Mathematics. - A theorem concerning analytic continuation. By J. DE Groot. (Communicated by Prof. J. G. van der Corput.)
(Communicated at the meeting of January 26, 1946.)

1. Two one-valued analytic functions $f_{1}(z)$ and $f_{2}(z)$, originally defined in the respective regions $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, are identical in $G_{1}+G_{2}$ if they take the same values in the points of an arbitrarily small region belonging both to $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$; and, what is more, they are identical even if they take the same values in infinitely many points which have a limitpoint belonging both to $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. In this case we say that the original $f_{1}(z)$, defined only in $G_{1}$, may be continued analytically in the region $G_{2}$.

Conversely we may ask ourselves the following question: in the complex $Z$-plane be given infinitely many points $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, \ldots$ converging to the point $z^{\prime}$. Each point $z_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ is given a certain complex "function-value" $w_{i}$. To which extent is it possible to find a one-valued analytic function $t(z)$, defined in a certain region in the $Z$-plane, which exactly in the points $z_{i}$ takes the given values $w_{i}$; in other words, to which extent is it possible to continue the function defined in $z_{i}$ to a certain region? In the following we shall - after giving the problem a more accurate form - give a necessary and sufficient condition for analytic continuation (theorem I). For part of the proof we need Hans Freudenthal's mean value theorem of the theory of complex functions, which is generalized by us for higher difference-quotients (theorems II and III).
2. In the problem of continuity mentioned in 1 . one must make a distinction between the two following principally different cases: $1^{\circ}$. the region where one wishes the function to be continued does not necessarily contain the limit-point $z^{\prime} ; 2^{\circ}$. the region in question does contain $z^{\prime}$. Case $1^{\circ}$. immediately leads to the well-known problem of composing an integral function which in the points $z_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$, that nowhere in the finite have a limit-point, takes the prescribed value $w_{i}$ (comp. K. Knopp, Funktionentheorie (Sammlung Göschen) II, 4th ed., p. 38 problem 3, p. 45 problem 4). The following function $f(z)$ (composed by J. van IJzeren). satisfies this demand:

$$
f(z)=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{z}{z_{i}}\right) e^{\frac{z}{z_{i}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{z_{i}}\right)^{2}+\ldots \frac{1}{k_{i}}\left(\frac{z}{z_{i}}\right)^{k_{i}}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{w_{i}}{p_{i}\left(1-\frac{z}{z_{i}}\right)}-g_{i}(z)\right)
$$

Here $\Pi$ is a Weierstrasz-product, being 0 in the points $z_{i} ; p_{i}$ is the value of this infinite product if it is divided by $\left(1-\frac{z}{z_{i}}\right)$, while $g_{i}(z)$ are suitably chosen integral rational functions securing convergence (in case a $z_{j}=0$ the shape of $f(z)$ must be slightly altered). $f(z)$ is an obviously
integral function, which in the points $z_{i}$ takes the values $w_{i}$. By submitting the complex plane and thereby the points $z_{i}$ and the variable $z$ to the following linear transformation

$$
z_{i}=\frac{1}{z_{i}^{\prime}-z^{\prime}}, \quad z=\frac{1}{\bar{z}-z^{\prime}}
$$

where the sequence of points $z_{i}^{\prime}$ converges to $z^{\prime}$, and by substituting these values in $f(z)$ one finds an analytic function $f(\bar{z})$ which is everywhere one-valuedly analytic except perhaps in the point $z^{\prime}$, and which in the given points $z_{i}^{\prime}$ takes the given values $w_{i}$. Thus $f(\bar{z})$ offers a solution to the problem put in case $1^{\circ}$.
We may further confine ourselves to case $2^{\circ}$., where analytic continuation must be possible in a region containing the limit-point $z^{\prime}$ of the pointsequence $z_{i}$. If such a function exists it is (according to 1.) uniquely defined (contrary to the problem put in $1^{\circ}$., where several continuationfunctions $f(\bar{z})$ are possible). The required function must take the given values $w_{i}$ in the points $z_{i}$. It is, however, asking too much when we demand that the region in which analytic continuation is possible contains besides $z^{\prime}$ also all points $z_{i}$, as in this case - because of the uniqueness of the function - the required continuation would be impossible by every change, however small, of but one of the values $w_{i}$. So we finally ask ourselves the following question: on which conditions is it possible to find an analytic function, defined in a region containing the limit-point $z^{\prime}$ of the sequence $z_{i}$ (and so nearly all points $z_{i}$ ), which in almost all points $z_{i}$ takes prescribed values $w_{i}$ ?
3. It must be possible to expand the required continued function $f(z)$ into a power-series in $z^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{n!}\left(z-z^{\prime}\right)^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus necessarily all derivates of $f(z)$ in $z^{\prime}$ exist. The values $w_{i}$ in $z_{i}$ therefore must be chosen such that the limit of the $n$th difference-quotient exists for every natural number $n$ if the $(n+1)$ points $z_{i_{0}}, z_{i_{1}}, \ldots, z_{i_{n}}$ on which this difference-quotient is defined, tend to $z^{\prime}$. Further we must demand that these limits do not tend too quickly to the infinite for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that in such a way that the convergence-radius of (1) is $>0$. At first sight one might expect these two conditions to be sufficient for the required analytic continuation. We shall, however, show by an example that this is not the case. We define $f(x)$ with real $x$ by

$$
f(x)=\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{x^{2}}} \quad \text { for } x \neq 0 ; f(0)=0
$$

Apparently all derivates in 0 exist and have the value 0 . Now, if on the real axis we take a sequence of points converging to 0 and give these points the corresponding function-values $f(x)$, the above-mentioned con-
ditions are satisfied, i.e., when one runs through the sequence of points the $n$th difference-quotient tends to the fixed limit 0 for every $n$, and these limits, i.e., the $n$th derivates in 0 , all are 0 , so they all certainly are (uniformly) bounded. Yet analytic continuation to a neighbourhood of the origin is impossible as $e^{-\frac{1}{z^{2}}}$ is not analytic in the origin.

Now it is possible by sharpening the above-mentioned conditions to find a sufficient condition, namely by demanding as well that the $n$th differencequotients "tend uniformly in $n$ to their limits". We prefer, however, to derive a sufficient condition (which will prove to be necessary as well), which moreover, as we may see immediately, is a direct result of the firstmentioned sufficient condition.
4. The $n$th difference-quotient of $f(z)$ may, as is generally known, be defined like follows:

$$
\left[z_{1}\right](f)=f\left(z_{1}\right) \quad \text { and }\left[z_{1} z_{2}\right](f)=\frac{\left[z_{1}\right](f)-\left[z_{2}\right](f)}{z_{1}-z_{2}}
$$

are the 0 th and first difference-quotients of $f(z)$ in $z_{1}$, and $z_{1}$ and $\dot{z}_{2}$ respectively. By induction one defines the $n$th difference-quotient by

$$
\left[z_{1} z_{2} \ldots z_{n} z_{n+1}\right](f)=n \cdot \frac{\left[z_{1} z_{3} \ldots z_{n+1}\right](f)-\left[z_{2} z_{3} \ldots z_{n+1}\right](f)}{z_{1}-z_{2}}
$$

For shortness we introduce the following notation:

$$
\left[z_{i} z_{i+1} \ldots z_{i+n}\right](f)=\triangle_{i}^{n} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots ; i=1,2, \ldots)
$$

Our function $f(z)$ now has already been defined in the points $z_{j}$; for $f\left(z_{j}\right)=w_{j}$. Thus we may compose the difference-quotients $\Delta_{j}^{n}$ defined on a number of points $z_{j}$.

We now demand that there may be found a sufficiently great index $i$ (which we may fix from then onwards), a positive number $r$ and a natural number $n_{0}$ such that for every natural number $n \geqq n_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\triangle_{i}^{n}\right| \leqq n!r^{n} \quad\left(n=n_{0}, n_{0}+1, \ldots\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now prove
Theorem I. If the values $w_{j}=f\left(z_{j}\right)$ satisfy condition (2) it is possible to find a neighbourhood of the limit-point $z^{\prime}$ where $f$ may be continued analytically; i.e., it is possible to find a uniquely defined analytic function $f(z)$ (mentioned in (3)), which in almost all points $z_{j}$ takes the given $\tau$ alues $w_{j}$. Conversely, if $f(z)$ is a one-valued analytic function in a neighbourhood of point $z^{\prime}$ and if $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots$ is a sequence of points converging to $z^{\prime}$, then condition (2) holds true for every sequence of this kind. Therefore condition (2) is a necessary and sufficient condition for the required analytic continuation.
5. Condition (2) is sufficient for the required analytic continuation.

We contend that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=w_{i}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\triangle_{i}^{n}}{n!} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(z-z_{i+j}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the required analytic function in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $z^{\prime}$. In the first place it is evident that $f(z)$ has exactly the required values $w_{i+k}$ in the points $z_{i+k}(k=0,1,2, \ldots)$, as

$$
w_{i+k}=w_{i}+\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\triangle_{i}^{n}}{n!} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(z_{i+k}-z_{i+j}\right)\right) \quad(k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

according to the interpolation-formula of Newton. Further the series (3) is uniformly convergent in a neighbourhood of $z^{\prime}$. For it is possible to find an $m \geqq n_{0}$ and a neighbourhood of $z^{\prime}$ such that for all points $z$ of that neighbourhood and for all points $z_{i+m+l}(l=1,2, \ldots)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z-z_{i+m+l}\right|<\delta \quad(\delta \text { arbitrarily small, }>0) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The absolute value of (3) then is smaller than or equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|w_{i}+\sum_{n=1}^{m+1}\left(\frac{\triangle_{i}^{n}}{n!} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{n=1}\left(z-z_{i+j}\right)\right)\right|+ & \prod_{s=0}^{m}\left|z-z_{i+s}\right| \\
& \cdot\left|\sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\triangle_{i}^{n}}{n!} \cdot \prod_{j=m+1}^{n+1}\left(z-z_{i+j}\right)\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, in connection with (2) and (4).
$\left|\sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\triangle_{i}^{n}}{n!} \cdot \prod_{j=m+1}^{n-1}\left(z-z_{i+j}\right)\right)\right| \leqq \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty} t^{n}, \delta^{n-m-1}=\frac{1}{\delta^{m+1}} \cdot \sum_{n=m+2}^{\infty}(r . \delta)^{n}$.
We now choose $\delta<1 / r$, from which follows that the last series is convergent and so the given series (3) is uniformly convergent in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $z^{\prime}$. As all terms of the series (3) are analytic functions, $f(z)$ is (according to a well-known theorem of Weierstrasz) an analytic function in that neighbourhood of $z^{\prime}$.
6. For the second part of the proof of theorem I the mean value theorem of the theory of complex functions is of importance. This theorem may be formulated as follows:

Theorem II (Hans Freudenthal). $f(z)$ be defined and analytic in a convex region G. Consider all values of the derivate $f^{\prime}(z)$ and the convex closure $G^{\prime}$ of the corresponding points. We now contend that all differencequotients $\frac{f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{2}\right)}{z_{1}-z_{2}}$ (where $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ belong to $G$ ) belong to $G^{\prime}$ ).

To our purpose we have to generalize this theorem for higher differencequotients. We shall prove

[^0]Theorem III. $f(z)$ be defined and analytic in a convex region $G$. Consider all values of the $n$th derivate $f^{(n)}(z)$ on $G(n$ is an arbitrarily chosen but fixed natural number) and the convex closure $G^{(n)}$ of the corresponding points. We now contend that all nth difference-quotients $\left[z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{n}\right](f)$, where $z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ are arbitrary points belonging to $G$, belong to $\left.G^{(n)}{ }^{2}\right)^{3}$ ).

Proof. We start with two remarks:
$1^{\circ}$. B e $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}(n+1)$ arbitrary complex numbers, and so as well points situated in the complex plane (for shortness we shall often identify the point of the complex plane with the corresponding complex number), then point $v$ is situated within the convex closure of $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ only if

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
v=\lambda_{0} v_{0}+\lambda_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{n} v_{n}  \tag{5}\\
\lambda_{i} \text { real with } 0 \leqq \lambda_{i} \leqq 1 \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots n \text {; and } \\
\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{n}=1
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

$2^{\circ}$. We may, as known, write the $n$th difference-quiotient

$$
\left[z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{n}\right](f)
$$

which in this proof is denoted by $\left[z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{n}\right]$, in the following symmetrical form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{n}\right]=\left[z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{n}\right](f)=n!\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{f\left(z_{i}\right)}{\substack{n=0 \\ j \neq i}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us first consider the case where $n=2$. We take three arbitrary points $z_{0}, z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ of $G$ (fig. 1) and consider the middles $p_{0}, p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$


Fig. 1.
of the sides of the corresponding triangle. We shall prove that the following

[^1]equation holds true for $\left[z_{0} z_{1} z_{2}\right.$ ]:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z_{0} z_{1} z_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left[p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right]+\frac{1}{4}\left[z_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right]+\frac{1}{4}\left[p_{0} z_{1} p_{2}\right]+\frac{1}{4}\left[p_{0} p_{1} z_{2}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

For the equation (7), which we must prove, we may write (according to (6)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f\left(z_{0}\right)}{\left(z_{0}-z_{1}\right)\left(z_{0}-z_{2}\right)}+ & \frac{f\left(z_{1}\right)}{\left(z_{1}-z_{0}\right)\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)}+\frac{f\left(z_{2}\right)}{\left(z_{2}-z_{0}\right)\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)}= \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left\{\frac{f\left(p_{0}\right)}{\left(p_{0}-p_{1}\right)\left(p_{0}-p_{2}\right)}+\ldots+\frac{f\left(z_{2}\right)}{\left(z_{2}-p_{0}\right)\left(z_{2}-p_{1}\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we put $z_{2}-z_{1}=\mathfrak{a}_{0}, z_{0}-z_{2}=\mathfrak{a}_{1}$ and $z_{1}-z_{0}=\mathfrak{a}_{2}$, then the coefficient of $f\left(z_{0}\right)$ on the left is $-\frac{1}{a_{2} \cdot a_{1}}$, and on the right $-\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} a_{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} a_{1}}$; so these coefficients are equal. The coefficient of $f\left(p_{0}\right)$ on the right is

$$
-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}_{2} \cdot a_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}_{1} \cdot a_{0}}-\frac{1}{a_{2} \cdot a_{0}}=-\frac{a_{0}+\mathfrak{a}_{2}}{a_{0} a_{1} \mathfrak{a}_{2}}-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{a}_{2} \cdot a_{0}}=\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0} a_{1} a_{2}}-\frac{1}{a_{0} a_{2}}=0
$$

By changing the letters for the rest of the coefficients (7) is generally proved.

Geometrically (7) may be interpreted thus, where we suppose the second difference-quotients to be points in the plane of $f^{\prime \prime}(z)$ : the differencequotient $\left[z_{0} z_{1} z_{2}\right.$ ] is situated in the centre of gravity of the four points [ $p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}$ ], $\left[z_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right],\left[p_{0} z_{1} p_{2}\right]$ and $\left[p_{0} p_{1} z_{2}\right]$ (fig. 2). Therefore [ $z_{0} z_{1} z_{2}$ ] certainly lies within the convex closure of these four points. After this we


Fig. 2.
subdivide each of the four triangles of fig. 1 in the same way into four triangles, namely by taking the middles of the sides. In each subdivided triangle there is again a relation analogical to (7), in other words the
four difference-quotients, each of which is defined by the vertices of a triangle, again group themselves "around" the corresponding differencequotient (fig. 2). Therefore $\left[z_{0} z_{1} z_{2}\right]$ certainly lies within the convex closure of the sixteen points which were formed by the second division. This process may be continued infinitely.

We shall now try to find a relation like (7) and an infinitely continued division for the $n$th difference-quotients with $n>2$. Let us first consider the case $n=3$. Though the four points $z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}$ and $z_{3}$, on which a third difference-quotient is defined, lie in the complex plane, it is better to imagine these points to be spacial, as in that way the generalisation may be


Fig. 3.
more easily realized. One divides every triangle of the tetrahedron $z_{0} z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}$ (fig. 3) in the same way as in fig. 1 and joins all thus formed points $p_{i j}$. Three of these joining lines meet in the centre of gravity $q$. One easily proves - by comparing the coefficients on both sides - that the following relation holds true:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
{\left[z_{0} z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}\right]=} & \frac{1}{2^{3}}\left[z_{0} p_{01} p_{02} p_{03}\right]+\frac{1}{2^{3}}\left[z_{1} p_{01} p_{12} p_{13}\right]+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2^{3}}\left[z_{2} p_{02} p_{12} p_{23}\right]+\frac{1}{2^{3}}\left[z_{3} p_{03} p_{13} p_{23}\right]+ \\
+ & \frac{1}{2^{4}}\left[q p_{01} p_{12} p_{13}\right]+\frac{1}{2^{4}}\left[q p_{01} p_{02} p_{12}\right]+ \\
& \cdot . . .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In the right side of this relation we find twelve terms corresponding with the twelve tetrahedra into which $z_{0} z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}$ is divided. In connection with
(5) the point $\left[z_{0} z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}\right]$ lies in the $f^{\prime \prime}(z)$-plane within the convex closure defined by the twelve difference-quotients of the right side. Each of the twelve tetrahedra is now likewise divided into twelve tetrahedra, and this process is infinitely continued. Always after the $m$ th division $\left[z_{0} z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}\right.$ ] lies within the convex closure of the $12^{m}$ corresponding difference-quotients. By induction it is now possible, though complicated, to generally determine the division of the $n$-dimensional simplex $z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{n}$, corresponding with the $n$th difference-quotient $\left[z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{n}\right]$ and the corresponding relation $\left(7^{(n)}\right)$. Because the general formulas are complicated we shall not enter further into these, but shall close this subject by the following remarks. It appears that one must distinguish between odd and even dimension. For the $n$-simplices with odd $n$ the centre of gravity always acts as point of division, while for the $n$-simpiices with even $n$ this is not the case (in case $n=2$ one had a "centre-simplex" $p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}$, for $n=4$ one finds a "centre-simplex" $q_{0} q_{1} q_{2} q_{3} q_{4}$, where the points $q_{i}$ are the centres of gravity of the five tetrahedra by which the 4 -simplex $z_{0} z_{1} z_{2} z_{3} z_{4}$ is bounded, etc.). In case $n=3$ one may also attain one's purpose by a simpler division, namely a division into eight tetrahedra, where instead of the inner eight tetrahedra of fig. 3 we have the four tetrahedra $p_{01} p_{12} p_{02} p_{13}, p_{13} p_{02} p_{12} p_{23}, p_{01} p_{02} p_{23} p_{13}$ and $p_{03} p_{02} p_{13} p_{23}$. The point $q$ now does not appear in this division. This division is, however, no longer symmetrical and I do not think it will be easy to generalize this division, although it is simpler, for a general $n$.

We denote $\left[z_{0} z_{1} \ldots z_{n}\right]$ by $\triangle_{1}^{n}$, and have stated that the corresponding $n$-simplex is then subdivided into $k_{n} n$-simplices, where we denote the $n$th difference-quotient of such an $n$-simplex by $\triangle_{1 a_{1}}^{n}\left(a_{1}=1,2, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$. An equation of the character ( $7^{\prime}$ ) holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle_{1}^{n}=\sum_{a_{1}=1}^{k_{n}} \lambda_{a_{1}} \triangle_{1 a_{1}}^{n} \quad\left(0<\lambda_{a_{1}}<1 ; \sum_{a_{1}=1}^{k_{n}} \lambda_{a_{1}}=1\right) \tag{n}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on, if no mistake is possible, we shall denote by $\Delta^{n}$ not only the $n$th difference-quotients but also the corresponding $n$-simplices.

Each of the simplices $\triangle_{1 a_{1}}^{n}$ is again subdivided in the same way into $k_{n} n$-simplices $\triangle_{1 a_{1} a_{2}}^{n}\left(a_{2}=1,2, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$, and this division is infinitely continued. Thus in general:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle_{1 a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{j}}^{n}=\sum_{a_{j+1=1}}^{k_{n}} \lambda_{a_{j+1}} . \triangle_{1 a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{j} a_{j+1}}^{n} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots) \tag{n}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the conditions

$$
0<\lambda_{a_{j+1}}<1 ; \sum_{a_{j+1=1}}^{k_{n}} \lambda_{a_{j+1}}=1
$$

The diameter of a sequence of monotonicly decreasing sets of $n$-simplices

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle_{1}^{n} \supset \triangle_{1 a_{1}}^{n} \supset \triangle_{1 a_{1} a_{2}}^{n} \supset \ldots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

apparently tends to 0 , in other words the sets of (8) have one point $d_{1 a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \ldots \text { as intersection; so the sets of (8) converge to that point. The }}$ corresponding $n$th difference-quotients then tend to the $n$th derivate $f^{(n)}\left(d_{1} a_{1} a_{2} \ldots\right)$ :

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \triangle_{1 a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{j}}^{n}=f^{(n)}\left(d_{1 a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \ldots}\right)
$$

We now consider the $f^{(n)}(z)$-plane, where the values $\Delta_{1 a_{1} a_{2}}^{n} \ldots a_{j}$ are introduced as points (comp. fig. 2). From ( $7^{(n)}$ ) it follows that point $\triangle_{1}^{n}$ lies within the convex closure of the points $\triangle_{1 a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{j}}^{n}(j=1,2, \ldots, i$; $\left.a_{j}=1,2, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$. We still have to prove that point $\triangle_{1}^{n}$ lies within the convex closure $V$ of the set of points $f^{(n)}(z)$, where $z$ runs through the convex closure of $z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$, i.e., the $n$-simplex $\triangle_{1}^{n}$. Suppose on the contrary that point $\triangle_{1}^{n}$ lay outside $V . V$ is, as the convex closure of the continuous image of a bounded closed set, bounded and closed. Between point $\triangle_{1}^{n}$ and $V$ there is a certain distance $2_{\varepsilon}$. Consider an $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood $V_{\varepsilon}$ of the set $V$. This apparently is again a convex set while point $\Delta_{1}^{n}$ is at a distance $\varepsilon$ of $V_{\varepsilon}$.

The points $\triangle_{1 a_{1}}^{n}\left(a_{1}=1,2, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ of the first division have a convex closure to which point $\Delta_{1}^{n}$ belongs according to the afore-said. Therefore there exists at least one point $\triangle_{1 b_{1}}^{n}$ lying outside $V_{\varepsilon}$. With the simplex $\triangle_{1 b_{1}}^{n}$ corresponds a division into $k_{n} n$-simplices $\triangle_{1 b_{1} a_{2}}^{n}\left(a_{2}=1,2, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$. For at least one of these points again the corresponding point $\triangle_{1 b_{1} b_{2}}^{n}$ lies outside $V_{\varepsilon}$. By infinitely continuing this process we find a sequence of points $\triangle_{1}^{n}, \triangle_{1 b_{1}}^{n}, \triangle_{1 b_{1} b_{2}}^{n}, \ldots$ such that for the corresponding $n$-simplices an equation of the character (8) holds true, in other words, this sequence of points converges to a point determining a value $f^{(n)}\left(d_{1 b_{1} b_{2} \ldots}\right)$. Here $d_{1 b_{1} b_{2} \ldots}$ apparently belongs to the convex closure of $z_{0} z_{1} z_{2} \ldots z_{n}$. But then $f^{(n)}\left(d_{\left.1 b_{1} b_{2} \ldots\right)}\right)$ bust belong to $V$, which is impossible as the points $\triangle_{1}^{n} \triangle_{1 b_{1}}^{n}, \ldots$, converge to a point of the boundary of $V_{\varepsilon}$ or outside $V_{\varepsilon}$. Thus the required contradiction is reached, by which theorem III (and then by specialization also theorem II) has been proved.
7. Following directly from the mean value theorem derived in 6 . is

Theorem IV. Be $f(z)$ defined and analytic in a convex region $G$. We consider the $n^{\text {th }}$ difference-quotient $\triangle^{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ defined on $(n+1)$ arbitrary points of G. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Delta^{n}\right| \leqq\left|f^{(n)}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z^{\prime}$ is a suitably chosen point of $G^{4}$ ). Or in other words: the upper bound of $\left|\triangle^{n}\right|$ in $G$ is smaller than or equal to the upper bound of $\left|f^{(n)}(z)\right|$ in $G$.

Proof. Point $\Delta^{n}$ in the complex plane of the points $f^{(n)}(z)$ lies, accor-

[^2]ding to theorem III, on the joining line of two points $f^{(n)}(p)$ and $f^{(n)}(q)$, where $p$ and $q$ are suitably chosen points of $G$. In the triangle determined by the points $0, f^{(n)}(p), f^{(n)}(q)$ the distance $\left|\Delta^{n}\right|$ between 0 and $\Delta^{n}$ is smaller than or equal to $\left.{ }^{5}\right)\left|f^{(n)}(p)\right|$ or $\left|f^{(n)}(q)\right|$ by which the theorem has already been proved.
8. Condition (2) mentioned in theorem I is also necessary. $f(z)$ is a given function, which certainly is one-valued and analytic in a circleregion around point $z^{\prime}$. According to an extension of a well-known inequality of Cavchy
$$
\left|f^{(n)}(z)\right| \leqq \frac{2 n!M}{\varrho^{n}}
$$

Here $z$ is an arbitrary point of a (sufficiently small) circle-region $C$ (within the given circle-region) with $z^{\prime}$ for centre, and $\varrho$ for radius; $M$ is the maximum of $|f(z)|$ on the circumference of a circle with $z^{\prime}$ as centre and radius $2 \varrho$. According to (9) now for all points of $C$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\triangle^{n}\right| \leqq\left|f^{(n)}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq \frac{2 n!M}{\varrho^{n}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $2 M \leqq 1$, then (10) is $\leqq n!r^{n}$ where $1 / \varrho=r$. If $2 M>1$, then (10) is also $\leqq n!r^{n}$, where $2 M / \varrho=r$.

Thus in both cases the required condition (2) holds true as one may take $i$ so great that all points $z_{i}, z_{i+1}, \ldots$, on which $\triangle_{i}^{n}$ is defined, belong to the circle-region $C$.

By this we have also proved the following
Theorem V. If $f(z)$ be analytic in a point $z^{\prime}$, we may write $f(z)$ besides the power-series-expansion in $z^{\prime}-b y$ an expansion of the shape

$$
f(z)=f\left(z_{1}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\triangle^{n}}{n!} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{j}\right)\right)
$$

where $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, \ldots$ is an arbitrary sequence of points converging to $z^{\prime}$; these points have to lie within a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $z^{\prime}{ }^{6}$ ). $\Delta^{n}$ is the difference-quotient $\left[\begin{array}{llll}z_{1} & z_{2} & \ldots & z_{n+1}\end{array}\right](f)$.

As this expansion is a generalization of the interpolation-formula of Newton (for infinitely many terms) one might call this the Newtonexpansion of $f(z)$ to the sequence $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots$.

[^3]
[^0]:    1) And even: this difference-quotient belongs to the convex closure of the values $f^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$, where $z^{\prime}$ runs through the segment $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$.
[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ ) And even: this difference-quotient belongs to the convex closure of the values $f^{(n)}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$, where $z^{\prime}$ runs through all values of the convex closure of the points $z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ ) By specializing the Freudenthal proof and ours for the real axis one reaches an (especially for higher derivates) fairly short proof of the (extended) mean value theorem of the common calculus.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ ) $z^{\prime}$ even is a point of the convex closure of the $(n+1)$ points. - REMARK. As $G$ is a region the $=$-mark in (9) may even be left out.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ ) When $p$ and $q$ coincide.
    $\left.{ }^{6}\right)$ For that neighbourhood we may always take the above-mentioned circle-region C. In this region the series in question is certainly converging.

