Chemistry. — The osmotic vapour-pressure, I. By F. A. H. SCHREINE-MAKERS.

(Communicated at the meeting of March 23, 1929).

In the osmotic system

we find on the left side of the membrane a liquid L and on the right side pure water; on both sides the pressure is P (we imagine e.g. P=1 atmosphere). When this membrane is permeable for water only, the water will diffuse in the direction of the arrow, viz. towards the left.

We now increase the pressure of the liquid L till no more W can diffuse, keeping the temperature constant; then (1) passes into the osmotic equilibrium:

The difference in pressure $P_1 - P$ is the O. P. (Osmotic Pressure); in order to distinguish this from another O. P., which we shall discuss later on, we shall call $P_1 - P$ the increase of O. P.

With this increase of pressure the liquid L can remain stable; it can also become metastable, however, or even labil. If e. g. it deposits the solid substance F, then instead of (1^a) arises the osmotic equilibrium

$$(L_1+F)P_2 \mid (water)P \longrightarrow W \dots (1^b)$$

in which of course L_1 has an other composition than L; consequently the pressure P_2 and, therefore, also the increase of O. P. differs in (1^b) from that in (1^a) .

We can also imagine that L when pressure is increased should de-mix into two liquids; we then get:

$$(L'+L'')P_3 \mid (water)P \longrightarrow W$$
 . . . (1°)

with an other increase of O. P. than in (1^a) and (1^b) .

We can also prevent the diffusion of the water in (1) by lowering the pressure on the right side of the membrane; we then get:

(L)
$$P \mid (water l.v.) \pi \longrightarrow W \dots \dots (1d)$$

When the pressure π is greater than the vapour-tension of the water, this will remain fluid; if, however, π is smaller, we get water-vapour. So it depends on the pressure π whether the water on the right side of the membrane will be present either as a liquid or as vapour; this has been indicated in (1^d) by the letters l and v. Now we shall call the difference in pressure $P-\pi$ the decrease of O.P.

If we now compare the decrease of O. P. with the increase of O. P. in system (1), it appears:

the O.P.-decrease depends only on the properties the liquid L has under the pressure P;

the O. P.-increase, however, depends on the properties this liquid gets, when pressure is increased; in this case it may or may not deposit a solid substance or de-mix into two liquids, etc.

In the osmotic system

$$(L+F) P \mid (water) P \leftarrow W \dots (2)$$

is a liquid saturated with solid F on the left side of the membrane. We now increase the pressure on the left side of the membrane till an osmotic equilibrium is reached. When the solubility of F increases with increase of pressure, we may distinguish two cases.

a. From (2) arises the osmotic equilibrium

$$(L_1+F)P_1 \mid (water)P \longrightarrow W$$
 . . . $(2a)$

in which L_1 has another composition than L.

b. The solid substance F disappears entirely with the increase of P; then we get the osmotic equilibrium:

$$(L_2) P_2 \mid (water) P \qquad \longrightarrow W \qquad . \qquad . \qquad . \qquad (2b)$$

in which L_2 has an other composition than L or L_1 . This system (2^b) will occur when too little solid F is present in (2) or when by the increase of P the melting-point of F is lowered even below the temperature of the system.

We now imagine in (2) n quant. of L and m quant. of F; the composition of L_1 and the O.P.-increase P_1-P then are independent of n:m and, therefore, completely determined. The composition of L_2 , however, does depend of n:m; so the O.P.-increase P_2-P will change with n:m and consequently can have an infinite number of values.

We now lower the pressure on the right side of the membrane in (2) till no more W diffuses; we then get the osmotic equilibrium:

$$(L+F)P \mid (water \ l.v.) \pi \longrightarrow W \quad . \quad . \quad (2c)$$

with the O. P.-decrease $P-\pi$. The system L+F is still present here unchanged, whereas in (2^a) and (2^b) it has passed into an other. From this it appears:

the O. P.-decrease only depends on the properties the phases L and F have under the pressure P; this O. P.-decrease is independent of n:m and completely determined.

the O.P.-increase, however, depends on the phases, formed under increase of pressure; this O.P.-increase is dependent on n:m and can have an infinite number of values.

Corresponding considerations obtain also when we put some arbitrary

system or other e.g. L+F+F' or L+L', etc. on the left side of the membrane. So, if we wish to deduce a property of a liquid or of a system with the aid of the O.P., the O.P.-decrease is more useful in this respect than the O.P.-increase, which not only changes the system, but can also have an infinite number of values. For this reason we shall in our next considerations use the O.P.-decrease or a pressure, connected with it.

At first we take an equilibrium

$$(L+W \ vapour) P_0 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (3)$$

in which there is no membrane between the liquid and the W-vapour. If we keep the temperature and the composition of the liquid constant, this system will exist only under a definite pressure P_0 ; this is the W.V.P. (Water-Vapour-Pressure) of the liquid. We now imagine this system under a piston; if we slightly increase the pressure on this piston, so that it becomes a little higher than P_0 , some W-vapour will condense; if we slightly decrease this pressure, some water evaporates.

We have something like this in the osmotic equilibrium:

in which ab is a membrane, permeable for water only. On its left side is a liquid under the pressure P and on its right side a space abcd in which W-vapour under the pressure π .

We now imagine that cd is a movable piston; if we slightly increase the pressure on this piston, W-vapour will pass through the membrane towards the liquid; we may say that W-vapour condenses in L through the membrane. If we decrease the pressure on the piston a little, water will evaporate through the membrane. So we have a "condensation" and "evaporation" of water through a membrane; for this reason we shall call π : the vapourtension of the liquid through the membrane or the O.W.V.P. (Osmotic-Water-Vapour-Pressure) of the liquid.

In system (3) liquid and vapour can only exist under a definite pressure P_0 , in (4), however, the liquid can exist under an infinite number of pressures P and with every pressure P goes a definite pressure π of the vapour. So we may say:

the W.V.P. of a liquid has a definite value P_0 ; the O.W.V.P., however, has an infinite number of values π ; we shall see that $\pi > P_0$.

We now take the osmotic equilibria

$$(L) P \mid (W \ vapour) \pi \rangle (L') P \mid (W \ vapour) \pi' \rangle \qquad (5)$$

In the first the liquid L has an $O.W.~V.~P.=\pi$ and in the second the liquid L' an $O.W.~V.~P.=\pi'$. When L and L' are different, π and π' will generally be different too; when, however, L and L' have the same O.W.~A., then $\pi=\pi'$. In order to prove the last statement we form the new osmotic system:

in which also the horizontal dash represents a membrane M(W). Now we imagine each of the two vapour-phases in a closed space. If n > n', then W-vapour would diffuse from the higher towards the lower vapour-space; as the pressure in the higher space will consequently decrease, water will evaporate from L towards the right; as, however, the pressure increases in the lower vapour-space, W-vapour will condense in L'. As, therefore, the O.W.A. of L increases and that of L' decreases, water will now also diffuse from L' towards L.

If we now regulate the surface of the membranes in such a way that the same quantity of water runs through all membranes in the same time, then neither the compositions of the liquids nor the pressures π and π' will change; we then get an eternal circular current of water and water-vapour.

When $\pi < \pi'$, this circular current would run in the opposite direction. As we assume that these circular currents are not possible, it follows $\pi = \pi'$; so we find:

all liquids with the same O.W.A. have also the same O.W.V.P. (consequently they have also the same O.P.-decrease; their O.P.-increase will generally be different).

As all liquids of the isotonic W-curve ab (fig. 1 Gen. VI) have the same O.W.A., they consequently will have the same O.W.V.P. too; the same obtains for the liquids of the curve a_1b_1 and for those of a_2b_2 . As we shall see further on, however, the liquids of a_1b_1 have a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.P. than those of ab and these again a greater O.W.V.

Now we consider the osmotic equilibrium:

(L)
$$P \mid (W \ vapour) \pi \ldots \ldots (7)$$

We represent the composition of the liquid by

$$x \mod X + y \mod Y + (1 - x - y) \mod W$$
 . . . (8)

we shall call the thermodynamical potential of one quantity of liquid and vapour ζ and Z.

Now we imagine that dn quantities of vapour condense through the membrane in the liquid; we now find (comp. e. g. Gen. VI) that (7) is an osmotic equilibrium, when

$$\left(\zeta - x \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y}\right)_{p} = Z_{\pi} (9)$$

has been satisfied. The O.W.A. of a liquid viz. ξ_w is, as we have seen in Gen. VI, determined by:

$$\xi_w = -\zeta + x \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (10)$$

Consequently we may write instead of (9):

So the O.W.V.P. of the liquid, namely π is determined by its O.W.A. From this follows, what we have already found above in an other way: all liquids with the same O.W.A. also have the same O.W.V.P. From (11) follows:

this means: the O.W.V.P. of a liquid decreases (increases) when its O.W.A. increases (decreases).

This is indeed clear; the water of the liquid in (7) namely tries to diffuse through the membrane towards the outside; it is prevented to do this however, by the O.W.A. of the liquid itself and by the pressure π of the vapour. So the greater one influence is, the smaller may be the other.

From the above follows among other things:

all liquids of an isotonic W-curve have the same O.W.V.P.; this will be greater, the closer this curve is situated to point W (fig. 1 Gen. VI).

Previously we have seen among other things:

the water diffuses through a membrane M(W) towards that side, where the O.W.A. is greatest.

Therefore, we may also say now:

the water diffuses through a membrane M(W) towards that side where the O.W.V.P. is smallest.

If we keep the composition of the liquid in (7) constant, and change the pressure, it follows from (9) or (11):

in which, as follows from (9) or (11):

$$\triangle v = v - x \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} (14)$$

We see from this that $\triangle v \cdot dn$ is the increase of the volume of the liquid, when this absorbs dn quantities of water; this increase of volume is generally positive. V is the volume of the W-vapour under the pressure π . From (13) now follows:

with increase of pressure the O.W.V.P. of a liquid increases.

In general, however, $d\pi$ is small with respect to dP; the volume V

of a grammolecule W-vapour under a low pressure π is namely ten thousand times larger than the volume v of a grammolecule water and, therefore, also larger than $\triangle v$. From this appears:

In general the O.W.V.P. of a liquid will increase only a little when the pressure P is raised.

So the osmotic equilibrium (7) is comparatively insensible to a small change in the pressure of the liquid, but very sensible to a small change in the pressure of the vapour.

As a special case of (7) the pressure can also be the same on both sides of the membrane. In system (3) namely the liquid under a pressure P_0 is in equilibrium with vapour under the same pressure P_0 ; of course this still remains so when we put a membrane M(W) between liquid and vapour. We then get:

$$(L) P_0 \mid (W \ vapour) P_0 \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ (15)$$

in which P_0 is the W.V.P. of the liquid. Therefore, the O.W.V.P. of the liquid is now equal to its W.V.P. In connection with what we have seen above, it appears from this, that the O.W.V.P. of a liquid under a not too high pressure P, will be only very little larger than its W.V.P.

If the gas-laws obtain for the vapour, we have $\pi V = RT$; then we may write for (13):

$$RT\frac{d\pi}{\pi} = \triangle v \cdot dP \cdot \dots \cdot \dots \cdot (16)$$

Of course the change in volume $\triangle v$ is dependent on the pressure P; between wide limits of P, however, we may look upon $\triangle v$ as constant. Then follows from (16):

in which C is a constant. As, however, (17) also obtains for system (15) in which $P=P_0$ and $\pi=P_0$, we consequently also have

$$RT \log P_0 = P_0 \triangle v + C$$
 (18)

so that C has been determined. We now find:

$$\log \pi = \log P_0 + (P - P_0) \frac{\triangle v}{RT}. \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (19)$$

So if in a diagram we draw $log \pi$ and P as variables, we will get a straight line.

We now take the two osmotic equilibria:

$$(L) P \mid (W \ vapour) \ \pi \quad ; \quad (L') P' \mid (W \ vapour) \ \pi' \ . \quad . \quad (20)$$

For them obtain the equations:

As $Z_{\pi'} - Z_{\pi} = RT \log \frac{\pi'}{\pi}$ it follows:

$$(\xi_{w})_{P} - (\xi_{w}^{'})_{P'} = R T \log \frac{\pi'}{\pi} \dots \dots$$
 (22)

consequently a relation between the O.W.A. and the O.W.V.P. of two different liquids (L and L') under two different pressures (P and P').

If we take e.g. the osmotic system

then the first part of (22) represents the difference of the O.W.A. of the two liquids; therefore, the first part will determine the direction in which in (23) the water will diffuse through a membrane M(W); therefore, this direction is determined also by the second part of (22), which contains the O.W.V.P. of the two liquids. Instead of:

no water diffuses through a membrane M(W) when the two liquids have the same O.W.A.;

the water diffuses towards that side of a membrane M(W) where the O.W.A. is greatest;

we may also say now, therefore:

no water diffuses through a membrane M(W) when the two liquids have the same O.W.V.P.

the water diffuses towards that side of a membrane M(W) where the O.W.V.P. is smallest.

We now imagine the second system in (20) replaced by system (15). Then in (22) we have to put $P'=P_0$ and $\pi'=P_0$. When both liquids now have the same compositions, we can replace the first part of (22) by $(P_0-P) \triangle v$. We then get:

$$(P_0 - P) \triangle v = RT \log \frac{P_0}{\pi} \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (24)$$

which is in accordance with (19).

In order to give an other form to (9) we put:

$$\zeta = \varphi + RT \left[x \log x + y \log y + (1 - x - y) \log (1 - x - y) \right].$$
 (25)

If we now calculate $\partial \zeta : \partial x$ and $\partial \zeta : \partial y$, we see that we may write for (9):

$$\left(\varphi - x\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x} - y\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial y}\right)_{P} + RT\log(1 - x - y) = Z_{\pi} \quad . \quad . \quad (26)$$

When the quantities x and y of the substances X and Y become nought, the osmotic equilibrium (7) will pass into:

(water)
$$P \mid (W \ vapour) P_s \ldots \ldots \ldots$$
 (27)

in which on the left side of the membrane is pure water. Consequently

 P_s is the O.W.V.P. of pure water under the pressure P. For this system obtains, as follows from (26):

The index o indicates that x and y are nought; therefore, φ_0 is the thermodynamical potential of pure water. From (26) and (28) now follows:

$$\left(\varphi - x\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x} - y\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial y} - \varphi_0\right)_p + RT\log\left(1 - x - y\right) = Z_{\pi} - Z_{P_s}. \quad (29)$$

If we now take a much diluted liquid, so that x and y are very small, the term with the index P will only consist of magnitudes of the second and higher orders, which may be neglected; now (29) passes into:

$$RT \log (1 - x - y) = RT \log \frac{\pi}{P_s}$$
 (30)

From this follows:

$$P_s - \pi = (x + y) P_s$$
 (31)

in which the first part represents the decrease of the O.W.V.P.; from this appears:

the O.W.V.P. of the water (viz. P_s) is lowered by the addition of other substances; at a first approximation this decrease is proportionate to the added quantities x + y of the new substances.

With the aid of the O.P.-increase we can deduce several properties; of course we may also do this by the help of the O.W.V.P. or the O.P.-decrease, consequent upon this. We shall not do so, however; we namely can find all these properties easily and accurately with the aid of the thermodynamical potential 1), so that a deduction with the aid of the O.P.-increase or -decrease themselves, following first from thermodynamical considerations, is an unnecessary round about way. We shall use this O.W.V.P. however, which is bound up with the O.W.A., when in subsequent communications we shall consider the diffusion through membranes more in detail.

(To be continued). Lab. of Inorg. Chemistry.

Leiden.

Lehrbuch der mathematischen Chemie 71 (1901).

These Proceedings 30 (1905); Chem. Weekbl. 1, 143, 283 (1905).

849 (1906); Sechs Vorträge (Vieweg 1906).

These Proceedings 142. (1915).

¹⁾ For the first thermodynamical deduction of the exact formula of the O.P.-increase, its meaning (diffusion-counter-pressure), etc. comp.

J. J. VAN LAAR:

Z. f. Phys. Chem. 15. 463. (1894).

Z. f. Phys. Chem. 64 629. (1908), 82 223. (1913).