

Mathematics. — *On the extension of continuous functions.* By J. DE GROOT. (Communicated by Prof. J. G. VAN DER CORPUT.)

(Communicated at the meeting of September 26, 1942.)

Well-known and important is the following theorem ¹⁾: If R is a normal space and F is a (bounded) continuous real function, defined in all points of a closed subset $A \subset R$, then it is possible to find a (bounded) continuous function F' , defined in entire R , which is identical with F in the points of A .

This result may shortly be worded as follows: Any F , defined on a closed set $A \subset R$, may continuously be extended on entire R . The closedness of A is therefore in any case a sufficient condition for the possibility of continuous extension.

As far as I know, it has up till now not yet been investigated, how far this condition is also necessary. Still, this question may in the main features be solved in a simple and elementary way.

We shall prove, that for the special case of metric spaces the closedness of A is also a necessary condition for the possibility of continuous extension of any F ; that, on the other hand, this condition is not necessary for normal spaces.

So we come to the following

Theorem. *Let A be any subset of a metric space M . Then and only then any (bounded) continuous real function F , defined on A , may be extended to a (bounded) continuous real function F' , defined on entire M , if A is a (in M) closed set.*

Contention. *It is possible to construct a normal space N and a not-closed subset A of N , so that any bounded continuous real function F may be continuously extended to a continuous function F' , defined on entire N .*

Problem. The question, if the condition, which we just mentioned, is or is not necessary in completely normal (or in other special, but not-metric normal) spaces, has not yet been solved.

Proof of the theorem ²⁾.

The condition is sufficient: known.

The condition is necessary. Let m be a clusterpoint of A in M , not belonging to A . Now we consider on the set $M-m$ a continuous real function F by setting for every point $p \subset M-m$.

$$F(p) = f(\varrho_p)$$

¹⁾ Compare P. ALEXANDROFF, H. HOPF, *Topologie I*, Berlin (1935), p. 73—78 (concerning the terminology we shall follow this book). H. HAHN, *Reelle Funktionen*, Leipzig (1932), p. 255 (here we only find a proof of the theorem for metric spaces). C. CARATHÉODORY, *Reelle Funktionen I*, Leipzig and Berlin (1939), p. 155 (a proof of the theorem only for an n -dimensional space R_n). For the case of an R_n this theorem has already been proved in the first edition of the last mentioned book (1918), p. 617 (although by means of single integrals).

²⁾ A good deal of the definitive wording of this proof I owe to a communication of Dr. J. RIDDER.

whereby ϱ_p denotes the distance from p to m , while $f(\varrho)$ is a, for a positive ϱ , continuous (bounded) function, for which

$$\lim_{\varrho_a \rightarrow 0} f(\varrho_a)$$

has no (finite) limit (the ϱ_a are the distances from the points a of A to m). Such a continuous function F , defined i.a. on A , may obviously not be extended to a continuous function, which is defined on entire M .

Now there yet remains the proof of the existence of such functions F . This may, however, very simply be proved in many different ways. If we don't require the boundedness of F , then for example $F(p) = \frac{1}{\varrho_p}$ satisfies our conditions. Suppose secondly F is bounded. It is always possible to find a sequence of points $\{a_i\}$ of A , converging to m , such that $\varrho_{a_k} < \varrho_{a_l}$ for $k > l$. We then define for instance a bounded continuous function $f(\varrho_p)$, which is exactly 1 for $\varrho_{a_1}, \varrho_{a_3}, \varrho_{a_5}$ etc., and exactly 0 for $\varrho_{a_2}, \varrho_{a_4}, \varrho_{a_6}$ etc. $F(p) = f(\varrho_p)$ then gives the F we asked for.

Proof of the contention. We might construct a space N and a subset A , which satisfies the contention. We attain our end more quickly however by using the following well-known theorem ¹⁾:

Given a completely regular space ²⁾ R , there exists a bicomact HAUSDORFF space $\beta(R)$, such that: 1° R is dense in $\beta(R)$, 2° any bounded continuous function φ , defined on R , may be extended to a continuous function f , defined on $\beta(R)$.

Because every bicomact HAUSDORFF space is a normal space, we attain our end by setting $N = \beta(R)$ and $A = R$.

¹⁾ Compare A. TYCHONOFF, Math. Ann. **102**, 554 a.f. (1930) and E. ČECH, Ann. of Math. II, **38**, 823 a.f. (1937).

²⁾ A regular space is called completely regular, if to every point a and to every closed subset A , which does not contain a , there exists a continuous function $f(x)$, defined in the whole space, such that: $f(a) = 0$ and $f(A) = 1$.