

*Citation:*

Koorders, S.H., Polyporandra Junghuhnii, a hitherto undescribed species of the order of Icacinaceae, found in 's Rijks Herbarium at Leiden (Plantae Junghuhnianae ineditae II, in: KNAW, Proceedings, 11, 1908-1909, Amsterdam, 1909, pp. 763-765

5. When each ray through a singular point determines a system of tangents with *index two*, then the equation is projectively reducible to an equation of the form

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{N(x)y^2 + P(x)y + Q(x)}{R(x)y + S(x)}.$$

For, this equation determines for  $x = m$  the tangents of a conic and by the substitution

$$x = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \quad y = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$$

(see § 1) it is transformed into an equation having in  $\alpha = 0, \gamma = 0$  a singular point, whilst each ray of the pencil  $\alpha = m\gamma$  possesses the above indicated property.

The equation

$$x \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{x^3 + y^3 - 2x^2y^2 - xy}{y^2 - 2x^2y - x}$$

is in this case, for each ray  $y = mx$  furnishes a system of tangents with index two. By the substitution

$$x = \frac{1}{v}, \quad y = \frac{u}{v}$$

it passes into the equation (of RICCATI)

$$\frac{dv}{du} = 2u - u^2v + v^2.$$

This can be reduced with the aid of the solution  $v = u^2$  to the equation (of BERNOULLI)

$$\frac{dw}{du} = u^2w + w^2,$$

where  $w = v - u^2$ . By  $w = z^{-1}$  we then arrive at a linear differential equation.

**Botany.** — MR. VAN DER STOK presents in behalf of S. H. KOORDERS a communication entitled: "*Polyporandra Junghuhnii*, a hitherto undescribed species of the order of Icacinaceae, found in 's Rijks Herbarium at Leiden by S. H. KOORDERS" (*Plantae Junghuhnianae ineditae II*)<sup>1</sup>).

(Communicated in the meeting of February 27, 1909).

*Polyporandra Junghuhnii*, KDS n. spec. *Frutex?* scandens, ramulis teretibus novellis pubescentibus. Folia opposita, oblonga, basi acuta vel obtusa, apice sensim acuminata; 12—13 cm. longa et 4—5 cm. lata, petiolo 1—1½ cm. longo, subcoriacea, supra praeter costam

<sup>1</sup>) Continuation of *Plantae Junghuhnianae ineditae I* in Proceedings of the Mathematical and Physical Section, of June 27 1908, p. 158—162.

*sulcatam pubescentem glabra, subtus puberula et trinervia, nervis lateralibus utrinque 5—7. adscendentibus in margine exeuntibus, nervis secundariis inter primarios transversis atque venis reticulatis, subtus distincte prominentibus. Cirrhi in specimine Jungh. desunt. Flores dioici; masc. nondum aperti, cymoso-paniculati; feminei ignoti. Inflorescentiae axillares laxae folium subaequant; pedunculi pedicellique pubescentes; bractee caducissimae (?), in specimine Jungh. deficientes; pedicelli alabastris oblongis breviores; calyx sub-campanulatus 5-partitus, 2 mm. longus, laciniis lanceolatis scariosis, erectis, acuminatis 1—1.2 millim. longis, extus appresse pilosis. Petala 5 crassiuscula, calyce breviores, extus pilis longis appressis albis. Stamina 5—6 rarissime 7 filamentis brevissimis, teretiusculis, glabris; antheris oblongis vel linearibus 8—10-ocularibus. Pollen globoso-tetraëdram laeve 10  $\mu$  diam. Ovarium rudimentum subnullum. Fructus ignotus.*

SUMATRA: "Hochangkola-Tobing" (leg. JUNGHUHN anno? 1839. — *Plantae Junghuhnianae ineditae* n. 542 in *Herb. Lugd. Batav.*).

The species described above is the third representative of the genus *Polyporandra* BECC., belonging to the  *Icacinaceae* and related to *Jodes* Bl. This species, *Polyporandra Junghuhnii*, was found by me in 1908 in 's Rijks Herbarium at Leiden (*Plantae Junghuhnianae ineditae* N<sup>o</sup>. 542) and had been collected by JUNGHUHN in the Battak country at "Hochangkola-Tobing". It differs *i. a.* from the two already known species of *Polyporandra* in the structure of the calyx; in *P. scandens* BECCARI (in *Malesia* I (1877) 125 tab. 7) and *P. Hansemanni* ENGLER (in *ENGLER Botan. Jahrb. XVI, Beiblatt, N<sup>o</sup>. 39 (1893) 13*) the calyx is cup-shaped and has short teeth, whereas in *Polyporandra Junghuhnii* it is 5-partite, with pointed segments 1 millim. long.

Superficially the flowers of *Polyporandra Junghuhnii* somewhat resemble these of *Natsiatum herpeticum* BUCHAN, but our *Polyporandra* is sharply differentiated from their species by the characteristic structure of the anthers, described above.

That our species must be included in the above-mentioned genus *Polyporandra* BECC., seems to me to be highly probable. Since however, all the material, which has as yet been found, consists of a single dried branch with young, not completely developed male flowers, floral bud and three leaves, I consider it possible that afterwards, when the as yet unknown female flower, fruits and seeds of *Polyporandra Junghuhnii* shall have been found, this species will prove to be the type of a new sub-genus of *Polyporandra* or of a new

genus, directly intermediate between *Polyporandra* BECC. and *Natsiatum* BUCHAN. Because the material is so incomplete, I have, however, thought it advisable to refrain even from proposing a new sub-genus and to assign to this species a place in the genus *Polyporandra*.

In the Herbarium of the Royal Botanic Gardens at Kew I last year compared authentic specimens of the only hitherto described species of *Polyporandra* (*P. scandens* BECCARI and *P. Hansemanni* ENGLER) with JUNGHUHN'S unicum of the Leiden Herbarium. In so doing I have become convinced that *Polyporandra scandens* and *Hansemanni* are very closely related but that, as was indicated above, our species (*Polyporandra Junghuhnii*) is sharply marked off from these specifically.

In conclusion I wish to tender my best thanks to the Director of the Royal Botanic Gardens in Kew, for the facilities given me for the comparison of the above-mentioned authentic specimens of BECCARI and of ENGLER.

Leiden, February 26<sup>th</sup>, 1909.

**Physics.** — “*On the solid state*”. By Mr. J. J. VAN LAAR. (Communicated by Prof. H. A. LORENTZ.)

(Communicated in the meeting of February 27, 1909).

1. In a recently published paper <sup>1)</sup> I treated the complete theory of association, not only for gases and vapours, but also for liquids.

If we assume that only two simple molecules combine to a double molecule, the formula:

$$\frac{\beta^2}{1-\beta^2} = \frac{cT^{\nu+1} e^{-\frac{q_0 + (p + \frac{a}{c^2}) \Delta b}{RT}}}{p + \frac{a}{c^2}}, \dots \dots \dots (\alpha)$$

holds universally, in which  $c$  is a constant to be determined, and further:

$$\gamma = \frac{-k_1 + 2k_2}{R} ; \quad \Delta b = -b_1 + 2b_2.$$

So the quantity  $\gamma R$  is the change of the specific heat for infinitely great constant volume, when 1 Gr. mol. of double molecules passes into 2 Gr. mol. of single molecules, while  $\Delta b$  is the change of the volume of the molecules in this transition. The quantity  $q_0 = -(e_1)_0 + 2(e_2)_0$  repre-

<sup>1)</sup> In the *Arch. Tejler* (2) T. 11, Troisième partie, p. 235–331 (1909).