

**Physics.** — “*Determinations of the Terms in the Lanthanum Spectrum*” <sup>1)</sup>.

By S. GOUDSMIT. (Communicated by Prof. P. EHRENFEST).

(Communicated at the meeting of October 25, 1924).

Most earlier measurements of the ZEEMAN effect in not classified spectra are insufficient to successfully apply LANDÉ's rules <sup>2)</sup> to them with a view to arrange the lines. An exception to this are the accurate measurements by S. RYBAR <sup>3)</sup> in the spectrum of Lanthanum.

In this same spectrum groups of lines with equal frequency differences have been found by E. PAULSON <sup>4)</sup>.

Starting from these investigations a number of lines could be arranged in a term system, the relative term values could be determined, and the corresponding “innere Quantenzahl”  $J$  and factor of resolution  $g$  could be found for every term. Of the groups of lines found by PAULSON only those have been taken which were sufficiently certain by the observed ZEEMAN effects. Also several other lines have been classified, which did not occur in PAULSON's groups of lines.

From the ZEEMAN resolutions it appeared first of all that all classified lines belong to odd term-systems, hence that according to KOSSEL and SOMMERFELD's law of displacement they all are due to the *ionized* Lanthanum atom.

When the “innere Quantenzahl”  $J$  and the resolution factor  $g$  of a term are known from the ZEEMAN effects, it is generally possible to determine also the quanta values  $K$  and  $R$  by the aid of LANDÉ's formulae or table, i.e. the term symbol  $s, p, d$  etc. and also the term system, doublet, triplet, etc. can be found.

For some terms found in the Lanthanum spectrum this does not apply, however. Evidently these are the terms “höherer Stufe” examined of late by LANDÉ and HEISENBERG <sup>5)</sup>. Of these terms the relation between  $g$  and the quanta values is not yet known, hence their term symbols could not be determined. They are indicated by Roman numerals in the tables.

Nor is it excluded that some of the ordinary terms of the first rank found are in reality terms of higher rank, which happen to have

---

<sup>1)</sup> Preliminary communication in *Die Naturwissenschaften*. 12, 851, 1924.

<sup>2)</sup> A. LANDÉ, *Zeitschr. f. Phys.* 15, 189, 1923.

<sup>3)</sup> S. RYBAR, *Diss. Budapest and Phys. Zeit.* 12, 889, 1911.

<sup>4)</sup> E. PAULSON, *Ann. der Phys.* 45, 1203, 1914.

<sup>5)</sup> W. HEISENBERG, *Zeitschr. f. Phys.* 26, 291, 1924. A. LANDÉ u. W. HEISENBERG, *Zeitschr. f. Phys.* 25, 279, 1924.

corresponding  $J$  and  $g$  values. This might e.g. be the case with the triplet terms  $\bar{d}_1$  and  $\bar{d}_2$ , as the corresponding term  $\bar{d}_3$  could not be found.

The occurrence of such terms of higher rank means, according to LANDÉ, that in the state in question the atom rest — i.e. the atom without the emitting electron — contains electron groups with azimuthal quanta values greater than 1, which do not form a closed configuration without moment of momentum. In the case of ionized Lanthanum this means very probably that the non-emitting electron of the two outer ones can sometimes describe a  $6p$ - and sometimes a  $5d$ -path. In the former case the ordinary terms are obtained, in the latter case those of higher rank.

TERM TABLE.

Relative value of the term	Term symbol	$J$	$g$
0	$p_1$	$2^{1/2}$	$3/2$
1043.4	$p_2$	$1^{1/2}$	$3/2$
1418.8	$p_3$	$1/2$	$0/0$
3705.8	I	$2^{1/2}$	$1.50 \pm 0.01$ (tripl. $p_1$ of quint. $d_3$ ?)
4888.7	$\bar{d}_1$	$3^{1/2}$	$4/3$
5049.5	II	$1^{1/2}$	$1.28 \pm 0.03$
5780.3	III	$1^{1/2}$	$0.87 \pm 0.01$
5815.8	$\bar{d}_2$	$2^{1/2}$	$7/6$
6790.1	IV	$2^{1/2}$	$0.84 \pm 0.04$
7231.0	V	$1^{1/2}$	$0.78 \pm 0.02$
8681.5	VI	$3^{1/2}$	$1.05 \pm 0.03$ (tripl. $t_2$ ?)
8741.4	VII	$2^{1/2}$	$0.88 \pm 0.04$
26975.5	$\bar{p}_1$	$2^{1/2}$	$3/2$
27484.7	$\bar{p}_2$	$1^{1/2}$	$3/2$
27953.1	$\bar{p}_3$	$1/2$	$0/0$
29952.4	$d_1$	$3^{1/2}$	$4/3$
30611.6	$d_2$	$2^{1/2}$	$7/6$
31308.6	$d_3$	$1^{1/2}$	$1/2$
31808.4	D	$2^{1/2}$	1
33202.8	VIII	$2^{1/2}$	$0.73 \pm 0.02$

THE COMBINATION  $p\bar{p}$ 

$\lambda$	I	$\nu_{vac.}$	ZEEMAN effect		
			observed		calculated
3855.10	3	25932.3	(0)	1.49	(0) 1.50
3835.29	5	26066.3	(0)	1.51	(0) 1.50
3780.85	3 <sub>r</sub>	26441.6	(0)	1.40	(0) 1.50
3715.03	3	26910.0	(0)	1.53	(0) 1.50
3706.02	5	26975.5	(0)	1.50	(0) 1.50
3637.35	3	27484.7	(0)	1.50	(0) 1.50
		$p_1 \ 2^{1/2}$		$p_2 \ 1^{1/2}$	$p_3 \ 1^{1/2}$
$\bar{p}_1 \ 2^{1/2}$		26975.5	<b>1043.2</b>	25932.3	
		<b>509.2</b>		<b>509.3</b>	
$\bar{p}_2 \ 1^{1/2}$		27484.7	<b>1043.1</b>	26441.6	<b>375.3</b> 26066.3
				<b>468.6</b>	
$\bar{p}_3 \ 1^{1/2}$				26910.0	

THE COMBINATION  $p\ d$ 

$\lambda$	I	$\nu_{vac.}$	ZEEMAN effect									
			observed			calculated						
3381.10	10	29567.7	(0)	(0.40)	<b>0.82</b>	1.17	1.59	(0)	(0.33)	<b>0.83</b>	1.17	1.50
3344.71	7	29889.4	(0)	0.52				(0)	0.50			
3337.67	15	29952.4	(0)	1.13				(0)	<b>1.00</b>	1.17	1.33	....
3303.26	5	30264.4	0.52	(1.00)	1.53			(0.50)	(1.00)	1.53		
3265.79	4	30611.6	(0.67)	0.76	<b>1.15</b>	<b>1.59</b>	1.97	(0.67)	0.83	<b>1.17</b>	<b>1.50</b>	1.83
3193.09	1	31308.6	(0)	(1.04)	1.42?	<b>2.50</b>		(0)	(1.00)	1.50	<b>2.50</b>	
		$p_1 \ 2^{1/2}$		$p_2 \ 1^{1/2}$		$p_3 \ 1^{1/2}$						
$d_1 \ 3^{1/2}$		29952.4										
		<b>659.2</b>										
$d_2 \ 2^{1/2}$		30611.6	<b>1043.9</b>	29567.7								
		<b>697.0</b>		<b>696.7</b>								
$d_3 \ 1^{1/2}$		31308.6	<b>1044.2</b>	30264.4	<b>375.0</b>	29889.4						

It is seen in these groups that the ratios of the term differences depart greatly from the interval rule of LANDÉ. They also give a good idea of the agreement between observed and calculated ZEEMAN effects.

It is remarkable that in his interesting paper on triplet combinations S. POPOW <sup>1)</sup> already suspected that the last group was a triplet  $pd$  combination.

In the calculation of the relative term-values the term  $p_1$  was put equal to 0; it is, however, uncertain whether this term represents the highest or the lowest of the levels of energy.

TABLE A. OTHER COMBINATIONS.

Combination	$\lambda$	I	$\nu_{vac.}$	ZEEMAN effect	
				observed	calculated
<b><math>\bar{p}_1</math> <math>2^{1/2}</math> with</b>					
I $2^{1/2}$	4296.21	8 <sub>r</sub>	23269.8 (0)	1.49	(0) 1.50
$\bar{d}_1$ $3^{1/2}$	4526.30	5	22086.9 (0)	1.11	(0) 1.00 1.17 1.33...
II $1^{1/2}$	4559.51	2	21926.0 (0)	1.67	(0) 1.72
III $1^{1/2}$	4716.59	(8)	21195.8 (0) (0.65)	0.86 1.45 2.13	(0) (0.63) 0.85 1.50 2.13
$\bar{d}_2$ $2^{1/2}$	4724.57	(5)	21160.0	—	—
IV $2^{1/2}$ ?	4952.21	(4)	20187.4 (0)	1.21	0.84 (1.32) 1.50
V $1^{1/2}$	5063.07	(2)	19745.4	—	—
VI $3^{1/2}$	5464.57	(5)	18294.6	—	—
VII $2^{1/2}$	5482.47	(6)	18255.0	—	—
<b><math>\bar{p}_2</math> <math>1^{1/2}</math> with</b>					
I $2^{1/2}$	4204.23	4 <sub>r</sub>	23778.9 (0)	1.48	(0) 1.50
II $1^{1/2}$	4455.99	2	22435.4 (0.20)	1.40	(0.22) 1.28 1.50
III $1^{1/2}$	4605.99	2	21704.8 (0.62)	0.85 1.51	(0.63) 0.87 1.50
$\bar{d}_2$ $2^{1/2}$	4613.57	4	21669.1	—	—
IV $2^{1/2}$ ?	4830.68	(2)	20695.2 (0)	0.76	(0) 0.18 (0.66) 0.84 1.50
V $1^{1/2}$	4935.77	(2)	20254.6	—	—
VII $2^{1/2}$	?				
<b><math>\bar{p}_3</math> <math>1^{1/2}</math> with</b>					
II $1^{1/2}$	4364.84	3	22903.9 (0)	1.27	(0) 1.28
III $1^{1/2}$	?				
V $1^{1/2}$	4824.22	(8)	20723.0 (0)	0.78	(0) 0.78

<sup>1)</sup> S. POPOW, Ann. der Phys. 45, 147, 1914.

The calculated resolutions of the terms treated above were the starting-point for the calculation of the other term resolutions of the combinations following. As these resolutions could be calculated from different combi-

TABLE A (continued).

Combination	$\lambda$	I	$\nu_{vac.}$	ZEEMAN effect	
				observed	calculated
<b>d<sub>1</sub> 3<sup>1/2</sup> with</b>					
I 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	3808.89	2	26246.9 (0)	1.20	(0) <b>1.00</b> 1.17 1.33...
$\bar{d}_1$ 3 <sup>1/2</sup>	3988.66	30	25064.0 (0)	1.32	(0) 1.33
$\bar{d}_2$ 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	4141.90	10	24142.6 (0)	1.49	(0) <b>1.67</b> 1.40
IV 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	4316.07	1	23162.7 (0) (0.55) (1.10)	1.98 <b>2.35</b>	(0) (0.50) (1.00) 1.55 <b>2.35</b>
VI 3 <sup>1/2</sup>	4699.80	(2)	21271.6	—	—
VII 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	4713.08	(8)	21211.6 (0) (0.47) (0.92)	1.83 <b>2.26</b>	(0) (0.45) (0.91) 1.79 <b>2.24</b>
<b>d<sub>2</sub> 2<sup>1/2</sup> with</b>					
I 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	3715.67	4	26905.3 (0.64) 0.81 <b>1.15 1.51 1.78?</b>	(0.67) 0.83 <b>1.16 1.50</b>	1.84
$\bar{d}_1$ 3 <sup>1/2</sup>	3886.51	15	25722.7 (0)	1.53	(0) <b>1.67</b> 1.40...
II 1 <sup>1/2</sup>	3910.95	(2)	25562.0 (0)	1.05	(0) 1.05
III 1 <sup>1/2</sup>	4026.03	4	24831.4 (0)	1.33	(0) <b>1.46</b> 1.16...
$\bar{d}_2$ 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	4031.86	7	24795.5 (0)	1.15	(0) 1.16
IV 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	4196.74	10	23821.3 (0.39) 0.52 ( <b>0.60</b> ) <b>0.81 1.14</b> [1.41]	(0.32) 0.52 ( <b>0.64</b> ) <b>0.84 1.16</b> [1.49]	
V 1 <sup>1/2</sup>	4275.80	4	23380.9 (0) (0.36) 1.13 <b>1.51</b>	(0) (0.38) 1.16 <b>1.55</b>	
VI 3 <sup>1/2</sup>	4558.66	4	21930.2 (0)	0.93	(0) <b>0.82</b> 0.94 1.05...
VII 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	4571.14	(2u)	<b>21870.4</b> (0.43)	1.00	(0.57) 0.88 <b>1.16</b>
<b>d<sub>3</sub> 1<sup>1/2</sup> with</b>					
I 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	3621.96	1	27601.4 (0) (1.06)	2.52	(0) (1.00) 2.50
II 1 <sup>1/2</sup>	?				
III 1 <sup>1/2</sup>	3916.21	10	25527.7 (0.38) <b>0.47</b> 0.89	(0.37) <b>0.50</b> 0.87	
$\bar{d}_2$ 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	3921.71	10	25491.9 (0) 0.57 (0.64) 1.19 <b>1.82</b>	(0) 0.50 (0.66) 1.16 1.87	
IV 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	4077.51	15	24517.9 (0) (0.37) 0.50 0.84 <b>1.18</b>	(0) (0.34) 0.50 0.84 <b>1.18</b>	
V 1 <sup>1/2</sup>	4152.17	10	24077.0 (0.29) 0.48 <b>0.78</b>	(0.28) <b>0.50</b> 0.78	
VII 2 <sup>1/2</sup>	4430.11	8	22566.5 (0) (0.43)... 0 90? <b>1.34</b>	(0) (0.38) 0.50 0.90 <b>1.26</b>	

nations, the means of the  $g$  values found were given in the term table with the possible deviations. This was, of course, not necessary for the ordinary terms of the first rank of which the exact values of  $g$  have been given by LANDÉ.

Table A gives the other combinations between the terms given above with the observed and the calculated ZEE MAN effects. The latter have been calculated with the mean  $g$ -values given in the term-table.

TABLE A (continued).

Combination	$\lambda$	I	$\nu_{vac.}$	ZEE MAN effect	
				observed	calculated
<b>D <math>2^{1/2}</math> with</b>					
p <sub>1</sub> $2^{1/2}$	3142.99	1	31807.6	—	—
p <sub>2</sub> $1^{1/2}$	3249.33	3	30765.1	(0) <b>0.47</b> (0.49) 1.02	(0) <b>0.50</b> (0.50) 1.00
I $2^{1/2}$	3557.40	1	28102.4	—	—
$\bar{d}_1$ $3^{1/2}$	3713.71	6	26919.6	(0) (0.32) (0.64) 1.72 <b>2.06</b>	(0) (0.33) (0.67) 1.67 <b>2.00</b>
II $1^{1/2}$	3736.02	5	26758.9	—	—
III $1^{1/2}$	3840.92	5	26028.0	(0) 1.05	(0) <b>1.13</b> 1.00
$\bar{d}_2$ $2^{1/2}$	3846.16	3	25992.6	(0.28) 1.12	(0.33) 1.00 1.16
IV $2^{1/2}$	3995.91	5 <sub>r</sub>	25018.6	(0.27) 0.90	(0.32) 0.84 1.00
V $1^{1/2}$	4067.52	8	24578.1	(0) 1.09	(0) <b>1.22</b> 1.00
VI $3^{1/2}$	4322.69	5	23127.3	(0) 1.08	(0) <b>1.15</b> 1.10 1.05
VII $2^{1/2}$	4333.97	15	23067.0	(0.19) 0.95	(0.24) 0.88 1.00
<b>VIII <math>2^{1/2}</math> with</b>					
p <sub>1</sub> $2^{1/2}$	3010.92	(2)	33202.8	—	—
p <sub>2</sub> $1^{1/2}$	3108.57	(2)	32159.8	—	—
I $2^{1/2}$	?				
$\bar{d}_1$ $3^{1/2}$	3530.80	1	28274.1	—	—
II $1^{1/2}$	3550.98	1	28153.2	—	—
III $1^{1/2}$	3645.57	8	27422.7	(0) 0.63	(0) 0.59
$\bar{d}_2$ $2^{1/2}$	3650.31	4	27394.6	0.29 <b>0.73</b> (0.87) <b>1.17</b> 1.60	<b>0.30</b> <b>0.73</b> (0.87) <b>1.16</b> 1.60
IV $2^{1/2}$	3784.95	2	26413.0	(0.17) 0.79	(0.22) 0.73 0.84
V $1^{1/2}$	3849.20	10	25972.1	(0) 0.69	(0) 0.68
VI $3^{1/2}$	4076.85	2	24521.8	(0) (0.35) (0.61) 1.33 <b>1.67</b>	(0) (0.32) (0.64) 1.37 <b>1.69</b>
VII $2^{1/2}$	4086.90	20	24461.5	(0.27) 0.81	(0.30) 0.73 0.88

TABLE B. LINES WITH KNOWN ZEEMAN EFFECT, WHICH HAVE NO PLACE AS YET IN THE TERM SYSTEM.

$\lambda$	I	$\nu_{vac.}$	ZEEMAN effect observed	Combination	
				$J_1$	$g_1$ with $J_2$ $g_2$
3104.76	1	32199.3	(0) (0.50) . . . 1.49	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 1.00	$1\frac{1}{2}$ 0.50
3513.06	1	28457.1	0.54 (0.57) 1.08	$1\frac{1}{2}$ 0.54	$1\frac{1}{2}$ 1.08
3725.24	3	26836.3	(0) (0.39) (0.74) 1.47 1.82	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 0.73	$3\frac{1}{2}$ 1.10
4152.97	5	24072.4	0.32 <b>0.64</b> (0.76) <b>1.05</b> 1.42	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 0.66	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 1.05
4238.57	10	23586.2	(0.64) <b>0.73</b> <b>1.00</b> <b>1.30</b> 1.60	$2\frac{1}{2}$ <b>1.00</b>	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 1.30
4250.17	6	23521.8	(0.65) 0.66 <b>0.98</b> <b>1.30</b> 1.60	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 1.00	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 1.32
4300.59	3	23246.1	<b>0</b> <b>0.73</b> (0.73) <b>1.46</b> ( <b>1.50</b> ) 2.18	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 0.73	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 1.46
4383.62	5	22805.8	(0) (0.42) (0.87) 1.50 <b>1.89</b>	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 0.64	$3\frac{1}{2}$ 1.06
4436.02	1	22536.4	(0) (0.57) (1.19) 1.80 <b>2.43</b>	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 0.60	$3\frac{1}{2}$ 1.20
4474.72	(3)	22341.5	(0) (0.76) 1.11 <b>1.84</b>	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 1.10	$1\frac{1}{2}$ 0.36
4575.08	4	21851.4	(0.48) 0.51 <b>0.95</b> ( <b>0.98</b> ) <b>1.46</b> 2.07	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 0.98	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 1.48
4647.64	1	21510.3	(0) (0.44) (0.86) 1.74 <b>2.15</b>	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 0.88	$3\frac{1}{2}$ 1.30
4669.10	3	21411.4	(0) (0.40) (0.72) 1.51 <b>1.86</b>	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 0.75	$3\frac{1}{2}$ 1.12
4728.55	(10)	21142.2	(0) (0.39) (1.59) 1.89	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 1.11	$1\frac{1}{2}$ 0.72
4804.22	(7)	20809.2	0.55 (0.93) 1.43	$1\frac{1}{2}$ 0.55	$1\frac{1}{2}$ 1.43
4946.60	(5)	20210.3	(0) (0.62) 1.17 1.81	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 1.17	$1\frac{1}{2}$ <b>0.54</b>
4986.99	(6)	20046.6	(0) (0.46) 0.95 <b>1.40</b>	$2\frac{1}{2}$ 0.95	$1\frac{1}{2}$ <b>0.50</b>

TABLE C. CLASSIFIED LINES.

$\lambda$	I	$\nu_{vac.}$	Combination	$\lambda$	I	$\nu_{vac.}$	Combination
3010.92	(2)	33202.8	p <sub>1</sub> VIII	3513.06	1	28457.1	—
3104.76	1	32199.3	—	3530.80	1	28274.1	$\bar{d}_1$ VIII
3108.57	(2)	32159.8	p <sub>2</sub> VIII	3550.98	1	28153.2	II VIII
3142.99	1	31807.6	p <sub>1</sub> D	3557.40	1	28102.4	I D
3193.09	1	31308.6	p <sub>1</sub> d <sub>3</sub>	3621.97	1	27601.4	I d <sub>3</sub>
3249.49	3	30765.1	p <sub>2</sub> D	3637.35	3	27484.7	p <sub>1</sub> $\bar{p}_2$
3265.79	4	30611.6	p <sub>1</sub> d <sub>2</sub>	3645.57	8	27422.7	III VIII
3303.26	5	30264.4	p <sub>2</sub> d <sub>3</sub>	3650.31	4	27394.6	$\bar{d}_2$ VIII
3337.67	15	29952.4	p <sub>1</sub> d <sub>1</sub>	3706.02	5	26975.5	p <sub>1</sub> $\bar{p}_1$
3344.71	7	29889.4	p <sub>3</sub> d <sub>2</sub>	3713.71	6	26919.6	$\bar{d}_1$ D
3381.10	10	29567.7	p <sub>2</sub> d <sub>2</sub>	3715.03	3	26910.0	p <sub>2</sub> $\bar{p}_3$

The wave-lengths and intensities are borrowed from the Handbuch of KAYSER according to EXNER and HASCHEK's observations, (spark

$\lambda$	I	$\nu_{vac.}$	Combination	$\lambda$	I	$\nu_{vac.}$	Combination
3715.67	4	26905.3	I d <sub>2</sub>	4316.07	1	23152.7	IV d <sub>1</sub>
3725.24	3	26836.3	—	4322.69	5	23127.3	VI D
3736.02	5	26758.9	II D	4333.97	15	23057.0	VII D
3780.85	3 <sub>r</sub>	26441.6	p <sub>2</sub> $\overline{p_3}$	4364.85	3	22903.9	II $\overline{p_3}$
3784.95	2	26413.0	IV VIII	4383.62	5	22805.8	—
3808.89	2	26246.9	I d <sub>1</sub>	4430.11	8	22566.5	VII d <sub>3</sub>
3835.29	5	26066.3	p <sub>3</sub> $\overline{p_1}$	4436.02	1	22536.4	—
3840.92	5	26028.0	III D	4455.99	2	22435.4	II $\overline{p_2}$
3846.16	3	25992.6	$\overline{d_2}$ D	4474.72	(3)	22341.5	—
3849.20	10	25972.1	V VIII	4526.30	5	22086.9	$\overline{d_1}$ $\overline{p_1}$
3855.10	3	25932.3	p <sub>2</sub> $\overline{p_1}$	4558.66	4	21930.2	VI d <sub>2</sub>
3886.51	15	25722.7	$\overline{d_1}$ d <sub>2</sub>	4559.51	2	21926.0	II $\overline{p_1}$
3910.95	(2)	25562.0	II d <sub>2</sub>	4571.14	(2 <sub>u</sub> )	21870.4	VII d <sub>2</sub>
3916.21	10	25527.7	III d <sub>3</sub>	4575.08	4	21851.4	—
3921.71	10	25491.9	$\overline{d_2}$ d <sub>3</sub>	4605.99	2	21704.8	III $\overline{p_2}$
3988.66	30	25064.0	$\overline{d_1}$ d <sub>1</sub>	4613.57	4	21669.1	$\overline{d_2}$ $\overline{p_2}$
3995.91	5 <sub>r</sub>	25018.6	IV D	4647.64	1	21510.3	—
4026.03	4	24831.4	III d <sub>2</sub>	4669.10	3	21411.4	—
4031.86	7	24795.5	$\overline{d_2}$ d <sub>2</sub>	4699.80	(2)	21271.6	VI d <sub>1</sub>
4067.52	8	24578.1	V D	4713.08	(8)	21211.6	VII d <sub>1</sub>
4076.85	2	24521.8	VI VIII	4716.59	(8)	21195.8	III $\overline{p_1}$
4077.51	15	24517.9	IV d <sub>3</sub>	4724.57	(5)	21160.0	$\overline{d_2}$ $\overline{p_1}$
4086.90	20	24461.5	VII VIII	4728.55	(10)	21142.2	—
4141.90	10	24142.6	$\overline{d_2}$ d <sub>1</sub>	4804.22	(7)	20809.2	—
4152.17	10	24077.0	V d <sub>3</sub>	4824.22	(8)	20723.0	V $\overline{p_3}$
4152.97	5	24072.4	—	4830.68	(2)	20695.2	IV $\overline{p_2}$ ?
4196.74	10	23821.3	IV d <sub>2</sub>	4935.77	(2)	20254.6	V $\overline{p_2}$
4204.23	4 <sub>r</sub>	23778.9	I $\overline{p_2}$	4946.60	(5)	20210.3	—
4238.57	10	23586.2	—	4952.21	(4)	20187.4	IV $\overline{p_1}$ ?
4250.17	6	23521.8	—	4986.99	(6)	20046.6	—
4275.80	4	23380.9	V d <sub>2</sub>	5063.07	(2)	19745.4	V $\overline{p_1}$
4296.21	8 <sub>r</sub>	23269.8	I $\overline{p_1}$	5464.57	(5)	18294.6	VI $\overline{p_1}$
4300.59	3	23246.1	—	5482.47	(6)	18255.0	VII $\overline{p_1}$



spectrum), those where the intensities are placed between brackets according to WOLFF, (arc-spectrum).

Table B gives the lines, for which the observed ZEEMAN effect renders a calculation of  $J$  and  $g$  for initial and final state possible, but which cannot yet be classed in the above-given term system. For some of these lines the result is uncertain, possibly because not yet all the parallel components have been observed.

Table C gives the classified lines.

*Leiden. Instituut voor Theoretische Natuurkunde.*

---