Mathematics. — A theorem about ideals in commutative rings. By F. LOONSTRA. (Communicated by Prof. L. E. J. BROUWER.)

(Communicated at the meeting of November 24, 1945.)

Let A and B be two ideals of a commutative ring O. The greatest common divisor (abbreviated g.c.d.) "in idealsense" of A and B is the ideal (A;B) generated by the set of all elements p+q, if  $p \subset A$  and  $q \subset B$ . The g.c.d. of two principal ideals (a) and (b) is to be written (a;b). When the ring O is an integral domain with a unity element, of which the elements have the property of the Factor Theorem of Algebra, each pair of elements a and b of a has a g.c.d.; that means an element a such that all common divisors of a and a are also divisors of a and conversely all divisors of a are divisors of a and a. We write the g.c.d. in elementsense in this way: a has a conversely all divisors of a are divisors of a and a and a where a is a in elementsense in this way: a is a in the following a and a in the greatest a and a in the greatest a in the greatest a and a in the greatest a in the greatest a and a in the greatest a in the greate

Let O be an integral domain with unity element, whose elements satisfy the Factor Theorem of Algebra; if we suppose a and b to be two elements of O with  $\{a;b\}=c$ , then generally the g.c.d. in idealsense of (a) and (b) is not (c); in other words, the two notions of g.c.d. generally do not correspond with each other. (B. L. VAN DER WAERDEN, Moderne Algebra I, 2nd. ed. p. 66.)

**Theorem.** In a principal idealring both notions of g.c.d. correspond with each other; conversely, if O is an integral domain with unity element, for which the basistheorem of HILBERT is satisfied and for which both notions of g.c.d. correspond with each other, O is a principal idealring.

**Proof.** The first part is simple enough: let O be a principal idealring, then the g.c.d. of (a) and (b) is an ideal (c) = (a; b), generated by an element c and so, that  $c = r \cdot a + s \cdot b$ , while  $a = g \cdot c$  and  $b = h \cdot c$ ; this means, that  $\{a; b\} = c$  and both notions of g.c.d. correspond with each other. Conversely, if in a ring O both notions of g.c.d. correspond with each other, then for each pair of elements a and b of O: (a; b) = (c). Thus for every three elements  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  and  $a_3: (a_1; a_2; a_3) = ((a_1; a_2); a_3) = (c)$ , and as this may obviously be extended to a finite set  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_r$  of  $O: (a_1; a_2; ...; a_r) = (c)$ .

On account of the supposition that O satisfies the basistheorem of HILBERT, each ideal of O has a finite basis  $(a_1; ...; a_r)$  and if moreover O is an integral domain with unity element, then O is a principal idealring.

**Observation.** It is possible to demonstrate by means of an example, if each pair of elements a and b of a ring O defines an ideal (a;b)=(c), generated by an element c, that this information does not include the fact that each ideal of O must be a principal ideal.

Therefore we consider a field K and a function w on K to an ordered valuation group  $\Gamma$ . Then we define w(a) for every element a of K; the function w is said to define a valuation of K if  $w(a \cdot b) = w(a) + w(b)$ ,  $w(a+b) \ge \min(w(a); w(b));$  to each  $a \subset \Gamma$  corresponds at least one element  $a \subset K$  with w(a) = a. The set of elements a with  $w(a) \ge 0$  we call the valuationring O of K. To each ideal A of O we attach a valuation w(A), being the lowest bound of the valuations of its elements. Furthermore we say, an ideal A of O has the symbol f or i according to whether A has elements with the value w(A) or not. An ideal of the symbol f is always a principal ideal; on the other hand an ideal of the symbol i never has a finite basis. When we study a valuationring O of a valued field K with dense valuation group  $\Gamma$ , then it is evident that the ideal B of all elements b with w(b) > 0 is an ideal of the symbol i and B does not possess a finite basis. Of each pair of ideals in O at least one is a divisor of the other. Thus, when a and b are two elements of O with w(a) > 0 and w(b) > 0, then (a) must be divisor of (b) — or conversely.

But this means that (a; b) = (a) or (b) for each pair a and b of O, while the prime-ideal B of all elements b with w(b) > 0 is not a principal ideal because B has no element with a lowest positive value. The valuation-ring O, notwithstanding the fact that O is an integral domain with unity element and satisfying for each pair of elements a and b of O the condition (a; b) = (c), cannot be a principal idealring.