narrow limits in the proximity of $\varepsilon_{0}$; between the limits $\rho(\varepsilon)$ shall be supposed everywhere positive. Suppose that $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ are values of $\varepsilon$ lying outside this region but $s 0$, that $\varepsilon_{1}<\varepsilon_{0}<\varepsilon_{2}$; we have identically

$$
\int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{2}^{2}} \varrho d p_{1} \ldots d q_{s}=\int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{2}} \varrho e^{z} d \varepsilon .
$$

Differentiating with respect to the parameters $a$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{3}} \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial \varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial a} d p_{1} \ldots d q_{s} & =+\int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{8}} \varrho \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial a} e^{\varphi} d \varepsilon=-\int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{2}} \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial \varepsilon} A d p_{1} \ldots d q_{s}= \\
& =-\int_{s_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{2}} \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial \varepsilon} \bar{A} e_{\varepsilon}^{\varphi} d \varepsilon=\int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{2}} \varrho\left(\frac{\partial A_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \varepsilon} e^{\varphi}+A_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \varepsilon} e^{\varphi}\right) d \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

The transformation on the second line follows directly from the signification of $\bar{A} \mid$,
The last expression is ohtained by integration in parts. The density p being zero for the limits, we may neglect the fact that $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ can depend on $a$. We therefore obtain

$$
\int_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{\varepsilon_{0}} \varrho\left(\frac{\partial \bar{A} \mid}{\partial \varepsilon}+A_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \varepsilon}-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial a}\right) e^{\varphi} d \varepsilon=0 .
$$

Now $\rho$ being positive and oip differing from 0 , we have

$$
\frac{\partial \bar{A}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \varepsilon}+\bar{A}_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \varepsilon}-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial a}=0 .
$$

The values $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ can be taken as near to each other as we like, therefore
culate $e^{-p} V$ and find for it

$$
\frac{2}{s} \varepsilon_{j_{0}} .
$$

It is worth noticing that (comp. Gibbs form. (377) p. 119)

$$
e^{-q} V=\frac{2}{s} \overline{\varepsilon_{p} \mid},
$$

where $\left.\overline{\varepsilon_{p}}\right|_{\varepsilon}$ represents the average kinetic energy in the ensemble.
We therefore have

$$
\left.\overline{\varepsilon_{p}}\right|_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon_{p_{0}} .
$$

The same relation can be obtained with the help of the given formulae. Using the definition of an average value, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\varepsilon_{p_{2}}}=\frac{\int \varepsilon_{\rho} \Omega_{\Delta}}{\int \Omega_{\Delta}}=e^{-\gamma} \int \varepsilon_{p} \Omega_{0}= & e^{-\gamma} \int \chi\left(A_{1} \ldots A_{1} \ldots A_{k}\right) \\
& \left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{q}\left(A_{1} \ldots A_{y} \ldots A_{x}\right) \frac{s}{)^{2}} d A_{1} \ldots d A_{k} \ldots d A_{k} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The last integral can be transformed into

we can always take care that the sign of ep does not change in the interval. Now $e^{i}$ being $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon}$ we can transform the last equation to

$$
\bar{A} \left\lvert\, e^{i}=\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta} V}{\partial a}+C\right.
$$

$C$ depending only on $a$. Taking for $\varepsilon$ the least value consisient with the energy, we have to take $e^{i}$ and $\frac{\partial V}{\partial a}$ equal to zero and we find the same value for $C$.
To prove (I) we have only to keep in mind that

$$
\Delta \log V=\frac{1}{V}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial a} \Delta a+\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon} \Delta \varepsilon\right)
$$

remembering that $e^{i}=\frac{d V}{\partial \varepsilon}$ we fird

$$
e^{-p} V \Delta \log D=\bar{\epsilon} \mid \Delta a+\Delta \varepsilon .
$$

Further $\left.e^{-\gamma} V=\frac{2}{s} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\mu}\right]$ is equivalent to the temperature; this note shows therefore that (I) is indeed an expression which is comparable wilh that of thermodynamics.

$$
\left.\left.-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{p_{0}}} \frac{\partial^{2} \varepsilon_{q}}{\partial \Lambda_{r}^{\prime}}\right) \xi_{r}^{2}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{p_{0}}}\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{q}}{\partial \Lambda_{l}}\right)\right\}_{d \xi_{1}} \ldots d \xi_{y} \ldots d \xi_{k}=C \frac{\varepsilon_{p_{0}} \Omega_{0}}{\left(p_{1} \ldots p_{\gamma} \ldots p_{k}\right)^{2 / 2}}
$$

which can easily be seen if one remembers that the terms which enter besides $p$, in the exponent are very small in comparison to the $p$, terms. Using the given value for $p$ we obtain

$$
\overline{\varepsilon_{p}}=\left(\varepsilon-\varepsilon_{q}\left(A_{10} . A_{10} \ldots \Lambda_{k_{0}}\right)\right)=\varepsilon_{p_{0}}
$$

The mean energy in the ensemble and that of the most frequently occurring systems are equal. The same is true for the force in the maximum system $A_{0}$ and the mean force $\bar{A}{ }_{\bar{\varepsilon}}$.

The force exercised with respect to a parameter in a system of the energy $\varepsilon$, amounts to $-\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial a}$. We therefore have

$$
\bar{A} \left\lvert\,=-e^{-\varphi} \int \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial a} \Omega_{\Delta} d A_{2} \ldots d \Lambda\right., \quad . d A_{k}
$$

The value of $\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial a}$ can be expressed for a system for which $\Lambda_{y}=\Lambda_{1}+\xi_{y}$ and for which $\xi$, is not too great by

$$
\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial a}=\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial a}\right)_{0}+\sum_{1}^{k}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \varepsilon}{\partial \Lambda_{,} \partial a} \xi+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{3} \varepsilon}{\partial \Lambda_{y}^{2} \partial a} \xi_{x^{2}}\right)+\sum_{i=1=\mu} \frac{\partial^{3} \varepsilon}{\partial A_{,} \partial A_{\mu}} \xi_{r} \xi_{\mu} .
$$

In the integration those systems for which $\xi$, are great have very small influence, we can therefore adopt the given expansion for all values of $\xi_{r}$. Introducing the value of $\Omega_{\Delta}$ we easily see that the terms with $\boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mu}$ disappear in the integration. We also find:

$$
\left.\bar{A}\right|_{\varepsilon}=-\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial a}\right)_{0}-\sum_{1}^{k} \frac{2}{p_{y}} \frac{\partial^{2} \varepsilon}{\partial \Lambda_{x}{ }^{2} \partial a}=A_{0}-\sum_{1}^{k} \frac{2}{p_{y}} \frac{\partial^{2} \varepsilon}{\partial \Lambda_{x}^{2} \partial a}
$$

In general $p_{*}$ is large compared with $\frac{\partial^{2} \varepsilon}{\partial A_{\gamma}^{2} \partial a^{3}}$, we therefore have.

$$
\bar{A} \mid=A_{0}
$$

Comparing the values of $\log V$ and $\log \Omega_{0}$, we see that we have if $s$ is very great

$$
\log V=\log \Omega_{0}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l}^{k} \log p_{x}+\text { Const. }
$$

The sum $\sum_{\mathrm{I}}^{k} \log p$, may be neglected with respect to $\log \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{0}$ if $k$ is small in comparison with $s$, this being the case we have

## ( 849 )

$$
\log V=\log \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{0}+\text { Const. }
$$

Comparing therefore $\log \Omega_{0}$ for two ensembles for which the energy differs by $\Delta \varepsilon$ we find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R T}{N} \Delta \log \Omega_{0}=\Delta \varepsilon+A_{0} \Delta a \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $\frac{R}{N} \log \Omega_{0}$ fulfills therefore the same relation as the thermodynamic entropy in the corresponding case. The correspondence however ought no longer to be considered as to be formal, all quantities relating now to real systems, i. e. to the most frequently occurring system of an ensemble, that may be identified with the system in stationary state. The function $\log V$ sbowing the properties of entropy, the same will be the case for $\log \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{0}$.
5. I shall define the probability $W\left(\Lambda_{1} . . \Lambda_{r}\right)$ of a system $\left(\Lambda_{1} . . \Lambda_{k}^{*} . . \Lambda_{k}\right)$ as the integral of $\Omega$ taken for a region whose magnitude is given by observation and which is characterised by the quantities $\Delta A_{x}$ (comp. (1)). We therefore have

$$
W\left(A_{1}, A_{x} \cdot A_{k}\right)=\int_{\frac{\Lambda_{1}-\Delta \Lambda_{1}}{2}}^{\frac{\Lambda_{1}+\Delta \Lambda_{1}}{2}} \frac{\Lambda_{k}+\Delta \Lambda_{k}}{2} \int_{\Delta \Lambda k}^{2} \Omega\left(\Lambda_{1} \cdot A_{x} \cdot . \Lambda_{k}\right) .
$$

Substituting in this formula the obtained value of $\Omega$ we find

$$
W\left(\Lambda_{1} . . \Lambda_{1} . . \Lambda_{k}\right)=\Omega_{0} \int_{\frac{-\Delta \Lambda_{1}}{2}}^{\frac{\Delta \Lambda_{1}}{2}} \frac{-\Delta \Lambda_{k}}{2} e^{\frac{\Delta \Lambda k}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{1}^{k} p_{y} \xi^{2}{ }_{y} \xi_{\xi_{1}} . . d \xi_{y} \cdot d \xi_{k}
$$

The $\Delta \Lambda$, being relatively small quantities compared with the $\xi_{\text {, }}$, we can put this into the form

$$
W\left(A_{1} . . A_{y} . \cdot A_{h}\right)=\Omega_{0} e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1}^{k} p_{y} \xi^{2}{ }_{y}} \Delta_{-} A_{1} . \Delta A, \Delta A_{l}
$$

We shall now prove that $\frac{R}{N} \log W=\eta_{\Delta}$ shows the properties of the entropy, i.e. that

$$
\eta_{\Delta}=\frac{R}{N} \log W=\frac{R}{N} \log \Omega_{0}+\frac{R}{2 N} \sum_{1}^{k} p_{x} \xi^{2}+\text { Const. . . (III) }
$$

answers to the relation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\Delta}-\eta_{0}=\frac{d \varepsilon+d A}{T} \tag{lV}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\eta_{0}$ in stead of $\frac{R}{N} \log \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{0}+$ Const. we can transform (III) to

$$
\eta_{\Delta}=\eta_{0}+\frac{R}{2 N} \sum_{1}^{k} p_{x} 5^{2}, ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~\left(I I I I^{c}\right)
$$

The energy being the same for the systems under discussion the relation (IV) reduces to

$$
\frac{R}{N} d \log W=\frac{d A}{T}
$$

or

$$
\eta_{\Delta}=\eta_{0}+\frac{d A}{T} .
$$

In order to prove the correspondence of the entropy $\eta$ and $\frac{R}{N} \log W$, we have to show that

$$
\frac{R T}{2 N} \Sigma p, \xi^{2}
$$

represents the work done if the system is brought in a reversible way from the stationary state to that indicated by $\Delta$.

We can make this transformation reversible in two ways:
In the first place we can imagine an external field of force, applied in such a manner that the deviating state in the old ensemble is the most frequently occurring in the new and change this field of force in such a way that the most frequently occurring state passes continually from the states $A$ through the state $A+\xi$. In the second place we can imagine fictitious forces influencing the parameters $A$, in such a way that they allow the non-stationary state to exist. These forces can be changed in such a way, that the said states follow each other as a series of states of equilibrium ${ }^{1}$ ).

I will follow the second way. In order to find the forces wanted, we can take the quantities $\xi$, as parameters and determine the forces $\xi$ working on the stationary system by the relation

$$
e^{-\rightarrow} \nabla \frac{\partial \log V}{\partial \xi}=\pi
$$

The region $V$ to be used here is found substiluting $A_{10}+\xi_{\text {, for }}$ $\mathcal{A}_{x}$ in the value found for $V\left(\varepsilon_{1} \ldots . \mathcal{A}_{\gamma_{0}}\right), \boldsymbol{A}_{\gamma_{0}}+\xi$, being now the equilibrium value for $A \%$.

[^2]In this way we find for the force working on E ,

$$
\Xi=\frac{R T}{N}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial \log V}{\partial A,}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \log V}{\partial A^{2}}\right) \xi\right\}
$$

Introducing these forces in the expression for the work we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d A & =\frac{R T}{N} \sum_{1}^{k}\left\{\int_{0}^{\xi}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial \log V}{\partial A,}\right)_{0}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \log V}{\partial A_{1}^{2}}\right)_{0} \xi_{v}\right\} d \xi_{k}\right\} \\
& =\frac{R T}{2 N} \sum_{1}^{k} \xi_{r}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \log V}{\partial A_{1}^{2}}\right)_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

the first term being zero as follows from the condition of equilibrium.
It has been shown also that $\frac{R}{N} \log W$ corresponds with entropy for a non-stationary state. For two deviating states from different ensembles the same is true, because it is always possible to pass from one to the other, passing through the stationary states of the ensembles, for which states the formula (II) is true.
6. I will shortly indicate what is obtained if we apply the above formulas to a gas (or liquid), the molecules of which answer to the hypothesis of van der Waals. Suppose that we have $n$ perfectly rigid and elastic spherical (diameter $\sigma$ ) molecules in a volume $V$.

Let us divide the volume in $k$ equal elements $V$, which contain $n$, molecules. The volume of the extension in configuration can be represented, as $I$ have shown, by

$$
\frac{n!}{n_{1}!n_{r}!\cdot n_{k}!}\left(\omega\left(\frac{n_{x}}{V_{y}}\right) V_{y}\right)^{n_{\mathrm{x}}},
$$

$\omega(\mathrm{n})=\omega\left(\frac{n_{y}}{V_{l}}\right)$ being a function of density. For the potential energy we shall use the expression

$$
-\frac{a}{2} \sum_{1}^{2} \frac{n_{y}{ }^{2}}{V_{y}}
$$

The quantities $n_{\nu}$ are joined by the relation

$$
\sum_{1}^{k} n_{r}=n
$$

The function $\chi$ of (1) has the form

$$
n_{1}^{-n_{1}} n_{\gamma}-{ }^{-\prime}, n_{l}^{-n_{\gamma}}\left(\omega\left(n_{y}\right) V,\right)^{\prime \prime}
$$

the members $n$, being chosen for $\Lambda$.
The condition for the most frequently occurring system is

$$
-\log \frac{n_{x}}{V_{\nu}}+\frac{\alpha N}{R T} \frac{n_{\nu}}{V_{\gamma}}+\log \omega\left(\mathrm{n}_{\lambda}\right)+\mathrm{n} \frac{d \log \omega\left(\mathrm{v}_{\lambda}\right)}{d \mathrm{n}_{\lambda}}=-t_{1} .
$$

The quantities $n 2$ are normal coordinates, the value of $p_{k}$ is

$$
p_{4}=-\frac{1}{n_{\gamma_{0}}}+\frac{2}{V} \frac{d \log \omega\left(\mathrm{n}_{y}\right)}{d \mathrm{n}_{y}}+\frac{\mathrm{n}_{x}}{\bar{V}_{\gamma}} \frac{d^{2} \log \omega\left(\mathrm{n}_{\gamma}\right)}{d \mathrm{n}_{2}^{2}}+\frac{a N}{R T} \frac{1}{V_{1}}-\frac{N}{R T} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mu}} a \mathrm{n}_{\gamma}^{2} .
$$

The last term is again small in respect to the others, we therefore find

$$
p_{y}=-\frac{1}{n,} \frac{d}{a \mathrm{n}_{k}}\left(\mathrm{n},-\mathrm{n}_{2}, \frac{d \log \omega\left(\mathrm{n}_{\alpha}\right)}{d \mathrm{n}_{\alpha}}-\frac{2 \mathrm{n}^{2}{ }^{2} \Lambda^{r}}{2 R T^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

$\varepsilon_{\rho}$ being $\frac{3 n}{2} R T$.
If we take into consideration that the pressure $\pi$ of a gas (comp. my dissertation p. 125) is expressed by

$$
\pi=\frac{R T}{N}\left(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{n}^{2} \frac{d \log \omega(\mathrm{n})}{d \mathrm{n}}-\frac{\alpha \mathrm{n}^{2}}{R T}\right)
$$

we can put $p$, in the form

$$
p_{y}=-\frac{1}{n_{k}} \frac{N}{R T} \frac{d \pi\left(\mathrm{n}_{\lambda}\right)}{d \mathrm{n}_{\prime}} .
$$

The expression $\log V$ can easily be used to calculate the pressure.
7. The mean value of $\left(A,-A_{\gamma_{0}}\right)^{2}$ i.e. of $\xi^{2}$, can easily be calculated. One finds for it

$$
\overline{\xi^{2},}=\frac{1}{p_{i}}
$$

or $p_{r} \xi^{2},=1$. We can apply this formula to calculate the mean work necessary to bring the system from the normal into the deviating slate, we obtain for it

$$
\overline{d A}=k \frac{R T}{2 N} .
$$

For each quantity $A$, this mean works amounts to $\frac{R T}{2 N}$ i. e. the mean work is equal to the energy pro degree of freedom.

The result has also been obtained by Einsrein. Indeed it can be shown that for our case the definition which Einstrin has given and the definition used are identical, if only it may be supposed that the path of the representing point of the system fills the space $\varepsilon=$ const. everywhere dense. Einstrin defines the probability of a state $\mathscr{A}_{1} \ldots A_{x} \quad \Lambda_{k}$ as the fraction of a very long time $T$ for which the
system is in the said state. I have shown ${ }^{1}$ ) that the probability in a time ensemble can be expressed by

$$
C \frac{d s}{V}
$$

$d s$ being an element of the path of the system and $V$ being the velocity of the representing point on its path. The quantity $C$ is given by $\int \frac{d s}{V}$ integrated along the whole path.

The probability defined by Einstein now can be expressed by

$$
C \int \frac{d s}{V},
$$

where the integration covers all those elements for which the values of $A$, have the given magnitude. If the hypothesis of Ensstern may be used the value of this integral can be expressed by the part of the space $\varepsilon=C$ which is the limit of $d \varepsilon \Omega\left(A_{1} \ldots A, \ldots A_{h}\right) \triangle A$, if $d \varepsilon$ approaches zero, and the space has been filled in such a way with systems, that $\varphi d \varepsilon$ bas a finite value if $d \varepsilon$ approaches zero.

For by Einstern's hypothesis all the points for which $A_{x}$ is between $A_{1}$ and $A_{y}+\triangle A_{x}$ are on the path of the representing point, and the given expression represents the part of the space for which the $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ 's have the given values. The integral $\int \frac{d s}{V}$ taken over the elements indicated above and $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\mathcal{I}_{1}\right)$ are identical.

Using these conditions limiting, however, the generality, we have proved that the probability as defined by Einstuan is proportional to the entropy.

Groningen, Sept. 1911.

Physics. - "Remurks on the relation of the method of GibBs for the determination of the equation of state with that of the virial and the mean free path. By Dr. L. S. Ornsiern, (Communicated by Prof. H. A: Lorentrz).
(Gommunicated in the meeting of December 30, 1911).
In determining the equation of state by means of statistical meclanics it is useful to introduce a function $\omega$, which for a system of $n$ molecules of diameter $\sigma$ is given by an integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d w_{1} . . d z_{n}=\omega^{n} \nabla^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Ann. der Phys Vol 33, 1910, p. 1276.
    ${ }^{2}$ ) The quantties $\Lambda$ may be geometrical quantuties but also densilies in given spaces. The quantities determining deformation must be used in several cases, also the optical qualities of the system, in other cases we have to do with thermical quantilies relating to parts of the system accessible to observation, Fand which may be said to characterse the system for it.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) It is however not difficult to extend the considerations to those cases where this is not so and where the $\Lambda_{x}$ can be thermical quantities.
    ${ }^{2}$ ) This. need not be the case if we take as variables such quantities which are suggested by the nature of the problem, e.g. there exists a relation between the 56 *

[^2]:    ${ }^{1)}$ If we have for example a gas the density of which deviates from the normal we can as well by introducing a field of force as by fictitious walls change the non-stationary slate into a slate of equilibrium.

[^3]:    1) Comp thece Proc. of Jan 28 1910, p. 804.
