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Consequently, assuming the yearly motion to be constant, we find for the 14 -monthly motion, both from the $x$ and the $y$, values of the amplitude that decrease pretty regularly and pretty rapidly ${ }^{1}$ ). For the epoch we find from the first and the second period a fairly good agreement ${ }^{2}$ ), whereas from the third we find a decidedly deviating value.

The reality of this last deviation is not very probable, and this tends to diminish the force of the arguments which are in favour of the acceptation of a decrease of the amplitude, which might be explained by frictional influences ${ }^{3}$ ).

Physics. - "The properties of the pressure curves for co-existing phases of mixtures". By Prof. J. D. van der Waals.

In the "Verslagen en Mededeelingen der Akademie voor 1891" I have deduced an explicite expression for the pressure in the casc that one of the phases of a mixture may be considered as a rarefied gas.

Since that time the course of the value of the pressure for different mixtures has been determined experimentally in different ways, so that we are cnabled to test the'given formula at the results of the experiments.

In the given formula an auxiliary quantity $\mu_{x}$ occurs, which represents: $p v-\int p d v$ or $p v-\operatorname{MRTlog}\left(v-\partial_{x}\right)-\frac{a_{x}}{v}$, while the differential coefficient of this quantity with respect to $x, \operatorname{viz} .\left(\frac{d \mu_{x}}{d x}\right)_{p} T$ may be approximately equated to $-\frac{d \frac{a_{x}}{b_{x}}}{d x}$.

As examples I draw attention to two shapes of these curves, which have been communicated in the Proceedings of this Academy: 1st. by Mr. Hartman for nixtures of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ by Mr. Cunaeus for mixtures of Acetone and Ether. The curve traced by Mr. Hartman is remarkable on account of the simple shape of $p=f\left(x_{1}\right)$, which is almost a straight line, and that of Mr. Cunaeus

[^0]on account of the fact, that in the curve $p=f\left(x_{2}\right)$ a distinct inflection point occurs.

The investigation in low far these culves agree with the given formula, will show that in one respoct these two shapes may be considered as two limiting cases. For simplicity's sake I shall write henceforth $\mu_{x}$ instead of $\frac{\mu_{x}}{M R T}$. In the same way I shall represent $\frac{\left(\frac{d \mu_{x}}{d x}\right)_{p T}}{M R T}$ by $\mu_{x}^{\prime}$, and a similar expression for the second differential coefficient by $\mu^{\prime \prime} x$. The value of these quantities for $x=0$ and $x=1$ will be: $\mu_{0}, \mu_{0}^{\prime}, \mu_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mu_{1}, \mu_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mu_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. Then $p$ may be represented by the formula:

$$
p=M R T^{\prime}\left(1-x_{1}\right) e^{\mu x_{1}-x_{1} \mu x_{1}-1}+M R T x_{1} e^{\mu x_{1}+\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime} \mu x_{1}-1}
$$

or

$$
p=p_{0}\left(1-x_{1}\right) e^{\mu x_{1}-\mu_{0}-x_{1} \mu^{\prime} x_{1}}+p_{1} x_{1} e^{\mu x_{1}-\mu_{1}+\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu^{\prime} x_{1}}
$$

In general we cannot express $p$ explicitely as function of $x_{2}$. But for the same value of $p$ we have the following relation between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ which may be derived from the equality of $\left(\frac{d \psi}{d x}\right)_{v T}$ for the two phases:

$$
\frac{x_{1}}{1-x_{2}} e^{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}}=\frac{x_{2}}{1-x_{2}} .
$$

If we take into consideration, that for very low temperatures, the value of $\mu^{\prime} x$ is approximately equal to $-\frac{d \frac{a_{x}}{b_{x}}}{d x}$, we may indicate a few limiting cases for the course of the quantities $\frac{a_{x}}{b_{x}}$, and the shapes which the pressure curves will assume in these limiting shapes. I have already assumed in my: "Theorie Moléculaire" that by approximation $\mu_{x}^{\prime}$ may be equated to $-\frac{d \frac{a_{x}}{b_{x}}}{d x}$ and the deductions which have there been obtained from this assumption, have since been confirmed to such an extent by the properties of the plaitpoint curves for mixtures, which show a minimum critical temperature,
that I feel justified in deriving further results from this approximation. I shall, however, first derive other equations which are independent of this approximation from the given values for $p$.

If we write $p=M R T e^{\mu} x_{1}-x_{1} \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime-1}\left\{1-x_{1}+x_{1} e^{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}}\right\}$, then follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p} \frac{d p}{d x_{1}}=-r_{1} \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\left(e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}-1\right)}{}+x_{1} e^{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}} \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime} \\
& 1-x_{1}+x_{1} e^{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(e^{\left.\mu^{\prime} x_{1}-1\right)\left[1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right]}\right. \\
1-x_{1}+x_{1} e^{\mu_{x_{1}}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

This latter value agrees perfectly with that which is found by stanting from the rigorously correct equation:

$$
v_{21} \frac{d p}{d x_{1}}=\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(\frac{d^{2} \zeta}{d x_{1}^{2}}\right)_{p T},
$$

if compared with $v_{2}$, the volume of a molecule in the vapour phasis, we neglect the value of $v_{1}$ and $\left(\frac{d v_{1}}{d x_{1}}\right)_{p T}$ and equate $v_{2}$ to $\frac{M R T}{p}$. We may namely put $\psi+p v$ for $\zeta$; we find then:

$$
\left(\frac{d \zeta}{d x_{1}}\right)_{p T}=M R T \log \frac{x_{1}}{1-x_{1}}+\left(\frac{d \mu}{d x_{1}}\right)_{p T}
$$

and

$$
\left(\frac{d^{2} \zeta}{d x_{1}^{2}}\right)_{p}=\frac{M \pi T}{x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)}+\left(\frac{d^{2} \mu}{d x_{1}^{2}}\right)_{p T}
$$

After elimination of $x_{2}$ we find:

$$
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d p}{d x_{1}}=\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)}\left\{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right\}=\frac{\left(e^{\mu \prime} x_{1}-1\right)\left[1+x_{1}(1-x) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right]}{\left(1-x_{1}\right)+x_{1} e^{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}}}
$$

The following wellknown facts may be deduced from this equation: $1^{\text {st }} \cdot \frac{d p}{d \alpha_{1}}=0$ if $e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}=1$ or $\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}=0$ or $x_{2}=x_{1}$ and $2^{\text {nd }} \frac{d p}{d x_{1}}=0$ if $1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{4}}^{\prime \prime}=0$ or $\left(\frac{d^{2} \zeta}{d \cdot x_{1}{ }^{2}}\right)_{p}=0$.

From
we deduce

$$
\frac{d p}{d x_{1}}=p \frac{\left(e^{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}}-1\right)\left[1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right]}{1-x_{1}+x_{1} e^{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}}}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}{ }^{2}}}{\frac{d p}{d x_{1}}}=\frac{1}{p} \frac{d p}{d x_{1}}-\frac{\left(e^{\left.\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}-1\right)+x_{1} e^{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}} \mu^{\prime \prime} x_{1}}\right.}{1-x_{1}+x_{1} e^{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}}}+\frac{e^{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}} \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}}{e^{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}-1}}+ \\
+\frac{\left(1-2 x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}}
\end{array}
$$

or

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}^{2}}}{\frac{d p}{d x_{1}}}=\mu_{x_{1} \prime \prime}^{\prime \prime}\left\{-x_{1}+\frac{e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}}{e^{\mu_{1} x_{1}}-1}+\frac{\left(1-2 x_{1}\right)}{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}}\right\}+ \\
\quad+\mu_{x_{1} \prime \prime \prime}^{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}}
\end{array}
$$

Let us put some special cases:
Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}=1 \text { or } \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}=0, \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

then:

$$
\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}^{2}}=p \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\left\{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right\}
$$

If $\mu_{z_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ is positive, $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}{ }^{2}}$ is also positive; so in this case there is a minimum pressure. But from the assumption that $M R T \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}$ may be equated with $\frac{-a\left(\frac{a_{x_{1}}}{b_{x_{1}}}\right)}{d x_{1}}$ follows: $M R T \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{d^{2}\left(\frac{a_{x_{1}}}{b_{x_{1}}}\right)}{d x_{1}{ }^{2}}$. We conclude therefore, that at very low $T$ there is a minimum pressure for that mixture, for which $\frac{a_{x}}{b_{x}}$ has a maximun value. A mixture with a maximum value for $\frac{a_{\infty}}{b_{x}}$ has, however, not been found as yet, and it is even doubted whether this will ever occur for normal substances.

If $\mu_{o_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ is negative, then $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}{ }^{2}}$ is negative, when $1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ is positive. So there is for $p$ a maximum value for that mixture which shows a minimum for $\frac{a_{\infty}}{b_{\infty}}$. And numerous instances of this have been found. If $\mu_{o_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ has so great a negative value, that $1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{4}}^{\prime \cdot}$ is also negative, then $p$ has again a minimum value. That $1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ is negative, implies however, that $\left(\frac{d^{2} \zeta}{d x_{1}^{2}}\right)_{p T}$ is negative, and can therefore only occur for unstable phases. And for this too we may say that it is very doubtful, whether this can ever be the case for mixtures of normal substances.

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=0 \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find:

$$
\left(\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}^{2}}\right)_{0}=p_{1} \mu_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(2 e^{\mu_{0}^{\prime}}-1\right)
$$

If the pressure is increasing at $x_{1}=0$, then $\left(\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}{ }^{2}}\right)_{0}$ has the same sign as $\mu^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}$. If the pressure should also increase on the side where $x_{1}=1$, and if there should therefore be a maximum pressure for a certain value of $x_{1}$, then the quantity $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}{ }^{2}}$ has all over the curve $p=f\left(x_{1}\right)$ the $\operatorname{sign} \mu^{\prime \prime}$, which is necessarily negative. The supposition that we may put $M T R \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{d^{2} \frac{a}{b}}{d_{r^{2}}}$, makes the sign of $\mu_{x}^{\prime \prime}$ dependent on:

$$
-2 b_{1}^{2} b_{2}^{2} \frac{\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}^{2}}-2 \frac{a_{12}}{b_{1} b_{2}}}{\left[b_{1}(1-x)+b_{1} x\right]^{3}},
$$

at least if we may replace, $b_{12}$ by the approximate value $\frac{b_{1}+b_{2}}{2}$. But even though this should not be quite accurate, yet it is not to be expected that the value of $\mu_{x}^{\prime \prime}$ should deviate much from the given formula. The given formula makes the sign of $\mu_{x}^{\prime \prime}$ dependent
on $\left(\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}{ }^{2}}-2 \frac{a_{12}}{b_{1} b_{2}}\right)$, so that all over the curve the sign remains invariable.

If on one of the sides the pressure should decrease, and if we put on that side $x_{1}=0$, then the value of $e^{\mu^{\prime}}$ is smailer than 1 . We get then $\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}<1$. But not before $\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}<\frac{1}{2}$, the sign of $\left(\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}^{2}}\right)_{0}$ will differ from that of $\mu^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}$.
If we have the exceptional case that there is a maximum pressure just on one of the sides then $e^{\mu_{0}^{\prime}}=1$, and therefore $\left(\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}{ }^{2}}\right)=p_{1} \mu_{l}^{\prime \prime}$. This is almost, if not quite the case for the mixtures of acetone and ether investigated by Mr. Cunaeus. On the etherside the pressure is maximum, and the simple shape of the curve $p=f\left(x_{1}\right)$, for which the curvature is always such that $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}{ }^{2}}<0$, follows immediately from this supposition.

In this curve of Cunatus we have the case that one of the mixtures has a minimum critical temperature, though it is one of the components, but on the other hand in the curve of Hartman we have almost, if not quite the case, that $\mu_{x}^{\prime}$ is constant for all values of $x$, and that there is therefore no question of a minimum critical temperature - not even if we should take $x$ far beyond the limits of $x=0$ and $x=1$. It is not to be expected that this will ever be rigorously the case. Only if we put for $b_{x}$ the approximate value $b_{1}(1-x)+b_{2} x, \frac{a_{x}}{b_{x}}$ would be a linear function of $x$, in case $\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{a_{2}}{b_{2}{ }^{2}}-2 \frac{a_{12}}{b_{1} b_{2}}$ is equal to zero. But even if we do not introduce this approximate value of $b_{x}$, we may at least imagine as limiting case such a value of $\frac{a_{x}}{b_{x}}$, that it differs little from a straight line between 0 and 1. As limiting case we may thercfore put $\mu_{x}^{\prime}=$ constant.

Then we get $\mu_{x_{1}}-x_{1} \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}=\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{r_{1}, 1}+(1-x) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}=\mu_{1}$, and

$$
p=M R T\left(1-x_{1}\right) e^{\mu_{0}-1}+M R T x_{1} e^{\mu_{1}-1}
$$

or

$$
p=p_{0}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \quad+p_{1} x_{1}
$$

Consequently $p=f\left(x_{1}\right)$ is exactly a straight line, which Hartman has found for mixtures of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ by approximation.

Moreover, it follows immediately from the value which we have
found fur $\frac{d^{2} p}{d r_{1}^{2}}$, that if we always put $\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ and so also $\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime \prime}$, as equal to zero, the value of this quantity is always equal to zero, and the pressure must be represented by a straight line.

In this special case it is also possible to give $p=f\left(x_{\bar{z}}\right)$ explicicitely. We have namely as relation between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ :

$$
\frac{x_{2}}{1-x_{2}}=\frac{x_{1}}{1-x_{1}} e^{\mu_{x:}^{\prime}}=\frac{x_{1}}{1-x_{1}} e^{\mu_{1}-\mu_{j}}=\frac{x_{1}}{1-x_{1}} \frac{p_{1}}{p_{0}}
$$

or

$$
1-x_{1}=\frac{1-x_{2}}{1-x_{2}+\frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} x_{2}}
$$

and

$$
x_{1}=\frac{\frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} x_{2}}{1-x_{2}+\frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}} x_{2}}
$$

Substituting these values we find:

$$
p=\frac{p_{0} p_{1}}{p_{1}\left(1-x_{2}\right)+p_{0} x_{2}} .
$$

The curve $p=f\left(x_{2}\right)$ traced by Hartman, resembles a hyperbola, but it deviates too much from it for the deviations to be ascribed to experimental errors. But in reality, these observations have been made at a too high temperature for considering the vapour phasis as a rarefied gasphasis. Specially for carbonic acid, where the pressure was even greater than 45 atmospheres, the deviations, caused by it, must have been considerable. It would be interesting to investigate whether at a lower temperature (Hariman observed at $9^{\circ}, 5$ ) the vapourbranch would approach closer to a hyperbola.

We may in this case write for $p=f\left(x_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1-x_{2}}{p_{0}}+\frac{x_{2}}{p_{1}}
$$

from which, as we are here concerned with gasphascs, follows:

$$
v=v_{0}\left(1-x_{2}\right)+v_{1} x_{2} .
$$

If we take therefore an arbitrary guantity of the saturated vapour
of the first substance, and also an arbitrary quantity of the saturated vapour of the second, and if they mix in a volume which is the sum of the two volumes, the mixture is again saturated vapour. This result deviates altogether from the law of Dalton applied to saturated vapour. But this law of Dacton will only hold good as an approximation, if the liquid, which would be formed through condensation, may be considered as unmixed liquid.

It is well-known that Daniel Berthelot has put $a_{12}{ }^{2}=a_{1} a_{2}$. I have refuted this opinion some time ago, first because the ground which was alleged for concluding to this relation, seemed incorrect to me, as it does still, but secondiy because the great variety, which the critical phenomena of mixtures show, seemed to me to clash with the assumption of such a simple relation between $a_{12}, a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$. Since I have learned to ascribe many complications, which mixtures show, to the anomaly of the components themselves, a great many objections, which I had against the relation $a_{12}=V a_{1} a_{2}$, have lost their weight, and any rate I think it desirable to keep in view at every phenomenon the possibility, that this relation should be fulfilled. If we do so also in this case, the condition that $\frac{a_{\infty}}{b_{x}}$ be a linear function of $x_{1}$, becomes at least by approximation the following:

$$
\left(\frac{V a_{1}}{b_{1}}-\frac{V a_{2}}{b_{2}}\right)^{2}=0
$$

or the critical expressions of the components are the same. Now it is indeed remarkable, that in the mixture of Hartman, for which the critical temperatures are almost in the proportion of 3 to 4 , the critical expressions differ comparatively little - that of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ being equal to 73 and that of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ to 65 or 66 atmospheres. The condition that the critical expressions must be the same for the components, is fulfilled if $T_{c_{2}}=T_{c_{1}} \frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}$, so if the critical temperatures are proportional to the volumes of the molecules. And though this condition is not quite fulfilled for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$, yet it is tulfilled in an incomparably greater degree than for the other examined mixtures, for which the substance with the smallest molecule possesses the highest critical temperature. $S_{0}$ is in a mixture of acetone and ether the critical temperature of ether lower than that of acetone, whereas the volume of the molecules of ether exceeds that of acetone. For acetone and ether the condition $\frac{V a_{1}}{b_{1}}=\frac{V a_{2}}{b_{2}}$ is certainly not ful-
filled and in close connection with this is the great difference in the curve determined by Cunaeus as compared with that of Hartman ${ }^{1}$ ).

In what precedes we have been specially occupied with the difference in the course of $p=f\left(x_{1}\right)$ for these mixtures. Let us also pay attention to $p=f\left(x_{2}\right)$. As the elimination of $x_{1}$ from the equation:

$$
\frac{x_{1}}{1-x_{1}} e^{\mu_{o_{1}}^{\prime}}=\frac{x_{2}}{1-x_{2}}
$$

is not possible, when $\mu_{a_{1}}^{\prime}$ depends on $x_{1}, p$ can generally not be expressed explicitely in $x_{2}$. Yet we may deduce formulae for $\frac{d p}{d x_{2}}$ and $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{2}^{2}}$, which are of importance for the determination of the different shapes of these curves.

From the two strictly accurate equations:

$$
v_{21} d p=\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)\left(\frac{d^{2} \zeta}{d x_{1}^{2}}\right)_{p T} d x_{1}
$$

and

$$
v_{12} d p=\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\left(\frac{d^{2} \zeta}{d x_{2}{ }^{2}}\right)_{p T} d x_{2}
$$

follows, in case the second phasis is a rarefied vapour-phasis, and we may consequently put $v_{21}=v_{2}$ and $v_{12}=-v_{2}$ :

$$
\left(\frac{d^{2} \zeta}{d x_{1}^{2}}\right)_{p T} d x_{\mathrm{L}}=\left(\frac{d^{2} \zeta}{d x_{2}{ }_{2}^{2}}\right)_{p T} d x_{2}
$$

or

$$
\frac{d x_{1}}{x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)}\left\{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right\}=\frac{d x_{2}}{x_{2}\left(1-x_{2}\right)} .
$$

When the second phasis follows the gas laws sufficiently, the second term is simplified to the form given here.

By means of this relation between $d x_{1}$ and $d x_{2}$ and of the relation $\frac{x_{2}}{1-x_{2}}=\frac{x_{1}}{1-x_{1}} e^{\mu_{o_{1}}^{\prime}}$, we find:

[^1]Proceedings Royal Acad. Amsterdam Vol IIL,

$$
\frac{1}{p} \frac{d p}{d x_{2}}=\frac{1}{p} \frac{d p}{d x_{1}} \frac{d x_{1}}{d x_{2}}=\left(1-e^{-\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}}\right)\left(1-x_{2}+x_{1} e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}\right)
$$

pr

$$
\frac{d p}{d x_{2}}=p\left(1-e^{-\mu^{\prime} x_{2}}\right)\left(1-x_{1}+x_{1} e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}\right)
$$

It appears from this formula that $p$ as function of $x_{2}$ presents a maximum or a minimum only when $e^{\mu} x_{1}=1$. In the case, that a longitudinal plait exists, there are two more values of $x_{1}$, for which $p$ as function of $x_{1}$ might become maximum or minimum in the unstable region, but this is not the case for $p$ as function of $x_{2}$. The curve $p=f\left(x_{2}\right)$ presents two cusps for the values of $x_{2}$, which are conjugated to those values of $x_{1}$, for which $1+x_{2}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ should be zero. This I have already pointed out in the Theor. Mol. In order to determine $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{2}{ }^{2}}$ we differentiate the logarithm of the last equation, which yields:

$$
\frac{\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{2}{ }^{2}}}{\frac{d p}{d x_{2}}}=\frac{d x_{1}}{d x_{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{p} \frac{d p}{d x_{1}}+\frac{\left(e^{\mu_{x_{1}}}-1\right)+x_{1} e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}} \mu_{x}^{\prime \prime}}{1-x_{1}+x_{1} e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}}+\frac{e^{-\mu^{\prime} x_{1}} \mu^{\prime} x_{1}}{1-e^{-\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}}\right\}
$$

or
$\frac{d_{2} p}{d x_{2}^{2}}=\frac{d p}{d x_{2}} \frac{d x_{1}}{d r_{2}}\left\{\frac{2\left(e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}-1\right)}{1-x_{1}+x_{1} e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}}+\mu^{\prime \prime} x_{1}\left[\frac{2 x_{1} e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}}{1-x_{1}+x_{1} e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}}-x_{1}+\frac{1}{e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}-1}}\right]\right\}$
Special cases are:
(a) Be $1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}=0$, then $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{2}{ }^{2}}=\infty$, as $\frac{d x_{1}}{d x_{2}}$ is $\infty$ in this case. We have already pointed out, that the curve $p=f\left(r_{2}\right)$ presents cusps in the points conjugated to these values of $x_{1}$.
(l) Be $u^{\prime} x_{1}=0$, then $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{2}^{2}}=p \frac{\mu^{\prime \prime} x_{1}}{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}}$. If we compare this value with $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}{ }^{2}}$, it appears, that at the point of contact the two curves $p=f\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $p=f\left(x_{2}\right)$ lie on the same side of the tangent. The curvatures however are unequal, except in the case, that for such a point $x_{1}=0$. For the mixture of acetone and ether
this exception occurs at least approximately on the side of the ether.
(c) Be $x_{1}=0$, then $\left(\frac{d^{2} p}{d \cdot x_{2}^{2}}\right)_{0}=p_{0} \frac{2\left(e^{\mu_{0}^{\prime}}-1\right)^{2}+\mu_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{\left(e^{\prime} 0\right)^{2}}$.

This equation shows, that $\left(\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{2}{ }^{2}}\right)_{0}$ will be negative only by exception; only when $\mu_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ is negative and bas a numeric value, greater than $\left(e^{\mu_{0}^{\prime}}-1\right)^{2}$. It may however occur, and that on both sides for mixtures with maximum-pressure. It the following three figures the curves $p=f\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $p=f\left(x_{2}\right)$, which may then occur, are drawn.


Fig. 1.


Fig. 2.


Fig. 3.

In fig. 1 a curve has been drawn, for which the maximumpressure is not much greater than the pressures on both sides, and for which therefore $e^{\mu_{0}^{\prime}}-1$ has a small value, even on the sides. As in the case of a maximum-pressure the quantity $\mu^{\prime \prime}$ is negative, $\left(\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{2}{ }^{2}}\right)_{0}$ may be negative on both sides.

In fig. ' 2 this is the case only on one side, while in fig. 3 the value of ( $e^{\mu_{0}^{\prime}}-1$ ) is supposed to be great enough, to cause $\frac{t^{2} p}{d x_{2}{ }^{2}}$ to be positive on both sides.
The curve, traced by Mr. Cunamos relating to his investigation on acetone and ether is therefore to be considered as either the lefthand or the righthand half of fig. 3 , and the point of inflection, which he has found, was to be expected, as on the side of acetone the value of $e^{\mu_{0}^{\prime}}-1=\left(\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}}\right)_{0}$ is rather great, viz. 3,5 .
From the value of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, at which the point of inflection has been found, we may derive the value of $\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ with the aid of the
formula we have found for $\frac{d^{2} p}{d r_{2}{ }^{2}}$. To that purpose we have to equate the factor of $\frac{d p}{d x_{2}} \frac{d x_{1}}{d x_{2}}$ to zero. If we substitute $\frac{x^{2}}{1-x_{2}} \frac{1-x_{1}}{x_{1}}$ for $e^{\mu^{\prime} x_{1}}$, we may write this factor as follows:

$$
\frac{1}{x_{2}-x_{1}}\left\{2 \frac{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}}{x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)}+\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\left[2\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)\right]\right\},
$$

From this we deduce for the point of inflection:

$$
-\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}=\frac{2 \frac{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}}{x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)}}{2\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)}
$$

In the experiments of Mr . Cunaeus, we are not perfectly sure of the values of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ for the point of inflection ${ }^{1}$ ). The numeric value of $\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ cannot therefore be ound with accuracy. Put $r_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $x_{2}=\frac{2}{3}$, then the value of $-\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{8}{11}$, put $x_{1}=0,45$ and $x_{2}=0,65$, then the value of $-\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$, will be found slightly less than unity.

We can predict the course of the critical curves for mixtures of acetone and ether, from the properties of the pressure-curves for these mixtures at low temperatures. Let us imagine the critical curve, either the plaitpoint-curve or the curve of the critical points of contact of ClH and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, and let us take the upper half of it, i.e. that part, that lies above the minimum-temperature. That minimum temperature, the critical point of ether, will be the starting point. We have therefore reason to expect that mixtures of ether in which a little quantity of acetone has been solved, will present r.c. II. But for these critical curves also it is to be expected that they will deviato so slightly from one another, that it will be difficult to observe the retrograde condensation ${ }^{2}$ ).

[^2]Let us write the value of $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{2}{ }^{2}}$ also under the following form:

$$
\frac{d^{2} p}{{d x_{2} 2}^{2}}=p\left[\frac{x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)}{x_{2}\left(1-x_{2}\right)}\right]^{2}\left\{2\left[\frac{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)}{x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)}\right]^{2}+\frac{\mu_{x_{2}}^{\prime \prime}}{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu^{\prime \prime} x_{1}}\right\} .
$$

This form enables us to conclude to the curvature of the vapourbranch, if it has an unstable part ${ }^{1}$ ), in consequence of the presence of a longitudinal plait, which intersects the liquid branch. For this unstable part we have $1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}<0$, and $\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ and $1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{i}}^{\prime}$ has the same sign. For this unstable part of the vapour branch we get therefore $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{2}^{2}}>0$. Let us imagine two values of $x_{1}$, differing very little from that which makes $1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}=0$, and chosen on either side of it, then $1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ has either a very small positive value or a very small negative one, and therefore $\frac{\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}}{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{\mathrm{r}}}^{n}}$ a very great positive or a very great negative value. This makes us conclude that the sign of $\frac{d^{3} p}{d x_{2}{ }^{2}}$ changes for those values of $x_{2}$, which are conjugated to these, at which the liquid branch enters or leaves the unstable region. At the extremities of the unstable part of the vapour branch we find therefore cusps. Consequently the two stable parts of the vapour-branch end with a negative value of $\frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{2}^{2}}$. As a rule the vapour-branch at $x=0$ and $x=1$ has a positive value of $\frac{d^{3} p}{d x_{2}{ }^{2}}$, therefore there are also as a rule two points, where $\frac{d^{2} p}{d s_{2}{ }^{2}}$ will be equal to zero. Probably these points always lie near the cusps. The following figure gives a shape of the vapour branch fulfilling these conditions.

If before its end the rapour branch should possess a maximum, the second inflection point is unnecessary and its shape will be represented by fig. 5.

[^3]

Fig. 4.


Fig. 5.

This latter figure represents the vapour branch of mixtures of phenol and water below the critical temperature of complete mixture.

If the second phasis is a rarefied gasphasis, the pressure of which is $p, p\left(1-x_{2}\right)$ represents the partial pressure of the first component and $p x_{2}$ that of the second component. The value of these quantities is given by

$$
p\left(1-x_{2}\right)=M R T\left(1-x_{1}\right) e^{\mu_{x_{1}}-x_{1} \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}-1}
$$

and

$$
p x_{2}=M R T \quad x_{1} \quad e^{\mu_{x_{1}}+\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}-1}
$$

and

$$
p\left(1-x_{1}\right)=p_{0}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \quad e^{\mu_{x_{1}}-\mu_{0}-x_{1} \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}}
$$

and

$$
p x_{2} \quad=p_{1} x_{1} \quad e^{\mu_{x_{1}}-\mu_{1}+\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime}}
$$

We conclude from this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d p\left(1-x_{2}\right)}{d x_{1}}=-p \frac{1-x_{2}}{1-x_{2}}\left\{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{2}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right\} \\
& \frac{d p x_{2}}{d x_{1}}=p \frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\left\{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right\} \\
& \text { and } \\
& \frac{d^{2} p\left(1-x_{2}\right)}{d x_{1}^{2}}=p \frac{1-x_{2}}{1-x_{1}}\left\{x _ { 1 } \mu _ { x _ { 1 } } ^ { \prime \prime } \left[1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1} \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right]-\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{d\left[1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right]}{d x_{1}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{d^{2} p x_{2}}{d x_{12}}=p \frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\left\{\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\left[1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right]+\right. \\
\\
\left.+\frac{d\left[1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right]}{d x_{1}}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

By adding the two last conditions, we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p} \frac{d^{2} p}{d x_{1}^{2}}=\mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\left\{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right\}\left\{\frac{x_{2}\left(1-x_{2}\right)}{\left\{x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)\right.}+\frac{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}}{x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)}\right\}+ \\
& \quad+\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)} \frac{d\left\{1+x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}^{\prime \prime}\right\}}{d x_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

a form to which we may also reduce the form given before. From the value for the first differential coefficients we deduce, that for substances, which are perfectly miscible, the partial pressure of one component decreases, when the second component is substituted for a part, of it. From this follows that the total pressure must be smaller than the sum of the tensions of the separate components. If $1+-x_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right) \mu_{x_{1}}$ should be negative, the partial pressure of a component increases on the other hand by substitution by the second component. Then it will be the question whether the partial pressure cannot rise so high, that it exceeds the initial value.

This question, however, cannot be solved without the knowledge of the properties of the function $\mu$.

Physics. - Dr. E. van Everdinaen Jr.: "The Hall-effect and the increase of resistance of bismuth in the magnetic field at very low temperatures." II. (Communication No. 58 from the Physical Laboratory at Leiden, by Prof. H. Kamerlingh Onnes).

1. From the measurements of the HaLl-effect in bismuth at the boiling-point of liquid nitrous oxide and hquid oxygen, described in the Proceedings of 29 October 1899, p. 221 and 30 December 1899, p. 380, it appeared that the Hall-coefficient increased considerably with falling temperatures; it hence seemed desirable to determine this increase with greater accuracy. The measurements in liquid nitrous oxide had shown that the strength of the magnetic field had

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) See also Archiv. Néerl. T. II, p. 475 (29).
    ${ }^{2}$ ) See also Avchiv. Néerl. T. II, p. 469 (23).
    8) Chandler, finds by his empirical theory that the amplitude varies periodically and decreases in the years considered. It seems to me however that the foundation of his formula is not yet sufficiently certain.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The value of $a_{12}$ calculated by M1. Quise for mixtures of ClH and $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, however, does not fulfil the relation $a_{12}=V a_{1} a_{2}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) In the determination of the vapour-phasis by means of the refractive power, the circumstance, that the glass plates are covered with a condensed layer has an influence, which is probably large enough, to vitiate noticeably the values found for $x_{2}$,
    ${ }^{2}$ ) Let us aval ourselves of this occasion to point out that the rule, given by Prof. Kuenen, to find re II is not quite correct. Prof. Kuenen thought that o e $I I$ is to be looked for in mixtures of substances, of which that one, which has the highest critical temperature, has at equal temperatures also the highest vapour tensions. If we consider a plaitpoint curve, beginning exactly at the minimum critical temperature and therefore just beginning with rc II, the vapour tension of the component with the highest $T_{6}$ will be lower than that of the other component; and the more so, when the difference between $T_{c 2}$ and $T_{\sigma_{1}}$ is large.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) We use here the term "unstable part" to indicate that the phases, repiesented by it, could only co-exist with unstable plases. Considered in themselves these phases are stable.

