

Mathematics. — “Weights of the most probable values of the Unknowns in the case of Direct Conditioned Observations”. By Prof. M. J. VAN UVEN. (Communicated by Prof. JAN DE VRIES).

(Communicated at the meeting of October 30, 1926).

In adjusting direct conditioned observations, the reliableness of the “solutions”, i. e. of the values obtained by adjustment, is indicated by their weights.

In the following paper we propose deriving for these weights an expression which gives them in a closed form as a function of the data, and which moreover holds good under an arbitrary number of conditions.

The quantities observed (m in number) will be designated by x, y, z, \dots ; the observed values may be ξ, η, ζ, \dots , resp., their weights $g_\xi, g_\eta, g_\zeta, \dots$.

For simplification's sake we will assume that these m quantities x, y, z, \dots must satisfy a priori μ linear conditions:

$$a_j x + \beta_j y + \gamma_j z + \dots = \kappa_j \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \mu, \quad \mu < m \quad . \quad . \quad (1)$$

We form the differences

$$\kappa_j - (a_j \xi + \beta_j \eta + \gamma_j \zeta + \dots) = \kappa_j - [a_j \xi] = V_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \mu \quad (2)$$

where $[]$ denotes a summation over the variables x, y, z, \dots . Let X, Y, Z, \dots be m provisionally assumed comparative values for x, y, z, \dots , which, by agreement, satisfy the μ conditions, so that

$$[a_j X] = \kappa_j \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \mu,$$

Then, by forming the differences

$$\xi - X = v_x, \quad \eta - Y = v_y, \quad \zeta - Z = v_z, \dots \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (3)$$

we have, according to (2):

$$[a_j v_x] = -V_j \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \mu. \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (4)$$

We next consider those comparative values X, Y, Z, \dots as the most probable values for x, y, z, \dots , for which $[g_\xi v_x v_x]$ takes the least value allowed by the conditions.

Then the equation $[g_\xi v_x dv_x] = 0$ must be dependent on the μ equations $[a_j dv_x] = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, \mu$), whence

$$[g_\xi v_x dv_x] \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} \lambda_j [a_j dv_x];$$

here the λ_j are multipliers to be determined; the summation sign Σ is used here (and will be used also in future) to designate a summation over the μ conditions. Thus the sum Σ runs always from 1 to μ .

Now we have, as a consequence of the above identity:

$$g_{\xi} v_x = \sum \lambda_j a_j, \quad g_{\eta} v_y = \sum \lambda_j \beta_j, \quad g_{\zeta} v_z = \sum \lambda_j \gamma_j, \dots m \text{ equations} \quad (5)$$

and the μ equations already found:

$$[a_j v_x] = -V_j \quad j = 1, \dots, \mu \quad \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

hence altogether $m + \mu$ equations to determine the $m + \mu$ unknowns $v_x, v_y, v_z, \dots, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\mu}$.

The usual solution runs as follows:

From (4) and (5) we derive the μ equations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} \lambda_j \left[\frac{a_j a_k}{g_{\xi}} \right] = -V_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, \mu$$

or, putting for the sake of abbreviation:

$$\left[\frac{a_j a_k}{g_{\xi}} \right] = s_{jk}, \quad (s_{jk} = s_{kj}) \quad \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} s_{kj} \lambda_j = -V_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, \mu. \quad \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

These μ equations determine the μ multipliers λ_j .

Denoting the solution of these equations by $\bar{\lambda}_j$, and representing the values of v_x, v_y, v_z, \dots , corresponding with them, according to (5), by u_x, u_y, u_z, \dots , we have:

$$u_x = \frac{1}{g_{\xi}} \sum a_j \bar{\lambda}_j, \quad u_y = \frac{1}{g_{\eta}} \sum \beta_j \bar{\lambda}_j, \quad u_z = \frac{1}{g_{\zeta}} \sum \gamma_j \bar{\lambda}_j, \dots \dots \dots (8)$$

the most probable values of x, y, z, \dots being

$$\bar{x} = \xi - u_x, \quad \bar{y} = \eta - u_y, \quad \bar{z} = \zeta - u_z, \dots \dots \dots (9)$$

and $[g_{\xi} u_x u_x]$ being the least value which $[g_{\xi} v_x v_x]$ may assume, so far as the conditions allow.

The mean (true) error σ of the unity of weight follows from

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{[g_{\xi} u_x u_x]}{\mu} \quad \dots \dots \dots (10)$$

The sum $[g_{\xi} u_x u_x]$ may also be expressed directly into the data:

$$\begin{aligned} [g_{\xi} u_x u_x] &= \sum_k \sum_j \left[g_{\xi} \cdot \frac{a_k \bar{\lambda}_k}{g_{\xi}} \cdot \frac{a_j \bar{\lambda}_j}{g_{\xi}} \right] = \sum_k \sum_j \left[\frac{a_k a_j}{g_{\xi}} \bar{\lambda}_k \bar{\lambda}_j \right] = \\ &= \sum_k \sum_j \left[\frac{a_k a_j}{g_{\xi}} \right] \bar{\lambda}_k \bar{\lambda}_j = \sum_k \sum_j s_{kj} \bar{\lambda}_k \bar{\lambda}_j \end{aligned}$$

(see (8) and (6)), or, since $\bar{\lambda}_j$, being the solution of (7), satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j s_{kj} \bar{\lambda}_j &= -V_k, \\ [g_{\xi} u_x u_x] &= -\sum_k V_k \bar{\lambda}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Representing the determinant $|s_{jk}| = \begin{vmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & \dots & s_{1\mu} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{1\mu} & s_{2\mu} & \dots & s_{\mu\mu} \end{vmatrix}$ by S , and the minor (algebraic complement) of the element s_{jk} by S_{jk} (whence $S = \sum_j s_{jk} S_{jk}$, $0 = \sum_j s_{jk} S_{jl}$ ($l \neq k$)), we have

$$\bar{\lambda}_k = \frac{-\sum_j s_{jk} V_j}{S},$$

hence

$$[g_\xi u_x u_x] = \frac{\sum_k \sum_j s_{jk} V_j V_k}{S} = -\frac{1}{S} \begin{vmatrix} s_{11} & \dots & s_{1\mu} & V_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{1\mu} & \dots & s_{\mu\mu} & V_\mu \\ V_1 & \dots & V_\mu & 0 \end{vmatrix},$$

or, putting for the sake of abbreviation:

$$\begin{vmatrix} s_{11} & \dots & s_{1\mu} & q_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{1\mu} & \dots & s_{\mu\mu} & q_\mu \\ p_1 & \dots & p_\mu & r \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} s_{jk} & q_j \\ p_k & r \end{vmatrix}, \dots \dots \dots (11)$$

$$[g_\xi u_x u_x] = -\frac{1}{S} \begin{vmatrix} s_{jk} & V_j \\ V_k & 0 \end{vmatrix} \dots \dots \dots (12)$$

The uncertainty of the "solutions" \bar{x} , \bar{y} , \bar{z} , ... (see (9)) depends, in the last instance, on the uncertainty of the observed values ξ , η , ζ , ...; these latter have the weights g_ξ , g_η , g_ζ , ... thus the mean errors:

$$\sigma_\xi = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{g_\xi}}, \quad \sigma_\eta = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{g_\eta}}, \quad \sigma_\zeta = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{g_\zeta}}, \dots$$

If we imagine that, in eventually repeating the series of observations, the observed values ξ , η , ζ , ... undergo the variations $\Delta\xi$, $\Delta\eta$, $\Delta\zeta$, ... respectively, then the mean square of $\Delta\xi$ (represented by $\overline{\Delta\xi^2}$) is nothing else than the square of the mean error of ξ , etc., in other words:

$$\overline{\Delta\xi^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi}, \quad \overline{\Delta\eta^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\eta}, \quad \overline{\Delta\zeta^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\zeta}, \dots \dots \dots (13)$$

the mutual independency of the observed values ξ , η , ζ , ... having as a consequence that the mean products, two by two, of the variations $\Delta\xi$, $\Delta\eta$, $\Delta\zeta$, ... are zero, so that

$$\overline{\Delta\xi \cdot \Delta\eta} = 0, \quad \overline{\Delta\xi \cdot \Delta\zeta} = 0, \dots, \overline{\Delta\eta \cdot \Delta\zeta} = 0 \text{ etc. } \dots (14)$$

In consequence of the variations $\Delta\xi$, $\Delta\eta$, $\Delta\zeta$, ... the V_j undergo (according to (2)) the variations

$$\Delta V_j = -[a_j \Delta\xi] = -(a_j \Delta\xi + \beta_j \Delta\eta + \gamma_j \Delta\zeta + \dots).$$

The variations $\Delta\lambda_k$ of $\bar{\lambda}_k$, deriving from these ΔV_j , satisfy (see (7))

$$\sum s_{kj} \Delta\lambda_j = -\Delta V_k. \quad k = 1, \dots, \mu \dots \dots (15)$$

Further, from

$$u_x = \frac{1}{g_\xi} \sum_j a_j \bar{\lambda}_j = \xi - \bar{x} : \dots \dots \dots (8), (9)$$

follows

$$\sum \frac{a_j}{g_\xi} \Delta \lambda_j = \Delta \xi - \Delta \bar{x} . \dots \dots \dots (16)$$

We now multiply the μ equations (15) and the equation (16) by $\Delta \xi$. Then, taking the mean value, we obtain (by (13) and (14))

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j s_{kj} \overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \xi} &= -\overline{\Delta V_k \cdot \Delta \xi} = +(\alpha_k \overline{\Delta \xi^2} + \beta_k \overline{\Delta \xi \cdot \Delta \eta} + \gamma_k \overline{\Delta \xi \cdot \Delta \zeta} + \dots) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_k}{g_\xi} \sigma^2 \quad k = 1, \dots, \mu \\ \sum \frac{a_j}{g_\xi} \overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \xi} &= \overline{\Delta \xi^2} - \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \xi} = \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi} - \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \xi} . \end{aligned}$$

By eliminating the μ variables $\overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \xi}$ from these $\mu + 1$ equations and using the notation (11), we arrive at

$$\begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{\alpha_k}{g_\xi} \sigma^2 \\ \frac{a_j}{g_\xi}, & \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi} - \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \xi} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

or

$$\begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{\alpha_k}{g_\xi} \sigma^2 \\ \frac{a_j}{g_\xi}, & \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & 0 \\ \frac{a_j}{g_\xi}, & \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \xi} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

or

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi} \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{\alpha_k}{g_\xi} \\ a_j, & 1 \end{vmatrix} - S \cdot \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \xi} = 0,$$

whence

$$\overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \xi} = \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{\alpha_k}{g_\xi} \\ a_j, & 1 \end{vmatrix} \dots \dots \dots (17)$$

In the same manner we obtain, by multiplying the μ equations (15) and the equation (16) by $\Delta \eta$, and then taking the mean,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j s_{kj} \overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \eta} &= -\overline{\Delta V_k \cdot \Delta \eta} = +(\alpha_k \overline{\Delta \eta \cdot \Delta \xi} + \beta_k \overline{\Delta \eta^2} + \gamma_k \overline{\Delta \eta \cdot \Delta \zeta} + \dots) \\ &= \frac{\beta_k}{g_\eta} \sigma^2 \quad k = 1, \dots, \mu \\ \sum \frac{a_j}{g_\xi} \overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \eta} &= \overline{\Delta \xi \cdot \Delta \eta} - \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \eta} = -\overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \eta} . \end{aligned}$$

From these $\mu + 1$ equations we derive by eliminating the μ variables $\overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \eta}$:

$$\begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{\beta_k}{g_{\zeta_i}} \sigma^2 \\ \frac{a_j}{g_{\zeta}} , & 0 - \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \eta} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

or

$$\begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{\beta_k}{g_{\zeta_i}} \sigma^2 \\ \frac{a_j}{g_{\zeta}} , & 0 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & 0 \\ \frac{a_j}{g_{\zeta}} , & \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \eta} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

or

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{g_{\zeta}} \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{\beta_k}{g_{\zeta_i}} \\ a_j , & 0 \end{vmatrix} - S \cdot \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \Delta \eta} = 0,$$

whence

$$\overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \eta} = \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_{\zeta}} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{\beta_k}{g_{\zeta_i}} \\ a_j , & 0 \end{vmatrix} \dots \dots \dots (18)$$

Likewise we find

$$\overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \zeta} = \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_{\zeta}} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{\gamma_k}{g_{\zeta}} \\ a_j , & 0 \end{vmatrix} \dots \dots \dots (18 \text{ bis})$$

etc.

We next multiply (15) by $\overline{\Delta \bar{x}}$ and take the mean; so we obtain (replacing the index k by i):

$$\sum_j s_{ij} \overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} = - \overline{\Delta V_i \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} = + (\alpha_i \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \xi} + \beta_i \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \eta} + \gamma_i \overline{\Delta \bar{x} \cdot \Delta \zeta} + \dots), i = 1, \dots \mu$$

or, on account (17), (18), (18 bis) etc.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j s_{ij} \overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} &= \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_{\zeta}} \cdot \left\{ \alpha_i \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{a_k}{g_{\zeta}} \\ a_j , & 1 \end{vmatrix} + \beta_i \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{\beta_k}{g_{\zeta_i}} \\ a_j , & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \gamma_i \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \frac{\gamma_k}{g_{\zeta}} \\ a_j , & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \dots \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_{\zeta}} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj}, & \left[\frac{a_k a_i}{g_{\zeta}} \right] \\ a_j , & a_i \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_{\zeta}} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj} , & s_{ki} \\ a_j , & a_i \end{vmatrix} , i = 1, \dots \mu \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\sum_j s_{ij} \overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} = \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi} \begin{vmatrix} s_{11}, \dots, s_{1i}, \dots, s_{1\mu}, s_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ s_{\mu 1}, \dots, s_{\mu i}, \dots, s_{\mu\mu}, s_{\mu i} \\ a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_\mu, a_i \end{vmatrix} \equiv 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, \mu$$

The determinant $|s_{ij}| = S$ of the μ homogeneous equations

$$\sum_j s_{ij} \overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, \mu$$

which we obtain in this way, being different from zero, we have separately

$$\overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, \mu \quad (19)$$

Multiplying at last the equation (16) by $\overline{\Delta \bar{x}}$ and then taking the mean, we find

$$\overline{\Delta u_x \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} = \sum \frac{a_j}{g_\xi} \overline{\Delta \lambda_j \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} = \overline{\Delta \xi \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} - \overline{\Delta \bar{x}^2}$$

thus, by (19),

$$\overline{\Delta u_x \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} = \overline{\Delta \xi \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} - \overline{\Delta \bar{x}^2} = 0 \quad (20)$$

or, on account of (17),

$$\overline{\Delta \bar{x}^2} = \overline{\Delta \xi \cdot \Delta \bar{x}} = \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi} \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj} & \frac{a_k}{g_\xi} \\ a_j & 1 \end{vmatrix} \quad (21)$$

Now, $\overline{\Delta \bar{x}^2}$ being nothing but the square of the mean error $\sigma_{\bar{x}}$ of \bar{x} , we have

$$\sigma_{\bar{x}}^2 = \frac{1}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi} \begin{vmatrix} s_{kj} & \frac{a_k}{g_\xi} \\ a_j & 1 \end{vmatrix} \quad (22)$$

We shall moreover put this latter determinant into another form. In

$$\begin{vmatrix} s_{kj} & \frac{a_k}{g_\xi} \\ a_j & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} s_{11}, \dots, s_{1j}, \dots, s_{1\mu}, a_1 : g_\xi \\ \vdots \\ s_{k1}, \dots, s_{kj}, \dots, s_{k\mu}, a_k : g_\xi \\ \vdots \\ s_{\mu 1}, \dots, s_{\mu j}, \dots, s_{\mu\mu}, a_\mu : g_\xi \\ a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_\mu, 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

we take from the 1st column a_1 times the last column

$$\begin{matrix} \vdots \\ \text{.. } j^{\text{th}} & \text{.. } a_j & \text{.. } & \text{.. } & \text{.. } & \text{..} \\ \vdots \\ \text{.. } \mu^{\text{th}} & \text{.. } a_\mu & \text{.. } & \text{.. } & \text{.. } & \text{..} \end{matrix}$$

Then we retain for the element of the j^{th} column and the k^{th} row:

$$s_{kj} - \frac{a_j a_k}{g_\xi} = \left[\frac{a_k a_j}{g_\xi} \right] - \frac{a_k a_j}{g_\xi} = \frac{\beta_k \beta_j}{g_\eta} + \frac{\gamma_k \gamma_j}{g_\zeta} + \dots = a_{kj} \quad (23)$$

the j^{th} element of the last row becoming zero ($j=1, \dots, \mu$). Representing the determinant $|a_{kj}|$ by A , we obtain

$$\begin{vmatrix} s_{kj} & \frac{a_k}{g_\xi} \\ a_j & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{kj} & \frac{a_k}{g_\xi} \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = |a_{kj}| = A.$$

So we find

$$\sigma_x^{-2} = \frac{A}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi}$$

and for the weight g_x of \bar{x} :

$$g_x = \frac{S}{A} \cdot g_\xi.$$

Putting in a similar manner:

$$s_{kj} - \frac{\beta_j \beta_k}{g_\eta} = \left[\frac{a_k a_j}{g_\xi} \right] - \frac{\beta_k \beta_j}{g_\eta} = \frac{a_k a_j}{g_\xi} + \frac{\gamma_k \gamma_j}{g_\zeta} + \dots = b_{kj} \quad (23 \text{ bis})$$

$$s_{kj} - \frac{\gamma_j \gamma_k}{g_\zeta} = \left[\frac{a_k a_j}{g_\xi} \right] - \frac{\gamma_k \gamma_j}{g_\zeta} = \dots = c_{kj} \quad (23 \text{ ter})$$

etc.,

and further:

$$|b_{kj}| = B \quad , \quad |c_{kj}| = C, \text{ etc.,}$$

we arrive at last at:

$$\sigma_x^{-2} = \frac{A}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi} \quad , \quad \sigma_y^{-2} = \frac{B}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\eta} \quad , \quad \sigma_z^{-2} = \frac{C}{S} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\zeta} \quad , \text{ etc., } \dots \quad (24)$$

or

$$g_x = \frac{S}{A} \cdot g_\xi \quad , \quad g_y = \frac{S}{B} \cdot g_\eta \quad , \quad g_z = \frac{S}{C} \cdot g_\zeta \quad , \text{ etc., } \dots \quad (25)$$

Thus we have expressed the weights of the solutions in a closed form into the data $g_\xi, g_\eta, g_\zeta, \dots; a_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j, \dots$ ($j=1, \dots, \mu$)

If there is given, in particular, but one condition

$$ax + \beta y + \gamma z + \dots = \kappa$$

so that $\mu=1$, then we have

$$S = \left[\frac{a a}{g_\xi} \right], \quad A = \left[\frac{a a}{g_\xi} \right] - \frac{a a}{g_\xi} = \frac{\beta \beta}{g_\eta} + \frac{\gamma \gamma}{g_\zeta} + \dots,$$

$$B = \left[\frac{a a}{g_\xi} \right] - \frac{\beta \beta}{g_\eta} = \frac{a a}{g_\xi} + \frac{\gamma \gamma}{g_\zeta} + \dots, \quad C = \dots, \text{ etc.,}$$

thus

$$\sigma_x^{-2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\xi} \cdot \frac{\beta\beta + \gamma\gamma + \dots}{g_\alpha + g_\gamma + \dots}, \quad \sigma_y^{-2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{g_\gamma} \cdot \frac{\alpha\alpha + \gamma\gamma + \dots}{g_\xi + g_\gamma + \dots}, \quad \sigma_z^{-2} = \dots, \text{ etc.} \quad (24\text{bis})$$

The determinants S, A, B, C, \dots may yet be put into another form.

Of the m variables x, y, z, \dots , represented by $g_\xi, g_\alpha, g_\gamma, \dots$ and by $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j, \dots$, we consider the combinations μ by μ . Be $x, z, \dots t$ ($g_\xi, g_\gamma, \dots g_\tau; \alpha_j, \gamma_j, \dots \theta$) such a combination.

Then we may form determinants such as

$$\Delta(x, z, \dots t) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1, \gamma_1, \dots, \theta_1 \\ a_2, \gamma_2, \dots, \theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_\mu, \gamma_\mu, \dots, \theta_\mu \end{vmatrix}$$

According to the theory of determinants, we may write S as follows:

$$S = |s_{kj}| = \left| \left[\frac{a_k a_j}{g_\xi} \right] \right| = \Sigma \frac{\Delta^2(x, z, \dots t)}{g_\xi \cdot g_\gamma \cdot \dots \cdot g_\tau} \dots \dots \dots (27)$$

where the sum Σ runs over all the $C_\mu(m) = \frac{m!}{\mu!(m-\mu)!}$ combinations μ by μ of the m elements x, y, z, \dots .

In the same manner we may also reduce the determinant A .

Here all the m elements x, y, z, \dots appear, except the element x . With these $m-1$ elements y, z, \dots we can build determinants of the form:

$$\Delta_x(y, z, \dots t) = \begin{vmatrix} \beta_1, \gamma_1, \dots, \theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_\mu, \gamma_\mu, \dots, \theta_\mu \end{vmatrix}, \dots \dots \dots (28)$$

wherin the elements α_j are always missing.

So we have

$$A = |a_{kj}| = \left| \left[\frac{a_k a_j}{g_\xi} \right] - \frac{a_k a_j}{g_\xi} \right| = \Sigma_x \frac{\Delta_x^2(y, z, \dots t)}{g_\alpha \cdot g_\gamma \cdot \dots \cdot g_\tau} \dots \dots (29)$$

where the sum Σ_x extends over all the $C_\mu(m-1) = \frac{(m-1)!}{\mu!(m-\mu-1)!}$ combinations μ by μ of the $m-1$ elements y, z, \dots (x absent).

Similarly:

$$B = |b_{kj}| = \Sigma_y \frac{\Delta_y^2(x, z, \dots t)}{g_\xi \cdot g_\gamma \cdot \dots \cdot g_\tau} \dots \dots \dots (29 \text{ bis})$$

$$C = |c_{kj}| = \Sigma_z \frac{\Delta_z^2(x, y, \dots t)}{g_\xi \cdot g_\alpha \cdot \dots \cdot g_\tau} \dots \dots \dots (29 \text{ ter})$$

etc.

So the determinants S, A, B, C, \dots are written as sums of positive terms. The terms of the sum A also appear in the sum S , which contains besides those terms depending upon the element $x(g_\xi, a_j)$. Thus the value A is certainly less than that of S . Likewise B, C, \dots are altogether less than S .

The fractions $\frac{A}{S}, \frac{B}{S}, \frac{C}{S}, \dots$ are therefore altogether less than unity, so that the mean errors $\sigma_{\bar{x}}, \sigma_{\bar{y}}, \sigma_{\bar{z}}, \dots$ of the solutions are less than the mean errors of the respective observations, viz:

$$\sigma_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{g_x}}, \quad \sigma_{\bar{y}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{g_y}}, \quad \sigma_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{g_z}}, \dots$$

thus:

$$\sigma_{\bar{x}} < \sigma_x, \quad \sigma_{\bar{y}} < \sigma_y, \quad \sigma_{\bar{z}} < \sigma_z, \quad \dots \quad (30)$$

If all the terms of the sum S (27) are nearly equal, then the sums A and S are almost proportional to the numbers of their terms, thus as $C_{,\mu}(m-1)$ to $C_{,\mu}(m)$, that is: as $m-\mu$ to m . In this case the mean errors of the solutions are about $\sqrt{\frac{m-\mu}{m}}$ times as large as the mean errors of the corresponding observations. The larger μ is in comparison to m , the more the solution surpasses the observation in accuracy.
