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Let the points $x^{\nu}$ of a geodesic line in $V_{n}$ be subjected to a transformation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\prime} x^{\prime}=x^{\nu}+\varepsilon v^{\nu}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v^{\nu}$ is a field of contravariant vectors defined along the line, and $\varepsilon$ a small constant. Higher powers of $\varepsilon$ may be neglected. Then we can deduce the conditions to which $v^{y}$ must conform in order that the transformed line may also be geodesic. A differential equation of the second order is found, which for $V_{n}=R_{3}$ is due to JACOBI, and in the general case to Levi-Civita ${ }^{1}$ ).

An analogous question arises for a minimal- $V_{m}$ in a $V_{n}$. This also leads to a differential equation of the second order, due for $V_{n}=R_{3}$, $m=2$, to Schwarz, and for general $V_{n}$ and $m=2$ to CaRTAN ${ }^{2}$ ).

We may now seek in general to find the equations expressing the change of the fundamental quantities of a $V_{m}$ in $V_{n}$, when the points of this $V_{m}$ are subjected to a displacement $\varepsilon v^{\nu}$. By fundamental quantities we understand the fundamental tensors and the different curvature quantities. After this we can easily find the differential equations for $v^{\nu}$ for the case that the displacement $\varepsilon v^{v}$ does not change certain given properties of the $V_{m}$. It is only necessary to substitute the identities, characterizing this property, into the general equations.

In this paper we first deduce the conditions for a geodesic $V_{m}$ and for a minimal $V_{m}$, they are immediate generalisations of results found by Levi-Civita and Cartan; after this we deduce the equations for the bending ${ }^{3}$ ) of a $V_{m}$ in $V_{n}$ and find some interesting conclusions for the special case $V_{n}=R_{n}$. We conclude with the transformation of a $V_{n-1}$ in $V_{n}$ that leaves the principal directions of the second fundamental tensor invariant and with the equivoluminar transformation of a $V_{m}$ in $V_{n}$.

## § 1. The fundamental quantities of the $V_{m}$.

We use two coordinate systems: $x^{\nu}, \lambda, \mu, \nu=a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ in $V_{n}$ and $y^{c}, a, b, c, d=1, \ldots, m$ in $V_{m}$. According to a known property we can avoid the use of the coordinate system $y$. But it is useful for the present investigation, as we will accept that the deformation $\varepsilon v^{\nu}$ takes it along

[^0]with it. Hence the quantities of the $V_{n}$ carry Greek, those of the $V_{m}$ Latin indices. Under transformations of the $x$ the components of the quantities of the $V_{m}$ do not change, being fixed with respect to the $y$. The same holds for the components of the quantities of the $V_{n}$ under a transformation of the $y$. Furthermore we have quantities with both Greek and Latin indices, their components being changed as the components of a quantity of $V_{m}$ in as much as the Greek indices are concerned, and as those of a quantity of $V_{n}$ in as much as the Latin indices are concerned ${ }^{1}$ ).

The most important of these quantities is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{a}^{\nu}=\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial x^{a}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown very easily indeed that the $B_{a}^{\prime \prime}$ behave like the components of a contravariant vector of the $V_{n}$ under transformations of the $x$; and like components of a covariant vector under transformations of the $y$. With the aid of $B_{a}^{\nu}$ we deduce from the fundamental tensor $g_{\lambda \mu}$ of the $V_{n}$ the fundamental tensor of the $V_{m}$ :

$$
g_{a b}^{\prime}=B_{a b}^{\lambda \mu} g_{\lambda \mu} ; \quad\left(B_{a b}^{\lambda \mu}=B_{a}^{\lambda} B_{b}^{\mu}\right) .
$$

and with the aid of $g_{a b}^{\prime}$ we form the quantity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\lambda}^{c}=g^{\prime c b} B_{b}^{\mu} g_{\lambda \mu} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2) and (4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\mu}^{c} B_{a}^{\mu}=B_{a}^{c} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

here we denote with $B_{a}^{c}$ a set of $m^{2}$ numbers with value 1 when $a=c$, and 0 when $a \neq c$.

The quantities $B_{a}^{\nu}$ and $B_{\lambda}^{c}$ allow us to define a unique correspondence between the quantities of the $V_{m}$ and some of the quantites of the $V_{n}$ and vice versa. We only need to show it for vectors. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{v^{v}}=B_{b}^{v} v^{b} \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponds to $v^{c}$, or, in covariant components:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{v_{\lambda}}=g_{\lambda \nu} B_{b}^{v} g^{\prime b c} v_{c}=B_{\lambda}^{c} v_{c} \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have, on account of (5):

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{\mu}^{c} \overline{v^{\mu}}=v^{c}  \tag{a}\\
& B_{a}^{\mu} \overline{v_{\mu}}=v_{a} \tag{b}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence it is equally possible to deduce $v$ from $\bar{v}$ as $\bar{v}$ from $v$. We use this property to write $v^{\nu}$ and $v_{\lambda}$ in stead of $\overline{v^{\nu}}$ and $\overline{v_{\lambda}}$; we therefore consider them as another kind of components of the vector $v$. We have reached

[^1]by proceeding in this way, that all vectors, and therefore all quantities, of the $V_{m}$ can be considered as quantities of the $V_{n}$. On this property depends the mentioned possibility to discard the $y$ totally.

We say that a vector $v^{\nu}$, defined with respect to the $V_{n}$, lies in the $V_{m}$ when $v^{\nu}=B_{\lambda}^{j} v^{\lambda}$. The geometrical meaning is clear: the direction of $v^{v}$ is tangent to $V_{m}$. It is obvious that a vector, not lying within the $V_{m}$, has no components with Latin indices. It is of course possible to form $B_{\mu}^{c} v^{\mu}$, but these are the components of the projection of $v$ on $V_{m}$, not of $v$ itself. In the same way we see that a quantity $P_{\lambda \mu \nu}$ has only then components $P_{a b b}$, if it "lies in the $V_{m}$ with the indices $\lambda$ and $\mu^{\prime \prime}$, that is to say, if $B_{\lambda \mu}^{\alpha, 3} P_{\alpha \beta^{\prime}}=P_{\lambda \mu \nu}$.

A vectorfield $v_{\lambda}$, defined in $V_{n}$, has a covariant derivative

$$
\nabla_{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}_{\lambda}=\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}}-\Gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}_{\nu} \quad ; \quad \Gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\lambda \mu  \tag{8}\\
\nu
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\lambda_{\mu} \mu \\ V_{\nu}\end{array}\right.$ are the Christoffel symbols belonging to the $g_{\lambda_{\mu}}$. In the same way a vector field $w_{a}$. defined in $V_{m}$, has a covariant derivative, whose components with Latin indices are

$$
\nabla_{b}^{\prime} w_{a}=\frac{\partial w^{a}}{\partial y^{b}}-\Gamma_{a b}^{\prime c} w_{c} \quad ; \quad \Gamma_{a b}^{\prime c}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
a b  \tag{9}\\
c
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and with Greek indices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{w}_{\lambda}=B_{\lambda \mu}^{b a} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{w}_{a}}{\partial y_{b}}-B_{\mu \lambda}^{b a} \Gamma_{a b}^{\prime c} w_{c} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{\begin{array}{c}a b \\ c\end{array}\right\}^{\prime}$ are the Christoffel symbols belonging to the $g_{a b}^{\prime}$. For a vector field $u_{\lambda}$ of $V_{n}$, defined on $V_{m}$, the expression $\nabla_{\mu} \boldsymbol{u}_{\lambda}$ has no meaning. But the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\mu}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} u_{\lambda}=B_{\mu}^{a} B_{a}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} u_{\lambda}=B_{\mu}^{a} \frac{\partial u_{\lambda}}{\partial y^{a}}-B_{\mu}^{\alpha} \Gamma_{\lambda \alpha}^{\nu} u_{\nu} . . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

has certainly a meaning, and represents another kind of covariant derivative. It can easily be proved, that for the case of $u_{\lambda}$ lying in $V_{m}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{b}^{\prime} u_{a}=B_{b a}^{\mu \lambda} \nabla_{\mu} u_{\lambda} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way we can build different kinds of derivatives for quantities of higher order of the $V_{n}$, defined on the $V_{m}$. One of the most frequently occurring quantities is $B_{, \mu \mu}^{\beta \alpha} \nabla_{\beta} v_{\alpha \lambda}$, where $v_{\mu \lambda}$ is a field with index $\mu$ within $V_{m}$. For the bal-component of this derivative we easily find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.B_{b a}^{\beta \alpha} \nabla_{\beta} v_{\alpha \lambda}=\frac{\partial v_{a \lambda}}{\partial y_{b}}-\Gamma_{a b}^{\prime c} v_{c \lambda}-B_{b}^{\mu} \Gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu} v_{a \nu}^{1}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]Together with the fundamental tensor the following fundamental quantities are the most important ${ }^{1}$ ).
$1^{\text {st }}$ The curvature affinor.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\lambda_{\mu}}{ }^{\mu}=B_{\lambda \mu}^{\alpha \beta} \nabla_{\alpha} B_{\beta}^{\mu} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This quantity lies with its first two indices in the $V_{m}$. Hence it has also components with two Latin indices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{a \dot{a}} \ddot{\nu}^{\nu}=B_{a b}^{\lambda \mu} H_{\lambda \mu} \ddot{\mu}^{\nu}=B_{a b}^{\alpha \beta} \nabla_{\alpha} B_{\beta}^{\nu} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vanishing of $H_{a b}^{\cdot \nu}$ is necessary and sufficient for $V_{m}$ being geodesic. For $m=n-1 \quad H_{a b}^{\prime \prime}$ passes into $-h_{a b} n^{\prime \prime}$, where $h_{a b}$ is the second fundamental tensor and $n^{\nu}$ the unit vector normal to $V_{n-1}$.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ The mean curvature vector.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime}=\frac{1}{m} g^{\prime a b} H_{a b}^{\cdot \ddot{b}^{\prime}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its vanishing is necessary and sufficient for $V_{m}$ being a minimal manifold. For $m=n-1$ we have $-h n^{\nu}=-h_{a}^{a} n^{\nu}$ in stead of $m D^{\nu}$.

## § 2. The fundamental equations,

Under a deformation $\varepsilon v^{\nu}$ these quantities are changed in the following way:
I. $\delta g_{\lambda \mu}^{\prime}=2 \varepsilon B_{(\lambda}^{\alpha} C_{\mu)}^{\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta}$
II. $d g_{a b}^{\prime}=2 \varepsilon B_{(a b)}^{\alpha \beta} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta}$
III. $\delta H_{\lambda, \mu}{ }^{\nu}=2 \varepsilon H_{\cdot(\lambda}^{\alpha}{ }^{\nu} C_{\mu)}^{\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta}-2 \varepsilon H_{\cdot(\lambda}^{\beta}{ }^{\nu} B_{\mu)}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta}-\varepsilon H_{\lambda \mu}{ }^{\beta}{ }^{\beta} g^{\prime \nu \alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} v^{\beta}-$

$$
-\varepsilon B_{\lambda \mu}^{\alpha \beta} C_{\delta}^{\gamma} K_{\gamma \alpha \beta} \dot{\beta}^{\delta} v^{\gamma}+\varepsilon C_{\delta}^{\nu} B_{\lambda \mu}^{\alpha \beta} \nabla_{\alpha} B_{\beta}^{\gamma} \nabla_{\gamma} v^{\delta}
$$

IV. $d H_{a b}^{\ddot{\prime}}=-\varepsilon H_{a b}^{\circ} g^{\prime \prime \alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta}-\varepsilon B_{a b}^{\alpha \beta} C_{\delta}^{\nu} K_{\gamma \dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}{ }^{\delta} v^{\gamma}+$

$$
+\varepsilon C_{\alpha}^{\nu} B_{a b}^{\beta \gamma} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\gamma}^{j} \nabla_{\delta} v^{\alpha}-\varepsilon H_{a b}^{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\nu} v^{\beta} .
$$

V. $\delta D^{\nu}=-\varepsilon D^{\beta} g^{\prime \nu \alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta}-\frac{1}{m} \varepsilon C_{\delta}^{\nu} g^{\prime \alpha \beta} K_{\gamma \alpha \dot{\beta}}{ }^{\delta} v^{\gamma}+$

$$
+\frac{1}{m} \varepsilon C_{\alpha}^{\nu} g^{\prime \beta \gamma} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\gamma}^{j} \nabla_{\delta} v^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{m} \varepsilon H^{\alpha \beta \nu} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta} .
$$

VI. $d K_{\mathrm{abcd}}^{\prime}=-4 \varepsilon B_{[\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{c}}^{\alpha \beta} H_{b \mid d]}{ }^{\delta} K_{\gamma \alpha \beta \delta} v^{\gamma}+4 \varepsilon H_{[\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\alpha} B_{b] d]}^{\beta 3} \nabla_{\beta} B_{o}^{\gamma} \nabla_{\gamma} v_{\alpha}$
$+\varepsilon B_{a b c d}^{\omega \mu \lambda \lambda}\left\{K_{\alpha \mu \lambda \nu} \nabla_{\omega} v^{\alpha}+K_{\omega \alpha \lambda \nu} \nabla_{\mu} v^{\alpha}+K_{\omega \mu \alpha \nu} \nabla_{\lambda} v^{\alpha}+K_{\omega \mu \lambda \alpha} \nabla_{\nu} v^{\alpha}\right\}$
$+\varepsilon v^{\varepsilon} B_{a b c d}^{\alpha \beta \gamma j} \nabla_{\varepsilon} K_{\alpha \beta \gamma j}$.
${ }^{1}$ ) Compare e.g. Chapter V of "Der Ricci Kalkül" (further on referred to as R.K.).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { VII. } d K_{b c}^{\prime}=\varepsilon\left(-B_{c}^{\beta} H_{b}^{\alpha \delta}+m B_{b c}^{\alpha \beta} D^{\delta}-B_{b}^{\alpha} H_{c}^{\cdot \beta 3}+g^{\prime \alpha \beta} H_{b c}^{. \delta}\right) v^{\gamma} K_{\gamma \alpha \beta j}+ \\
& +4 \varepsilon g^{\prime a d} H_{[a[c}{ }^{\alpha} B_{b] d]}^{3 i} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\delta}^{\gamma} \nabla_{\gamma} v_{\alpha} \\
& +2 \varepsilon B_{b c}^{\angle \lambda} g^{\prime(\omega)} K_{\alpha(\lambda \mu) \nu} \nabla_{\omega} v^{\alpha}+2 \varepsilon B_{b c}^{\mu \lambda} g^{\prime(\omega \nu)} K_{\alpha \omega \nu, \lambda} \nabla_{\mu)} v^{\alpha}- \\
& -2 \varepsilon K_{a b c d}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{g}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime a \lambda} g^{\prime d \mu} \nabla_{(\mu} \boldsymbol{v}_{\lambda)}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{v}^{\boldsymbol{s}} \boldsymbol{g}^{\prime \alpha \delta} B_{b c}^{\beta \gamma} \nabla_{\varepsilon} K_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

VIII. $d K^{\prime}=-2 \varepsilon H^{\beta \alpha \delta} v^{\gamma} K_{\gamma \alpha \beta \delta}+2 m \varepsilon g^{\prime \alpha \beta} D^{\delta} v^{\gamma} K_{\gamma \alpha \beta \delta}+$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +2 \varepsilon H^{\beta \gamma \alpha} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\gamma}^{\delta} \nabla_{\delta} v_{\alpha}-2 m \varepsilon D^{\alpha} g^{\prime \beta \gamma} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\gamma}^{\delta} \nabla_{\delta} v_{\alpha} \\
& +4 \varepsilon K_{\alpha \mu \lambda \nu} g^{\prime \omega \mu \nu} g^{\prime \mu \lambda} \nabla_{\omega j} v^{\alpha}-4 \varepsilon K^{\prime \alpha \beta} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta}+\varepsilon \theta^{s} g^{\prime \alpha \delta} g^{\prime \beta \gamma} \nabla_{\varepsilon} K_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain (I) starting from (1) and (2). From (I) equations (II) are deduced. For $m=n$ (II) passes into the well known equation for the variation of the fundamental tensor of the $V_{n}$ under an infinitesimal transformation ${ }^{1}$ ). We obtain (III) and (IV) from (I) and (14); and (V) from (11). (VI-VIII) are deduced from (IV) and Gauss' equation. For $m=n$ the quantities $H_{\mu \lambda}^{\prime \prime}$ and $D^{\nu}$ vanish, and (VI) passes into the equation expressing the change of the curvature quantity under an infinitesimal transformation ${ }^{2}$ ). For $m=n-1$ we have in stead of III, IV and $V$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{III}^{\prime} . \delta h_{\lambda \mu}=+2 \varepsilon h^{\alpha}{ }_{(\mu} C_{\lambda)}^{\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta}-2 \varepsilon h^{\beta}{ }_{(\mu} B_{\lambda)}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta}+ \\
& +\varepsilon B_{\lambda \mu}^{\alpha \beta} K_{\gamma \alpha \dot{\beta}}{ }^{\delta} n_{\delta} v^{\nu}-\varepsilon n_{\alpha} B_{\lambda \mu}^{\beta \gamma} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\gamma}^{j} \nabla_{\delta} v^{\alpha} . \\
& \mathrm{IV}^{\prime} . d h_{a b}=\varepsilon B_{a b}^{\alpha \beta} K_{\gamma \alpha} \ddot{j}^{\delta} n_{\delta} v^{\gamma}-\varepsilon n_{\alpha} B_{a b}^{\beta \delta} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\delta}^{\gamma} \nabla_{\gamma} v^{\alpha} . \\
& \mathrm{V}^{\prime} . d h_{a}^{a}=\varepsilon K_{\alpha \beta} n^{\alpha} v^{\beta}-\varepsilon n_{\alpha} g^{\prime \beta \gamma} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\gamma}^{\delta} \nabla_{\delta} v^{\alpha}-2 \varepsilon h^{\alpha \beta} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we decompose in this case $v^{\nu}$ into a component $w^{v}$ in the $V_{n-1}$ and another, $\psi n^{\nu}$, normal to the $V_{n-1}$ ( $n^{\prime}$ being unit vector) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\mu}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\lambda}=\nabla_{\mu}^{\prime} w_{\lambda}+\psi h_{\mu \lambda}-h_{\mu}^{\alpha} w_{\alpha} n_{\lambda}+n_{\lambda} \nabla_{\mu}^{\prime} \psi . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n^{\alpha} B_{\omega \mu}^{\beta \gamma} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\gamma}^{\delta} \nabla_{\delta} v_{\alpha}=-h_{i,}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\mu}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{w}_{\alpha}-\nabla_{\omega}^{\prime} h_{\mu}^{\alpha} w_{\alpha}-\psi h_{\omega}{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha} h_{\mu \alpha}+\nabla_{\omega}^{\prime} \nabla_{\mu}^{\prime} \psi$.
The equation of KILLING $\left.\nabla_{(\mu} v_{\lambda)}=0{ }^{3}\right)$ is characteristic for the rigid motions in $V_{n}$. It can indeed be shown without difficulty that in this case all diffferentials vanish.

[^3]
## § 3. Geodesic $V_{m}$.

Necessary and sufficient condition that a geodesic $V_{m}$ remains geodesic under a deformation $\varepsilon v^{\nu}$, is, after (IV), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\alpha}^{\nu} B_{a b}^{\beta \gamma} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\gamma}^{\delta} \nabla_{\delta} v^{\alpha}-B_{a b}^{\alpha \beta} C_{\delta}^{\gamma} K_{\gamma \alpha \beta}^{\alpha_{\beta}^{\delta}} v^{\gamma}=0 \quad . \quad . \quad . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=n-1$ this equation passes into

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\alpha} B_{a b}^{\beta \gamma} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\gamma}^{\delta} \nabla_{\delta} v^{\alpha}-B_{a b}^{\alpha \beta} K_{\alpha \gamma \beta \delta} v^{\gamma} n^{\delta}=0 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $m=1$ into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta^{2}}{d s^{2}} v^{\delta}-i^{\alpha} i^{\beta} K_{\gamma \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}}{ }^{\alpha} v^{\gamma}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equation of Levi-Civita.

## § 4. Minimal- $V_{m}$.

Necessary and sufficient condition that the minimal property is not changed is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\alpha}^{\nu} g^{\prime \beta \gamma} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\gamma}^{\delta} \nabla_{\delta} v^{\alpha}-C_{\delta}^{\nu} g^{\prime \alpha \beta} K_{\gamma \alpha, \beta}{ }^{\delta} v^{\gamma}-H^{\alpha, \beta^{\nu}} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta}=0 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=2$ and $v^{\nu} \perp V_{2}$ this equation is equivalent to CARTAN's equation.

For $m=n-1$ equation (22) passes into

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{\alpha} g^{\prime \beta \gamma} \nabla_{\beta} B_{\gamma}^{\delta} \nabla_{\delta} v_{\alpha}-K_{\alpha \beta} n^{\alpha} v^{\beta}+h^{\alpha, \beta} \nabla_{\alpha} v_{\beta}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $v^{\nu}=\psi n^{\nu}$ and the unit vector $n^{\nu} \perp V_{n-1}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{\prime a} \nabla_{a}^{\prime} \psi-\psi K_{\alpha \beta} n^{\alpha} n^{\beta}+\psi h^{\alpha \beta} h_{\alpha \beta}=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, if in this case $V_{n}=R_{3}, m=2$, we obtain the equation of Schwarz

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{\prime} \mathrm{u} \nabla_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime} \psi-2 K_{0}^{\prime} \psi=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

in wich $K_{0}^{\prime}$ is the curvature of the $V_{2}$.

## § 5. Bending.

A $V_{m}$ is bended when its metric is not changed under the deformation. Hence the necessary and sufficient condition is $d g^{\prime a b}=0$, or, with respect to (II)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.B_{a b}^{\alpha, \beta} \nabla_{(\alpha} v_{\beta}\right)=0 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=n-1$ we get from (17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{(a}^{\prime} w_{b)} \doteq-\psi h_{a b} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $V_{n}=R_{n}$ and the rank of $h_{a b}$ is larger than 1 , we can obtain from this differential equation an equation of the second order with $\nabla_{[s} \omega_{b]}$ as dependent variable, which does no longer contain the function $\psi$. If we write $\nabla_{[a}^{\prime} w_{b]}=f_{a b}$, the integrability conditions of (27) are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / 2 K_{c a b}^{\prime} .{ }^{d} w_{d}-\nabla_{[\mathrm{c}}^{\prime} f_{\mathrm{a}] b}=-\left(\nabla_{\mathrm{cc}}^{\prime} \psi\right) h_{\mathrm{a}] b} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the deduction of the right member we have used Codazzi's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{[c}^{\prime} h_{\mathrm{a}] \mathrm{b}}=0 . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (28) we obtain, making use of Gauss' equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{b[c} h_{a]}{ }^{d} w_{d}-\nabla_{[c}^{\prime} f_{a] b}=-\left(\nabla_{[c}^{\prime} \psi\right) h_{a l b} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transvection with $h^{a b}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{c}^{\cdot a} h_{a}^{\cdot d} w_{d}+h^{a b} \nabla_{a}^{\prime} f_{c b}=p_{c}^{\cdot a} \nabla_{a}^{\prime} \psi \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which we have used the abbreviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{a b} h_{c b}-h^{d b} h_{d b} A_{c}^{a}=p_{c}^{\cdot a} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rank of $p_{a b}$ is always $n$ when the rank of $h_{a b}$ is not equal to 1 . Hence there exists an inverse tensor $P_{a b}$ of $p_{a b}$ and the introduction of $P_{a b}$ into equation (31) gives the simpler formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{c}^{\cdot a} w_{a}+P_{c}^{\cdot a} h^{d b} \nabla_{d}^{\prime} f_{a b}=\nabla_{c}^{\prime} \psi \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we obtain by differentiation and alternation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{h}_{[\mathrm{cc}}^{\cdot a}{ }_{d \mathrm{~d}] \mathrm{a}}=\nabla_{[\mathrm{c}}^{\prime} u_{d]} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which we have used the abbreviation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c}^{\cdot a} h^{d b} \nabla_{d}^{\prime} f_{a b}=u_{c} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (33) is substituted into (17), we get, making use of (27) and (34)

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i^{\alpha}}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}_{\lambda}=\boldsymbol{f}_{\mu \lambda}+\boldsymbol{u}_{\mu} \boldsymbol{n}_{\lambda} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integrability conditions of this equation are, so far as they are not a consequence of $(A)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime} f_{b c}=2 h_{a[b} u_{\mathrm{c}]} \tag{B}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can easily be shown that the integrability conditions of $(A)$ are a consequence of $(B)$. Those of $(B)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{[\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{c}} h_{b]}^{\alpha} f_{d] \alpha}=h_{[\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{c}} \nabla_{b]}^{\prime} u_{d]} \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the integrability conditions of this equation are a consequence of $(B)$. Hence the system $(A),(B),(C)$ is complete $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$. If we compute for this case the right side of equation (VI), we see that (C) expresses the fact that $d K_{a b c d}^{\prime}=0$.

Now we will first investigate under which conditions the bending is improper, that is to say, is only a pure motion of the $R_{n}$. Necessary and sufficient condition for this is that we can find a vector field in the $R_{n}$ being equal to $v_{\lambda}$ in every point of the $V_{m}$ and being choosen in other points in such a way, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} v_{\lambda}=\nabla_{[\mu} v_{\lambda]}=F_{\mu \lambda} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]is a constant bivector in $R_{n}$; that is to say that there exists in $V_{m}$ a vector field $p_{\lambda}$, such that the bivector
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mu \lambda}=f_{\mu \lambda}+2 p_{[\mu} i_{\lambda]} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is constant in $R_{n}$. This is however then and only then the case, when the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} f_{\mathrm{da}}=\nabla_{\mathrm{c}} p_{d}  \tag{0}\\
\nabla_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime} f_{b c}=2 h_{\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{~b}} p_{\mathrm{c}]} . \tag{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

admits a solution. It can be shown without difficulty that $\left(A_{0}\right)$ and ( $B_{0}$ ) also form a complete system, the equation corresponding tot ( $C$ ) being here a consequence of $\left(A_{0}\right)$. If a solution of $\left(A_{0}, B_{0}\right)$ is found, we have for the corresponding motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\mu}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}_{\lambda}=\boldsymbol{f}_{\mu \lambda}+p_{\mu} \boldsymbol{i}_{\lambda} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence a solution of ( $A, B, C$ ) is then and only then not a proper bending, if this solution also satisfies $\left(A_{0}\right)$ for $u=p$.

Now the following theorem holds and can be proved easily by writing out the components with respect to the principal directions of $h_{a b}$ :

Given the equation $h_{[a[c} k_{b] d]}=0$, in which $h_{a b}$ is real and symmetrical and $k_{a b}$ arbitrary. Then if $h_{a b}$ has the rank $2, k_{a b}$ lies totally in the $R_{2}$ of $h_{a b}$, and if $h_{a b}$ has a rank $>2, k_{a b}$ vanishes.

Hence we deduce from (C) that a $V_{m}$ in $R_{n}$ admits only then proper bendings, if the rank of $h_{a b}$ is 2 or less, a well-known property, first published by Killing ${ }^{1}$ ). If the rank of $h_{a b}$ is 2 , we have the only case that the $\infty^{n-3}$ directions of $h_{a b}$ form, at each point, a plane $R_{n-3}$ lying totally in the $V_{n-1}$ and with the same tangent $-R_{n-1}$ at each point. This was proved bij Bompiani ${ }^{2}$ ). Hence the $V_{n-1}$ is thus built up by $\infty^{2}$ of such $R_{n-3}$. According to $(B) f_{\lambda \mu}$ is constant in each of these $R_{n-3}$. If the above mentioned theorem is applied to (C), we find that $h_{b}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{d d \alpha}-$ $-\nabla_{b}^{\prime} n_{d}$ lies totally in the $R_{2}$ of $h_{\lambda \mu}$; and this shows that also $u_{a}$ in each of the $R_{n-3}$ of $V_{n-1}$ is constant. We have besides, from (IV') and (35):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d h_{a b}=-h_{a}^{\cdot \alpha} f_{b \alpha}+\nabla_{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime} u_{b} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence if $y^{1}$ and $y^{2}$ are chosen in such a way that the $R_{n-3}$ become

[^5]the intersections of the systems of $V_{n-2}$ belonging to $y^{1}$ and $y^{2}$, we see from this last equation that $d h_{a b}$ always vanishes except for $n \leqq 2$ and $b \leqq 2$ simultaniously. Hence the plane $R_{n-3}$ remain plane under bending. ${ }^{1}$ ).

Starting with a definite solution $v_{\lambda}$ of $(A, B, C)$ we can always obtain that $f_{\mu \lambda}$ and $u_{\lambda}$ vanish at some point $P$, without essential change of the solution. We have only to determine the corresponding proper motion $v^{\prime \lambda}$, giving at $P$ the same value for $f_{\mu \lambda}$ and $u_{\lambda}$. Then $v_{\lambda}-v_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ is the desired solution not differing essentially from $v_{\lambda}$. This will be called the reduction of the bending with respect to $P$.

Let us give besides a short treatment of the case $m=2$, in which case $f_{\mu \lambda}$ passes into $\varphi I_{\mu \lambda} ; I_{\mu \lambda}$ being the unit bivector of the $V_{2}$. The function $\varphi$ is Weingarten's "Verschiebungsfunktion" ${ }^{2}$ ). Then equations ( $A, B, C$ ) become:


From $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}\right)$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{a}^{\prime} H^{a b} \nabla_{b}^{\prime} \varphi=-1 / 8 \varphi h_{\cdot a}^{a} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $H^{a b}$ is reciprocal to $h_{a b}$.
This equation comes in stead of $(A)$ and is equivalent to the characteristic equation of WEIngarten ${ }^{3}$ ). It can easily be shown that every value of $\varphi$ deduced from a solution of the characteristic equation satisfies $\left(C_{1}\right)$ identically.

A remarkable case of proper bending is that in which the ( $n-1$ )direction of each element of the $V_{n-1}$ remains unchanged. The necessary and sufficient condition for this is that not only $d g^{\prime}{ }_{a b}$, but also $\delta g^{\prime}{ }_{\lambda \mu}$ vanishes. This occurs then and only then if, as we see from (I) and (II) $B_{\mu}^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v}_{\lambda}$ lies totally in $V_{n-1}$ and is at the same time alternating. Then the equations $(A, B, C)$ pass into


[^6]It follows from $\left(C_{2}\right)$ that, except for a scalar factor, $f_{a b}$ is equal to the unit bivector in the $R_{2}$ of $h_{a b}$. It follows from ( $B_{2}$ ), that this scalar factor is a constant and that the $R_{2}$ of $h_{a b}$ is geodesically parallel (in $V_{n-1}$ ) at all points of $V_{n-1}$. If $\left(A_{2}\right)$ is written out in orthogonal components with respect to the principal axes of $h_{a b}$, it appears that this equation is then and only then satisfied if the $V_{n-1}$ is minimal. If we reduce the bending with respect to some point $P$, we see that there is essentially only one solution. Hence we have obtained the theorem, obtained by Darboux for the case of a $V_{2}$ in $R_{3}{ }^{1}$ ):

Necessary and sufficient condition that a $V_{n-1}$ in $R_{n}$, for which $h_{a b}$ has the rank 2, can be subjected to a proper infinitesimal bending. with preservation of the ( $n-1$ )-direction of each element, is, that the $V_{n-1}$ be a minimal- $V_{n-1}$ and that the $R_{2}$ of $h_{a b}$ be geodesically parallel in $V_{n-1}$ at all points of $V_{n-1}$. If one such a bending is given, then any other can be obtained from it by the adjunction of a proper motion.

## § 7. Infinitesimal deformations normal to $V_{n-1}$ that keep the principal directions of $h_{a b}$ invariant.

Suppose $v^{\nu} \perp V_{n-1}$. Then we have from (IV') and (18):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d h_{a b}=\varepsilon \psi B_{a b}^{\alpha \beta} K_{\dot{\gamma \alpha \beta},}{ }^{\delta} n_{\delta} n^{\gamma}+\varepsilon \psi h_{a}^{c} h_{b c}-\varepsilon \nabla_{a}^{\prime} \nabla_{b}^{\prime} \psi . . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensor $h_{a}{ }^{c} h_{b c}$ has the same principal directions as $h_{a b}$. Hence the necessary and sufficient condition that the principal directions of $h_{a b}$ remain invariant, is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{a}^{\alpha} i_{b}^{\beta}\left(\nabla_{\alpha}^{\prime} \nabla_{\beta}^{\prime} \psi-\psi K_{\gamma \alpha, \beta}^{j} n_{\delta} n^{\gamma}\right)=0 ; \quad a, b=1, \ldots, n-1, a \neq b \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the $i$ are unit vectors in the principal directions of $h_{a b}$. Such a transformation exists when we pass from one of the $V_{n-1}$ of an $n$-uple orthogonal system to a neighbouring $V_{n-1}$. It can be shown indeed, that in this case one of the conditions for the existence of such a system is given by equation (42) ${ }^{2}$ ).

## § 8. Infinitesimal transformations that keep invariant the the m-dimensional volume.

The volume of the parallepiped with sides $d y^{1}, d y^{2} \ldots, d y^{m}$ is, according to a well-known formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x=d y^{1} \ldots d y^{m} V \overline{g^{\prime}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^7]A transformation is equivoluminar, when $d \tau$ remains invariant, or in consequence of (43) when $d V g^{\prime}=0$. But

$$
\begin{equation*}
d V \overline{g^{\prime}}=1 / 2 g^{\prime-1 / 2} d g^{\prime}=1 / 2 V \overline{g^{\prime}} g^{\prime a b} d g_{a b}^{\prime}=\varepsilon V \bar{g}^{\prime} g^{\prime \mu \lambda} \nabla_{\mu} v_{\lambda} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula passes for $m=n-1$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sqrt{g^{\prime}}=\varepsilon \psi V \overline{g^{\prime}}\left(\nabla^{\prime a} w_{\mathrm{a}}+\psi h_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

on account of (17). This shows that an infinitesimal transformation of a $V_{n-1}$ in $V_{n}$ perpendicular to this $V_{n-1}$ is then and only then equivoluminar if the $V_{n-1}$ is minimal. This theorem is due to Bompian. $\left.{ }^{1}\right)^{2}{ }^{2}$ ).
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