

In the following pages will be shown that the same peculiarity occurs in two other cases.

1. *Transformations leaving invariant all directions.*

By a linear connexion with $C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = 0$ ¹⁾, but not necessary a symmetrical one, the local E_n in every point P of the X_n is represented linearly on every E_n belonging to a neighbouring point. Now we transform the connexion in such a way that the representation of all directions rests the same.

The formula for a general transformation is

$${}'G_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = G_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} + A_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} \dots \dots \dots (7)$$

$A_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$ being an arbitrary quantity of the third degree. If a field v^{ν} is chosen in such a way that in an arbitrary point P $\nabla_{\mu} v^{\nu}$ is zero, then the differential

$$\delta v^{\nu} = \delta v^{\nu} + A_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} v^{\lambda} dx^{\mu} = A_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} v^{\lambda} dx^{\mu} \dots \dots \dots (8)$$

must have the same direction as v^{ν} for every choice of v^{ν} and dx^{ν} . This is then and only then possible when $A_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$ has the form

$$A_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = A_{\lambda}^{\nu} p_{\mu} \dots \dots \dots (9)$$

p_{λ} being an arbitrary vectorfield. Hence the general formula for a transformation leaving invariant the representation of directions is (7) with $A_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = A_{\lambda}^{\nu} p_{\mu}$. The general formula for the transformed quantity of curvature is

$${}'R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} = R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} - 2 \nabla_{[\omega} A_{|\lambda|\mu]}^{\nu} - S_{\omega\mu}^{\alpha} A_{\lambda\alpha}^{\nu} - 2 A_{\alpha[\omega}^{\nu} A_{|\lambda|\mu]}^{\alpha} \dots (10)$$

Substituting (9) in this equation we get

$$\left. \begin{aligned} {}'R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} &= R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} - 2 A_{\lambda}^{\nu} \nabla_{[\omega} p_{\mu]} + 2 S_{\omega\mu}^{\alpha} p_{\alpha} A_{\lambda}^{\nu} - 2 A_{\lambda}^{\nu} p_{[\omega} p_{\mu]} \\ &= R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} - 2 A_{\lambda}^{\nu} \partial_{[\omega} p_{\mu]} \end{aligned} \right\} (11)$$

and

$${}'V_{\omega\mu} = V_{\omega\mu} - 2 n \partial_{[\omega} p_{\mu]} ; V_{\omega\mu} = R_{\omega\mu\alpha}^{\alpha} \dots \dots (12)$$

hence

$${}'R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{n} {}'V_{\omega\mu} A_{\lambda}^{\nu} = R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{n} V_{\omega\mu} A_{\lambda}^{\nu} = \text{invariant} \dots (13)$$

Writing $A_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu}$ for this invariant quantity we deduce immediately

$$A_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\lambda} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (14)$$

and

$$A_{\alpha\mu\lambda}^{\alpha} = R_{\mu\lambda} - \frac{1}{n} V_{\lambda\mu} \dots \dots \dots (15)$$

¹⁾ R.K. p. 75.

From (15) we see that there exists also an invariant quantity of the second degree, which is in general not zero.

$A_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu}$ is zero if the transformed connexion is euclidean (= integrable). But vice versa, if $A_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu}$ vanishes it is possible to transform the connexion into an euclidean one by a transformation of the form (7,9).

Proof.

The supposition $A_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu} = 0$ is equivalent to the supposition that $R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu}$ has the form

$$R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu} = M_{\omega\mu}^{\cdot} A_{\lambda}^{\nu} \dots \dots \dots (16)$$

By contraction we get $M_{\omega\mu} = \frac{1}{n} R_{\omega\mu\alpha}^{\dots\alpha}$. Now we try to find a field p_{λ} so that

$$2 \partial_{[\xi} p_{\mu]} = M_{\omega\mu} \dots \dots \dots (17)$$

The conditions of integrability are

$$\partial_{[\xi} M_{\omega\mu]} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (18)$$

But by applying the identity of BIANCHI on (16) we get

$$\nabla_{[\xi} M_{\omega\mu]} A_{\lambda}^{\nu} = -S_{[\omega\mu}^{\dots\alpha} R_{\xi]}^{\dots\nu} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (19)$$

from which equation follows

$$\partial_{[\xi} M_{\omega\mu]} = -S_{[\omega\xi}^{\dots\alpha} M_{|\alpha|\mu]} - S_{[\mu\xi}^{\dots\alpha} M_{|\alpha|\omega]} - 2 S_{[\omega\mu}^{\dots\alpha} M_{\xi]\alpha} = 0 \quad (20)$$

Hence the conditions of integrability are a consequence of (16) and the identity of BIANCHI, and we have got the following theorem:

It is then and only then possible to transform a linear connexion (with $C_{\lambda\mu}^{\dots\nu} = 0$) into an euclidean one by a transformation leaving invariant all representations of directions, if the quantity of curvature has the form $M_{\omega\mu} A_{\lambda}^{\nu}$, in other words if the quantity $A_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu} = R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu} - \frac{1}{n} R_{\omega\mu\alpha}^{\dots\alpha} A_{\lambda}^{\nu}$, which is an invariant with these transformations, vanishes.

Besides $A_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu}$ there exists another invariant quantity of the fourth degree, namely the projective quantity of curvature $P_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu}$ belonging to the symmetrical connexion with the parameters

$$\overset{0}{\Gamma}_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = \Gamma_{(\lambda\mu)}^{\nu} \dots \dots \dots (21)$$

By substituting $A_{\lambda\mu}^{\dots\nu} = -S_{\lambda\mu}^{\dots\nu}$ in the general formula (10) it follows that the quantity of curvature of this connexion is

$$R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu} = R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu} + 2 \nabla_{[\omega} S_{|\lambda|\mu]}^{\dots\nu} - 2 S_{\omega\mu}^{\dots\alpha} S_{\lambda\alpha}^{\dots\nu} - 2 S_{\alpha[\omega}^{\dots\nu} S_{|\lambda|\mu]}^{\dots\alpha} \dots (22)$$

and from $\overset{0}{R}_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu}$ we get $P_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu}$ in the well known way¹⁾.

¹⁾ C.f. f.i. R.K. p. 131.

2. Transformations of the form $'I_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = I_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} + q_{\lambda} A_{\mu}^{\nu}$.

In the second place we consider the case where the representation of the vectors in P on the E_n in a neighbouring point Q is changed in such a way that all extremities of vectors move parallel to δx^{ν} . If a field v^{ν} is chosen so that $\nabla_{\mu} v^{\nu}$ is zero in P , then $'\delta v^{\nu}$ must have the same direction as dx^{ν} . From this follows that $A_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$ has the form

$$A_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = q_{\lambda} A_{\mu}^{\nu} \dots \dots \dots (23)$$

q_{λ} being an arbitrary vectorfield. The transformed quantity of curvature is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 'R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} &= R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} - 2 A_{[\mu}^{\nu} \nabla_{\omega]} q_{\lambda} + 2 S_{\omega\mu}^{\nu} q_{\lambda} - 2 A_{[\omega}^{\nu} q_{\mu]} q_{\lambda} \\ &= R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} + 2 A_{[\omega}^{\nu} (\nabla_{\mu]} q_{\lambda} - q_{\mu]} q_{\lambda}) + 2 S_{\omega\mu}^{\nu} q_{\lambda} \end{aligned} \right\} (24)$$

hence

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 'R_{\mu\lambda} &= R_{\mu\lambda} + n \nabla_{\mu} q_{\lambda} - \nabla_{\mu} q_{\lambda} - n q_{\mu} q_{\lambda} + q_{\mu} q_{\lambda} + S_{\alpha\mu}^{\alpha} q_{\lambda} \\ &= R_{\mu\lambda} + (n-1) (\nabla_{\mu} q_{\lambda} - q_{\mu} q_{\lambda}) + 2 S_{\alpha\mu}^{\alpha} q_{\lambda} \end{aligned} \right\} (25)$$

and

$$'S_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = S_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} + q_{[\lambda} A_{\mu]}^{\nu} ; 'S_{\alpha\mu}^{\alpha} = S_{\alpha\mu}^{\alpha} - 1/2 (n-1) q_{\mu} \dots (26)$$

From (24-26) we deduce that the quantity

$$\left. \begin{aligned} T_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} &= R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} - \frac{2}{n-1} A_{[\omega}^{\nu} R_{\mu]\lambda} + \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{n-1} S_{\omega\mu}^{\nu} S_{\alpha\lambda}^{\nu} - \frac{8}{(n-1)^2} A_{[\omega}^{\nu} S_{|\alpha|\mu]} S_{\beta\lambda}^{\beta} \end{aligned} \right\} (27)$$

is invariant. From (27) follows

$$T_{\alpha\mu\lambda}^{\alpha} = 0 \dots \dots \dots (28)$$

and

$$T_{\omega\mu\alpha}^{\alpha} = V_{\omega\mu} + \frac{2}{n-1} R_{[\omega\mu]} + \frac{4}{n-1} S_{\omega\mu}^{\beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha} \dots \dots (29)$$

From (29) we see that there exists also an invariant quantity of the second degree, which is in general not zero. If the given connexion is halfsymmetrical

$$S_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = S_{[\lambda}^{\nu} A_{\mu]} \dots \dots \dots (30)$$

then the transformed connexion has the same property and $T_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu}$ and $T_{\omega\mu\alpha}^{\alpha}$ take the simple forms

$$T_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} = R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} - \frac{2}{n-1} A_{[\omega}^{\nu} R_{\mu]\lambda} \dots \dots \dots (31)$$

$$T_{\omega\mu\alpha}^{\alpha} = V_{\omega\mu} + \frac{2}{n-1} R_{[\omega\mu]} \dots \dots \dots (32)$$

1) R. K. p. 69.

From (24) follows that $T_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu}$ is zero when the transformed connexion is euclidean. But vice versa, if $T_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu}$ vanishes for a halfsymmetrical connexion it is for $n > 2$ always possible to transform the connexion into an euclidean one by a transformation with an $A_{\lambda\mu}^{\dots\nu}$ of the form (23).

PROOF.

The supposition $T_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu} = 0$ is aequivalent to the supposition that $R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu}$ has the form

$$R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu} = \frac{2}{n-2} A_{[\omega}^{\nu} R_{\mu]\lambda} \quad \dots \quad (33)$$

Now we try to find a field q_λ so that

$$R_{\mu\lambda} = -(n-1) (\nabla_{[\mu} q_{\lambda]} - q_{[\mu} q_{\lambda]} - S_{\mu} q_{\lambda]) \quad \dots \quad (34)$$

The conditions of integrability are

$$2 \nabla_{[\omega} R_{\mu]\nu} = - (n-1) R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\dots\nu} q_\nu - 2 (n-1) S_{[\omega} \nabla_{\mu]} q_\lambda + \left. \begin{aligned} &+ 2 (n-1) \nabla_{[\omega} q_{\mu]} q_\lambda + 2 (n-1) \nabla_{[\omega} S_{\mu]} q_\lambda \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (35)$$

which equation passes into

$$2 \nabla_{[\omega} R_{\mu]\lambda} = - 2 (n-1) q_{[\omega} S_{\mu]} q_\lambda - 4 (n-1) S_{[\omega} \nabla_{\mu]} q_\lambda + \left. \begin{aligned} &+ 2 (n-1) q_\lambda \nabla_{[\omega} q_{\mu]} + 2 (n-1) q_\lambda \nabla_{[\omega} S_{\mu]} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (36)$$

by applying (34) and (35). But by applying the identity of BIANCHI on (33) we get

$$- 2 S_{[\omega} R_{\xi\mu\lambda]}^{\dots\nu} = \frac{2}{n-1} A_{[\omega}^{\nu} \nabla_{\xi} R_{\mu]\lambda} \quad \dots \quad (37)$$

or, regarding (33)

$$- \frac{4}{n-1} S_{[\omega} A_{\xi}^{\nu} R_{\mu]\lambda} = \frac{2}{n-1} A_{[\omega}^{\nu} \nabla_{\xi} R_{\mu]\lambda} \quad \dots \quad (38)$$

from which follows for $n \neq 2$

$$2 \nabla_{[\omega} R_{\mu]\lambda} = 4 S_{[\omega} R_{\mu]\lambda} \quad \dots \quad (39)$$

or, in consequence of (34)

$$2 \nabla_{[\omega} R_{\mu]\lambda} = - 4 (n-1) S_{[\omega} \nabla_{\mu]} q_\lambda + 4 (n-1) S_{[\omega} q_{\mu]} q_\lambda \quad \dots \quad (40)$$

Now the second identity ¹⁾ for a halfsymmetrical connexion is

$$R_{[\omega\mu\lambda]}^{\dots\nu} = 2 \nabla_{[\omega} S_{\mu} A_{\lambda]}^{\nu} \quad \dots \quad (41)$$

¹⁾ R. K. p. 88.

from which follows, regarding (33)

$$\frac{2}{n-1} A_{[\omega}^{\nu} R_{\mu\lambda]} = 2 A_{[\omega}^{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} A_{\lambda]}^{\nu}, \dots \dots \dots (42)$$

for $n > 2$ equivalent to

$$\nabla_{[\mu} S_{\lambda]} = \frac{1}{n-1} R_{[\mu\lambda]} = -\nabla_{[\mu} q_{\lambda]} + S_{[\mu} q_{\lambda]} \dots \dots \dots (43)$$

In consequence of this equation the conditions of integrability (36) pass into the equation (39) which follows from the identity of BIANCHI, and we have got the following theorem:

It is for $n > 2$ then and only then possible to transform a half-symmetrical linear connexion (with $C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = 0$) into an euclidean one by a transformation of the form $'\Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} + q_{\lambda} A_{\mu}^{\nu}$ if the quantity of curvature has the form $\frac{2}{n-1} A_{[\omega}^{\nu} R_{\mu]\lambda}$, in other words if the quantity $T_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} = R_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu} - \frac{2}{n-1} A_{[\omega}^{\nu} R_{\mu]\lambda}$ vanishes.

The quantity $P_{\omega\mu\lambda}^{\nu}$ (§ 1) is also invariant with transformations characterised by (23).

