Mathematics. - On the Motion of a Plane Fixed System with Two Degrees of Freedom. (Second Communication ${ }^{1}$ )). By Prof. W. van der Woude.

(Communicated at the meeting of March 31, 1928).
§ 1. By the motion of a fixed system we always understand, at least in purely kinematical considerations, the motion of two fixed systems relative to each other; already in the usual indication of the problem we notice the peculiar lack of symmetry that strikes us in the further treatment ${ }^{2}$ ). In the simplest case, where this motion depends on one parameter, this lack is very little troublesome; it seems to me that already in the next case - the motion depending on two parameters it is certainly worth while to pass on to a more symmetrical representation.

As in this case we choose a system of axes that is not fixed to either of the systems, the formulas are in the beginning slightly more complicated than in the usual method; this disadvantage disappears, however, as soon as we give this system of axes the movement that is prescribed by the problem so that the symmetry remains intact.

The followed method is briefly this: With the exception of a few special cases (§3) there always exists a definite line $d$, that is not fixed to either of the systems and that is the locus of the possible poles of rotation; this line may be chosen as the $X$-axis of a system of axes. It is then obvious that a definite point must be chosen as origin. In this way the formulas for the motion have become so simple that the known conclusions may be read at once.
For the sake of a more outward consequence the usual expressions "fixed" and "movable system" have been replaced by "the systems $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$.
§ 2. Let OXY be a rectangular system of axes; the coordinates $(x, y)$ of any point always relate to this system; whenever there is question of the components of a vector we always mean the projections of this

[^0]vector on this system. Let further $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ be two plane fixed systems the motion of which relative to each other depends on two parameters $u$ and $v$; we shall assume that the motion of both relative to $O X Y$ also depends on $u$ and $v$, that, however, it is possible that the motion of one, e.g. of $\Sigma_{1}$, depends on one parameter, e.g. of $u$, but that in this case the motion of $\Sigma_{2}$ relative to $O X Y$ depends either on both $u$ and $v$ or on $v$ only.

For convenience' sake there follows here first a summary of the formulas that express the displacements occurring in this motion. The elementary displacement relative to $O X Y$ of a point $(x, y)$ that is fixed to $\Sigma_{i}(i=1,2)$ is defined by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\delta x=\left(\xi_{1}^{(i)}-\omega_{1}^{(i)} y\right) d u+\left(\xi_{2}^{(i)}-\omega_{2}^{(i)} y\right) d v  \tag{1}\\
\delta y=\left(\eta_{1}^{(i)}+\omega_{1}^{(i)} x\right) d u+\left(\eta_{2}^{(i)}+\omega_{2}^{(i)} x\right) d v
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Here

$$
\xi_{1}^{(i)}, \xi_{2}^{(i)}, \eta_{1}^{(i)}, \eta_{2}^{(i)}, \omega_{1}^{(i)}, \omega_{2}^{(i)}
$$

have the well known signification; between these quantities exist the relations ${ }^{1}$ )

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \omega_{1}^{(i)}}{\partial v}=\frac{\partial \omega_{2}^{(i)}}{\partial u} \cdot . . \\
\frac{\partial \xi_{1}^{(i)}}{\partial v}-\frac{\partial \xi_{2}^{(i)}}{\partial u}=\eta_{2}^{(i)} \omega_{1}^{(i)}-\eta_{1}^{(i)} \omega_{2}^{(i)} \\
\frac{\partial \eta_{1}^{(i)}}{\partial v}-\frac{\partial \eta_{2}^{(i)}}{\partial u}=-\xi_{2}^{(i)} \omega_{1}^{(i)}+\xi_{1}^{(i)} \omega_{2}^{(i)}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

In the same way the elementary displacement relative to $\Sigma_{1}$ of a point $(x, y)$ that is fixed to $\Sigma_{2}$ is given by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\delta x=\left(\xi_{1}-\omega_{1} y\right) d u+\left(\xi_{2}-\omega_{2} y\right) d v  \tag{3}\\
\delta y=\left(\eta_{1}+\omega_{1} x\right) d u+\left(\eta_{2}+\omega_{2} x\right) d v
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are the rotations of $\Sigma_{2}$ in its motion relative to $\Sigma_{1} ; \xi_{1}$ is the projection on $O X$ of the vector that expresses the velocity relative to $\Sigma_{1}$ of the point $(o, o)$ fixed to $\Sigma_{2}$. If we consider $(x, y)$ as a point that is fixed to $\Sigma_{1}$ and if in (3) we replace $\xi_{1}$ by $-\xi_{1}$ etc. the displacement relative to $\Sigma_{2}$ of a point fixed to $\Sigma_{1}$ is expressed by these equations.

In this case it follows from (1) and (3) that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
\xi_{1}=\xi_{1}^{(2)}-\xi_{1}^{(1)} ; \xi_{2}=\xi_{2}^{(2)}-\xi_{2}^{(1)} ; \eta_{1} \doteq \eta_{1}^{(2)}-\eta_{1}^{1} ; \eta_{2}=\eta_{2}^{2}-\eta_{2}^{(1)}  \tag{4}\\
\omega_{1}=\omega_{1}^{(2)}-\omega_{1}^{(1)} ; \omega_{2}=\omega_{2}^{(2)}-\omega_{2}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

${ }^{1}$ ) Cf. e.g. G. Darboux: Théorie des Surfaces I, p. 67, 71 (Gauthier-Villars, Paris), or L. P. Eisenhart : A Treatise on Differential Geometry, p. 168, 170 (Ginn and Co., Boston, New York, London). Our formulas (2) however are only identical with the cited ones when we replace $\xi_{1}^{(i)}$ by $-\xi_{1}^{(i)}$ etc. as 1.c. always the inverse motion, the motion of OXY relative to $\Sigma_{1}$, is considered.

The equations ( $2^{3}$ ) do not hold good for $\xi_{1} \ldots \ldots \omega_{2}$. (2 $2^{\text {a }}$ ) remains valid; we can see this by filling in $i=1$ and $i=2$ in ( $2^{x}$ ) and by subtracting the two equations from each other. It appears that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \omega_{1}}{\partial v}=\frac{\partial \omega_{2}}{\partial u} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

§ 3. We start from (3) and we consider, therefore, the motion of $\Sigma_{2}$ relative to $\Sigma_{1}$. We shall call the possible movements depending on two parameters the system [ $\mathfrak{M}^{2}$ ].

The locus of the poles is found from:

$$
\delta x=\delta y=0
$$

and has, therefore, as equation

$$
\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{1}-\omega_{1} y & \xi_{2}-\omega_{2} y \\
\eta_{1}+\omega_{1} x & \eta_{2}+\omega_{2} x
\end{array}\right\|=0
$$

or

$$
\left(\xi_{1} \omega_{2}-\xi_{2} \omega_{1}\right) x+\left(\eta_{1} \omega_{2}-\eta_{2} \omega_{1}\right) y+\xi_{1} \eta_{2}-\xi_{2} \eta_{1}=0
$$

If for the moment we exclude the cases where
or

$$
\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}=0
$$

$$
\left\|\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{1} \eta_{1} \omega_{1} \\
\xi_{2} \eta_{2} \omega_{2}
\end{array}\right\|=0
$$

(6) represents a straight line $d$.

It is evident that

$$
\omega_{1}=\omega_{2}=0
$$

means that $\left[\mathfrak{M}^{2}\right]$ contains only translations and that

$$
\left\|\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{1} \eta_{1} \omega_{1} \\
\xi_{2} \eta_{2} & \omega_{2}
\end{array}\right\|=0
$$

indicates that the system [ $\mathfrak{M}^{2}$ ] depends on one parameter only.
In the future we shall always exclude these cases.
§4. We shall now make the condition that $d$ coincide with $O X$; for this it is necessary and sufficient that

$$
\xi_{1}=\xi_{2}=0
$$

For the sake of a further simplification we first remark that owing to (5) we can introduce a new variable $\theta$ through

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 d \theta=\omega_{1} d u+\omega_{2} d v \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote an integrating factor of $\eta_{1} d u+\eta_{2} d v$ by $\frac{1}{2 H(u, v)}$ so that we can put

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 H d \tau=\eta_{1} d u+\eta_{2} d v \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall further introduce in $H$ the variables $\theta$ and $\tau$ defined through $\left(7^{a}\right)$ and $\left(7^{b}\right)$ but for a constant, in stead of $u$ and $v$.

The displacement relative to $\Sigma_{1}$ of a point $(x, y)$ of $\Sigma_{2}$ is now expressed by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\delta x=-2 y d \theta  \tag{I}\\
\delta y=2 H d \tau+2 x d \theta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It is evident that $d \theta=0$ means a translation and $d x=0$ a rotation round the origin; also that $\theta$ is twice the angle between two lines one of which is fixed to $\Sigma_{1}$ and the other to $\Sigma_{2}$ and that $\tau$ may be replaced by any function of $\tau$ without the form of (I) changing.

We shall now represent the displacement relative to $O X Y$ of a point $(x y)$ that is fixed to $\Sigma_{1}$ by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\delta x=\left(U_{1}-\Omega_{1} y\right) d \tau+\left[U_{2}-\left(\Omega_{2}-1\right) y\right] d \theta  \tag{8}\\
\delta y=\left(V_{1}-H+\Omega_{1} x\right) d \tau+\left[V_{2}+\left(\Omega_{2}-1\right) x\right] d \theta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

accordingly for the displacement relative to $O X Y$ of a point of $\Sigma_{2}$ we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\partial x=\left(U_{1}-\Omega_{1} y\right) d \tau+\left[U_{2}-\left(\Omega_{2}+1\right) y\right] d \theta  \tag{9}\\
\delta y=\left(V_{1}+H+\Omega_{1} x\right) d \tau+\left[V_{2}+\left[\Omega_{2}+1\right) x\right] d \theta
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

From (8) as well as from (9) there follow relations (see $2^{x}$ and $2^{3}$ ) between $U_{1}, U_{2}, V_{1}, V_{2}, \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ and $H$; through addition and subtraction these are simplified to

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \Omega_{1}}{\partial \theta}=\frac{\partial \Omega_{2}}{\partial \tau} & \frac{\partial U_{1}}{\partial \theta}-\frac{\partial U_{2}}{\partial \tau}=V_{2} \Omega_{1}-V_{1} \Omega_{2}-H  \tag{10}\\
V_{1}+H \Omega_{2}=0 & \frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial \theta}-\frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial \tau}=U_{1} \Omega_{2}-U_{2} \Omega_{1} \\
\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta}=U_{1} &
\end{array}\right\}
$$

§ 5. Let a definite displacement out of $\left[M^{2}\right]$ be defined by

$$
\frac{d \theta}{d \tau}=\lambda ;
$$

if $(x, 0)$ is the pole of rotation for the motion of $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ relative to each other, we have

$$
H+\lambda x=0
$$

Now in the system $\Sigma_{1} d$ turns about the point ( $x^{\prime}, 0$ ) for which in (9)

$$
\delta y=0
$$

hence about the point that is defined by

$$
V_{1}-H+\Omega_{1} x^{\prime}+\lambda\left[V_{2}+\left(\Omega_{2}-1\right) x^{\prime}\right]=0 ;
$$

in the system $\Sigma_{2} d$ turns about the point $\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right)$ that is defined by

$$
V_{1}+H+\Omega_{1} x^{\prime \prime}+\lambda\left[V_{2}+\left(\Omega_{2}+1\right) x^{\prime \prime}\right]=0
$$

The former two points coincide when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1} x^{2}+\left(V_{1}-\Omega_{2} H\right) x-V_{2} H=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

if this is the case $(x, 0)$ and $\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0\right)$ also coincide as might be expected. Through (11) two points are defined - at least if $\Omega_{1} \pm 0$; we can call them the stationary poles of rotation (for the given position). For the moment we shall further put

$$
\Omega_{1} \neq 0
$$

In the future we shall always choose the middle between these stationary poles of rotation as origin of our system of coordinates of which so far we had defined the $X$-axis, not the origin. Now we have always

$$
V_{1}-H \Omega_{2}=0
$$

In connection with one of the formulas (10) it follows from this that

$$
V_{1}=\Omega_{2}=0
$$

It is impossible that $H$ is identically equal to zero as in this case the motion of $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ relative to each other would only have one degree of freedom.

The formulas (8), (9), and (10) are now greatly simplified. We have already found

$$
V_{1}=\Omega_{2}=0
$$

further in (10)

$$
\frac{\partial \Omega_{1}}{\partial \theta}=0
$$

hence $\Omega_{1}$ is a function of $\tau$ only. Accordingly we can again denote $\int \Omega_{1} d \tau$ by a new variable; if this is again called $\tau$ we have - cf. (10) -

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta}=U_{1} ; \frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial \tau}=U_{2} \\
\frac{\partial U_{1}}{\partial \theta}-\frac{\partial U_{2}}{\partial \tau}=V_{2}-H .
\end{gathered}
$$

Summarising. The displacement relative to $\Sigma_{1}$ of a point of $\Sigma_{2}$, hence any displacement out of [ $\mathfrak{M}^{2}$ ], is expressed by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\delta x=-2 y d \theta  \tag{I}\\
\delta y=2 H d \tau+2 x d \theta
\end{array}\right\} ;
$$

the displacement relative to $O X Y$ of a point of $\Sigma_{1}$ by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\delta x=\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta}-y\right) d \tau+\left(\frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial \tau}+y\right) d \theta  \tag{II}\\
\delta y=(-H+x) d \tau+\left(V_{2}-x\right) d \theta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

the displacement relative to $O X Y$ of a point of $\Sigma_{2}$ by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\delta x=\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta}-y\right) d \tau+\left(\frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial \tau}-y\right) d \theta  \tag{III}\\
\delta y=(H+x) d \tau+\left(V_{2}+x\right) d \theta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Between the functions $H(\tau, \theta)$ and $V_{2}(\tau, \theta)$ there only exists the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial \theta^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} V_{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}+H-V_{2}=0 \tag{IV}
\end{equation*}
$$

§ 6. Simple Results. Let any displacement be given by

$$
\frac{d \theta}{d \tau}=\lambda
$$

The pole of rotation for the displacement of $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ relative to each other is the point $P\left(-\frac{H}{\lambda}, 0\right)$; in the plane $\Sigma_{1} d$ turns round the point $Q_{1}\left(\frac{H-\lambda V_{2}}{1-\lambda}, 0\right)$ for which

$$
\delta y=0
$$

in $\Sigma_{2} d$ turns round $Q_{2}\left(\frac{-H-\lambda V_{2}}{1+\lambda}, 0\right)$.
In any position there exists a projective correspondence between $P$ and $Q_{1}$ and also between $P$ and $Q_{2}{ }^{1}$ ).

Special cases:

1. The three points coincide in the stationary poles of rotation.
2. If $O$ is the pole of rotation $V_{2}$ and $-V_{2}$ are the abscissae of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$.
3. If $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ have a translation relative to each other ( $P$ lies at infinity; $\lambda=0$ ), $d$ turns round $Q_{1}(H, o)$ in $\Sigma_{1}$, round ( $-H, o$ ) in $\Sigma_{2}$.
4. If $a$ has a translation in $\Sigma_{1},(-H, o)$ is the pole of rotation; if $d$ has a translation in $\Sigma_{2},(H, o)$ is the pole of rotation.
$O$ is always the middle between the found pairs of points.
The motion of $d$ in $\Sigma_{1}$ depends on one parameter only in the case that

$$
-H+V_{2}=0
$$

for then in (II)

$$
\delta y=0
$$

for any point $(x=0)$ if the displacement is defined by

$$
d \tau-d \theta=0
$$

[^1]in this case all points of $d$ move on $d$ and $d$ is a fixed line in $\Sigma_{1}$. For any other displacement $d$ turns in $\Sigma_{1}$ about the point $(H, o)$.

But on the same condition the motion of $d$ in $\Sigma_{2}$ depends on only one parameter; the displacement defined by

$$
d \tau+d \theta=0
$$

leaves $d$ at rest in $\Sigma_{2}$; for any other displacement it turns about the point ( $-H$, o). This gives the

Theorem of Koenigs. If the displacement of $d$ in $\Sigma_{1}$ depends on only one parameter, this is also the case with the motion of $d$ in $\Sigma_{2}$. The displacements that leave $d$ at rest in $\Sigma_{1}$ and those that leave it at rest in $\Sigma_{2}$, are different.

A second interesting special case is the following one. Suppose

$$
V_{2}=0
$$

In this case the two stationary poles of rotation coincide in $O$; they correspond to the displacement for which

$$
d x=0
$$

In order to examine the displacement of $O$ relative to $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ we have only to calculate $\delta x$ and $\delta y$ in (II) and (III) for $O(o, o)$ and to replace them by their opposites. Then evidently

$$
\delta x=\delta y=0
$$

The origin is accordingly at rest in $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$, in other words:
If $V_{2}$ is identically equal to zero the system of movements [ $\mathfrak{M}^{2}$ ] of the systems $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ relative to each other contains a finite rotation about a point that is fixed to $\Sigma_{1}$ and to $\Sigma_{2}$.

This leads to the problem: when does the system [ $\mathfrak{M}^{2}$ ] contain finite rotations about a point that is fixed to $\Sigma_{1}$ and to $\Sigma_{2}$ ?

The pole of rotation must be fixed in $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{3}: d$ always passes through the pole, hence $d$ turns about the same point in $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$, which point is accordingly one of the stationary poles of rotation. The problem is therefore: is it possible that for the finite movement defined by

$$
V \bar{H} d \tau+V \overline{V_{2}} d \theta=0
$$

the pole of rotation ( $\pm \sqrt{H V_{2}}, o$ ) is fixed to $\Sigma_{1}$. The vector of the displacement of a point $(x, y)$ relative to $\Sigma_{1}$ is given by the components $d x-\delta x, d y-\delta y$ when $\delta x$ and $\delta y$ are taken from (II) and if for $\frac{d \theta}{d \tau}$ ( $\pm \sqrt{H V_{2}}, 0$ ) is substituted.

It is therefore necessary that for one of the points $\left( \pm V \overline{H V}_{2}, o\right)$

$$
d x-\delta x=0
$$

or

$$
3\left(H V \overline{V_{2}} \frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial \tau}+V_{2} V \bar{H} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta}\right)+V_{2} \sqrt[V \theta_{2}]{ } \frac{\partial H}{\partial \tau}+H V \bar{H} \frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial \theta}=0 .
$$

If $H$ and $V_{2}$ satisfy this equation besides (IV), [ $\left.\mathfrak{M}^{2}\right]$ contains a finite rotation about a fixed point.

As might be expected this equation is always satisfied if $V_{2}=0$.
Does the system [ $\mathfrak{M}^{2}$ ] contain finite rectilinear translations? The translation is always parallel to $d$ (cf. (I)); however we have seen that $d$ turns about a point at finite distance in $\Sigma_{1}$ as well as in $\Sigma_{2}$ and, accordingly, has neither a fixed direction in $\Sigma_{1}$ nor in $\Sigma_{2}$. A finite rectilinear translation can, therefore, only be expected in the case that we have excluded until now ; in that case it is in fact contained in [ $\mathfrak{M}^{2}$ ] (cf. §§5, 7; $\Omega_{1}=0$ ).
§ 7. We shall now briefly discuss the case that has so far been excluded where (cf. §5)

$$
\Omega_{1}=0
$$

If we suppose in the first place

$$
V_{1}-\Omega_{2} H \neq 0
$$

it appears from (II) § 5 that:
there is only one stationary pole of rotation (or the other one lies at infinity).

If we choose this stationary pole of rotation (not at infinity) as origin we have:

$$
V_{2}=0
$$

It appears further from (10) that $\Omega_{2}$ is a function of $\theta$ only and $\Omega_{2} H^{2}$ of $\tau$ only, hence

$$
H=\frac{\varphi(\tau)}{\sqrt{\Omega_{2}}}
$$

We shall introduce $\int \varphi(\tau) d \tau$ as new variable; if we call this variable again $\tau$ the form of (I) does not change and $H$ is a function of $\theta$ only. Further

$$
\Omega_{2}=\frac{1}{H^{2}}, \quad V_{1}=-\frac{1}{H}, U_{1}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta}
$$

Any displacement relative to $\Sigma_{1}$ of a point of $\Sigma_{2}$ is still expressed by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lr}
\delta x= & -2 y d \theta  \tag{I}\\
\delta y=2 H(\theta) d \tau+2 x d \theta
\end{array} \right\rvert\,
$$

the displacement relative to $O X Y$ of a point of $\Sigma_{1}$ by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\delta x=\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} d \tau+\left[U_{2}-\left(\frac{1}{H^{2}}-1\right) y\right] d \theta  \tag{II}\\
\delta y=-\left(\frac{1}{H}+H\right) d \tau+\left(\frac{1}{H^{2}}-1\right) x d \theta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

the displacement relative to $O X Y$ of a point of $\Sigma_{2}$ by

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\delta x=\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} d \tau+\left[U_{2}-\left(\frac{1}{H^{2}}+1\right) y\right] d \theta \\
\delta y=-\left(\frac{1}{H}-H\right) d \tau+\left(\frac{1}{H^{2}}+1\right) x d \theta \tag{III}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Between the functions $U_{2}$ and $H$ there exists the relation

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial \theta^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} U^{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}+\frac{1}{H^{3}}+H=0
$$

This proves: if always (i.e. for any pair of values of $u$ and $v$ ) $\Omega_{1}=0$, the system $\left[\mathfrak{M}^{2}\right]$ contains a rectilinear finite translation of $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ relative to each other, corresponding to $d \theta=0$. If besides $H= \pm 1$ the motion of $d$ in $\Sigma_{1}$ as well as in $\Sigma_{2}$ depends on one parameter only.

There remain the possibilities

$$
\Omega_{1}=0, \quad V_{1}-\Omega_{2} H=0, \quad V_{2} \neq 0
$$

$d$ does not contain any stationary pole of rotation.

$$
\Omega_{1}=0, \quad V_{1}-\Omega_{2} H=0, \quad V_{2}=0
$$

Any point of $d$ is a stationary pole of rotation.
If, accordingly, $\Omega_{1}, V_{1}-\Omega_{2} H$ and $V_{2}$ are always equal to zero, about any point of $d$ a finite rotation is possible. I intend to come back to this remarkable case in a later short paper.

In the following discussion of the quantities of the second order this case $\Omega_{1}=\mathrm{o}$ is again excluded.
§ 8. The quantities of the second order.
If we start from a given original position, for a given $\frac{d \theta}{d \tau}$ the tangent to the path in $\Sigma_{1}$ of any point of $\Sigma_{2}$ is defined (and inversely); the quantities of the second order, e.g. the radius of curvature of any point in its path, are not defined before also $\frac{d^{2} \theta}{d \tau^{2}}$ is given.

We shall now put

$$
\frac{d \theta}{d \tau}=\lambda, \quad \frac{d^{2} \theta}{d \tau^{2}}=\lambda^{\prime}
$$

and we shall only consider the system of infinitesimal displacements where $\lambda$ is kept constant and $\lambda^{\prime}$ is variable. In other words, we choose movements from [ $\mathfrak{M}^{2}$ ] with a fixed pole of rotation where to any point a definite tangent to its path is already assigned.

For the present we assume that the movement of $\Sigma_{2}$ relative to $\Sigma_{1}$ is given by the functions $\xi, \eta, \omega$ that depend on one parameter $t$ (the
time) and that the movement of $\Sigma_{1}$ relative to $O X Y$ is given by $\xi^{(1)}, \eta^{(1)}$, $\omega^{(1)}$ that also depend on $t$ only. For the components of the velocity- and acceleration relative to $\Sigma_{1}$ of a point $(x, y)$ of $\Sigma_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{x}=\xi-\omega y, \quad v_{y}=\eta+\omega x . \\
& J_{x}=\frac{d v_{x}}{d t}+\omega^{(1)} v_{y}=\frac{d \xi}{d t}+\omega^{(1)} \eta-\left(\eta^{(1)}+\eta\right) \omega-\frac{d \omega}{d t} y-\omega^{2} x, \\
& J_{y}=\frac{d v_{x}}{d t}-\omega^{(1)} v_{x}=\frac{d \eta}{d t}-\omega^{(1)} \xi+\left(\xi^{(1)}+\xi\right) \omega+\frac{d \omega}{d t} x-\omega^{2} y
\end{aligned}
$$

The radius of curvature of a point $(x, y)$ of $\Sigma_{2}$ in its path in $\Sigma_{1}$ is given by

$$
\frac{1}{R^{2}}=\frac{\left(V_{x} J_{y}-J_{x} V_{y}\right)^{2}}{V^{6}}
$$

Proof. If we use fixed axes we have

$$
\frac{1}{R^{2}}=\frac{\left(x^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime} x^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}}{\left(x^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime 2}\right)^{3}}
$$

i.e. $\frac{1}{R^{2}}$ is equal to the square of the vector product of the velocity and the acceleration divided by the sixth power of the velocity. That is exactly what the above mentioned formula expresses.

In the same way it appears that the center of curvature in the path of $M(x, y)$ is the point

$$
\mu\left(x-\frac{V_{y}}{V_{x} J_{y}-V_{y} J_{x}} V^{2}, \quad y+\frac{V_{x}}{V_{x} J_{y}-V_{y} J_{x}} V^{2}\right)
$$

We now pass on to the case in question by the following substitutions (cf. the formulas (I) and (II), § 5)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\xi=0, \quad \eta=2 H, \quad \omega=2 \lambda \\
\xi^{(1)}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta}+\lambda \frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial \tau}, \quad \eta^{(1)}=-H+\lambda V_{2}, \quad \omega^{(1)}=1-\lambda \\
\frac{d}{d t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}
\end{gathered}
$$

These entirely determine the elements of the second order.
§9. As a first example we shall determine the system of inflexional circles for these displacements.

First we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{x}=-2 \lambda y ; \quad V_{y}=2 H+2 \lambda x \\
& J_{x}=2 \dot{H}-2 \lambda^{2} V_{2}-4 \lambda^{2}-2 \lambda^{\prime} y \\
& J_{y}=2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial \tau}+4 \lambda \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta}+2 \lambda^{2} \frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial \tau}-4 \lambda^{2} y+2 \lambda^{\prime} x
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation of the inflexional circle, i.e. the locus of the points where the curve has an infinite radius of curvature, runs:

$$
V_{x} J_{y}-V_{y} J_{x}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \lambda^{3}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) & -\left(\lambda H+2 \lambda^{2} H-\lambda^{3} V_{2}\right) x- \\
& -\left(\lambda \frac{\partial H}{\partial \tau}+2 \lambda^{2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial} \bar{\theta}+\lambda^{3} \frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial \tau}-\lambda^{\prime} H\right) y-H\left(H-\lambda^{2} V_{2}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\lambda$ is a constant, $\lambda^{\prime}$ a variable parameter, this gives:
For all displacements about the same pole of rotation the inflexional circles form a pencil; the base points lie on $d$; one of them is the pole of rotation, the other a point $H\left(\frac{H-\lambda^{2} V_{2}}{\lambda^{2}}, 0\right)$. In all these displacements $H$ describes a point of inflexion.

We found above: if $\mu(\xi, \eta)$ is the center of curvature of $M(x, y)$ we have

$$
\xi=x-\frac{V_{y}}{V_{x} J_{y}-} \bar{J}_{x} V_{y} V^{2}, \quad \eta=y+\frac{V_{x}}{V_{x} J_{y}-V_{y} J_{x}} V^{2} .
$$

We substitute the values indicated for $V_{x}, V_{y}, J_{x}$ and $J_{y}$ but at the same time, in order to simplify the formulas, we choose the pole $\left(-\frac{H}{\lambda}, 0\right)$ of the movement as new origin, i.e. we put

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{x}=x+\frac{H}{\lambda}, \quad y=\bar{y} \\
\bar{\xi}=\xi-\frac{H}{\lambda}=\bar{x}-\frac{V_{y}}{V_{x} J_{y}-V_{y} J_{x}} V^{2}, \quad \bar{\eta}=\eta .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\xi}=\frac{\left(2 B \bar{x}+2 C \bar{y}-\lambda^{\prime} \overline{H y}\right) \bar{x}}{8 \lambda^{3}\left(\bar{x}^{2}+\bar{y}^{2}\right)+2 B \bar{x}+2 C \bar{y}-\lambda^{\prime} H \bar{y}} \\
& \bar{\eta}=\frac{\left(2 B \bar{x}+2 C \bar{y}-\lambda^{\prime} H \bar{y}\right) \bar{y}}{8 \lambda^{3}\left(x^{2}+\bar{y}^{2}\right)+2 B \bar{x}+2 C \bar{y}-\lambda^{\prime} H \bar{y}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $B$ and $C$ are functions of $\lambda, \tau$ and $\theta$, i.e. in all the considered elementary displacements - where the initial position and the value $\lambda=\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau}$ have been chosen - they have the same values; $\lambda^{\prime}$ is a parameter that assumes any value.

If for the moment we choose also $\lambda^{\prime}$ constant, any point $M$ has a definite center of curvature $\mu$; in this case the aforesaid formulas express a well known quadratic correspondence between $M$ and $\mu$; it is especially interesting that in the inverse movement $M$ is the center of curvature
of $\mu$. Analytically this means that $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ are expressed in $\bar{\xi}$ and $\bar{\eta}$ through similar formulas.

The correspondence is quadratically involutory; to any line $l$ described by $M$ (or $\mu$ ), there corresponds a conic as locus of $\mu$ (or $M$ ). The latter is sometimes called the conic of Rivals, associated to $l$.

If now again we consider $\lambda^{\prime}$ as a parameter, it is at once evident ${ }^{1}$ ) that:

The conics of Rivals that in the different displacements about the same pole of rotation correspond to the same line, form a pencil. The points of $d$ - and these only - always have the same center of curvature.
${ }^{1}$ ) H. J. E. Beth, I.c.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1)}$ The earlier communication (these Proceedings, Vol. 29, p. 652) gives a list of literature. I received for perusal an article on this subject of Dr. H. J. E. BETH, which will appear in the next number of the Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde (2e reeks, deel XV, vierde stuk). The method of Dr. Beth, however, is entirely different from mine. Dr. Beth has always treated also the non holonomous cases; I restrict myself in this paper to the holonomous cases, although an extension would not be difficult.
    ${ }^{2}$ ) Except in a few chapters of:
    R. Bricard. Leçons de Cinématique. Tome I. Paris, Gauthier-Villars; 1926.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) Cf. BETH l.c. who derives a complete classification of the movements with two parameters, including the non-holonomous ones, from the projective relations between $P, Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$.

