

Mathematics. — *On the G-function. IV.* By C. S. MEIJER. (Communicated by Prof. J. G. VAN DER CORPUT.)

(Communicated at the meeting of April 27, 1946.)

§ 10. **Second expansion formula.**

Theorem 2. Assumptions: k, l, m, n, p and q are integers with $q \geq 1, 0 \leq l-1 \leq n \leq p \leq q$ and $0 \leq m \leq k \leq q; \dots$ (111)

the numbers a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_k fulfil the conditions (1), (99) and (100); r is an arbitrary integer which satisfies the inequality

$$r \geq \text{Max}(0, k + l - m - n) \dots \dots \dots (112)$$

Assertion:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} G_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) &= A_{k,n-l+1}^{m,n-l+1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \Omega_{k,n-l+1}^{m,n-l+1}(s) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2s-1)\pi i}) \\ &+ \sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1} e^{(m+n-k-l+2r)\pi i} a_t \Delta_{k,n-l+1}^{m,n-l+1}(t) G_{p,q}^{k,l,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r)\pi i} \| a_t). \end{aligned} \right\} (113)$$

Proof. We may distinguish three cases:

First case:

$$1 \leq l \leq n \leq p \leq q, k + l - n \leq m \leq k \leq q, r \geq 0.$$

Formula (113) can be established by induction. If $r = 0$, then (113) reduces to (102) with $\lambda = 0$. We may therefore suppose $r \geq 1$ and assume that (113) with $r - 1$ instead of r has yet been proved.

Now we have by (58)

$$\begin{aligned} G_{p,q}^{k,l,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r+2)\pi i} \| a_t) &= e^{2\pi i} a_t G_{p,q}^{k,l,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r)\pi i} \| a_t) \\ &- 2\pi i e^{\pi i} a_t G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r+1)\pi i}). \end{aligned}$$

If this is substituted on the right-hand side of (113) with $r - 1$ instead of r , the sum $\sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1}$ not only gives the corresponding sum in (113) but also

$$- 2\pi i G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r+1)\pi i}) \sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1} e^{(m+n-k-l+2r-1)\pi i} a_t \Delta_{k,n-l+1}^{m,n-l+1}(t)$$

and this expression may by means of (59) be reduced to

$$A_{k,n-l+1}^{m,n-l+1} \Omega_{k,n-l+1}^{m,n-l+1}(r-1) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r+1)\pi i}),$$

since $\bar{\Omega}_{k,n-l+1}^{m,n-l+1}(k + l - m - n - r) = 0$, because of $k + l - m - n - r \leq -1$.

It follows therefore that the sum $\sum_{s=0}^{r-2}$ on the right-hand side of (113) with $r-1$ instead of r reduces to the sum $\sum_{s=0}^{r-1}$ in (113). Thus the first case is finished.

Second case:

$$1 \leq l \leq n \leq p \leq q, 0 \leq m \leq k + l - n, r \geq k + l - m - n.$$

This case may also be proved by induction. Owing to the first case formula (113) is true if $m = k + l - n$. We may therefore suppose $0 \leq m \leq k + l - n - 1$ and assume that (113) with $m + 1$ instead of m has yet been proved.

Now it follows from (113) with $m + 1$ instead of m , $z e^{-\pi i}$ instead of z , $r - 1$ instead of r and s replaced by $s - 1$

$$e^{\pi i b_{m+1}} G_{p,q}^{m+1,n}(z e^{-\pi i}) = e^{\pi i b_{m+1}} A^{m+1,n-l+1} \sum_{s=1}^{r-1} \Omega^{m+1,n-l+1}(s-1) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2s-1)\pi i}) \\ + \sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1} e^{(m+n-k-l+2r)\pi i a_t} e^{\pi i(b_{m+1}-a_t)} \Delta^{m+1,n-l+1}(t) G_{p,q}^{k,l,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r)\pi i} || \mathbf{a}_t).$$

We further have by (113) with $m + 1$ instead of m and $z e^{\pi i}$ instead of z

$$e^{-\pi i b_{m+1}} G_{p,q}^{m+1,n}(z e^{\pi i}) = e^{-\pi i b_{m+1}} A^{m+1,n-l+1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \Omega^{m+1,n-l+1}(s) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2s-1)\pi i}) \\ + \sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1} e^{(m+n-k-l+2r)\pi i a_t} e^{\pi i(a_t-b_{m+1})} \Delta^{m+1,n-l+1}(t) G_{p,q}^{k,l,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r)\pi i} || \mathbf{a}_t).$$

From these two relations and (55) it appears

$$\left. \begin{aligned} G_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) = & -\frac{e^{-\pi i b_{m+1}}}{2\pi i} A^{m+1,n-l+1} \Omega^{m+1,n-l+1}(0) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-1)\pi i}) \\ & -\frac{e^{-\pi i b_{m+1}}}{2\pi i} A^{m+1,n-l+1} \sum_{s=1}^{r-1} \{ \Omega^{m+1,n-l+1}(s) - e^{2\pi i b_{m+1}} \Omega^{m+1,n-l+1}(s-1) \} \times \\ & \times G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2s-1)\pi i}) \\ & + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1} e^{(m+n-k-l+2r)\pi i a_t} \sin(b_{m+1} - a_t) \pi \cdot \Delta^{m+1,n-l+1}(t) G_{p,q}^{k,l,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r)\pi i} || \mathbf{a}_t). \end{aligned} \right\} (114)$$

Now it is obvious on account of the definition of the coefficients A

$$-\frac{e^{-\pi i b_{m+1}}}{2\pi i} A^{m+1,n-l+1} = A^{m,n-l+1}.$$

Moreover we find without difficulty in view of the definition of the coefficients Ω

$$\Omega^{m+1,n-l+1}(0) = \Omega^{m,n-l+1}(0)$$

and

$$\Omega^{m+1, n-l+1}(s) - e^{2\pi i b_{m+1}} \Omega^{m+1, n-l+1}(s-1) = \Omega^{m, n-l+1}(s).$$

Finally it follows from the definition of the coefficients Δ that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sin(b_{m+1} - a_t) \pi \cdot \Delta^{m+1, n-l+1}(t) = \Delta^{m, n-l+1}(t).$$

Formula (114) is therefore equivalent to (113). So the second case is also finished.

Third case:

$$q \geq 1, n = l - 1, 0 \leq l - 1 \leq p \leq q, 0 \leq m \leq k \leq q, r \geq 1 + k - m.$$

From the definition of the function G we easily deduce

$$G_{p,q}^{m, l-1} \left(z \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right) = G_{p+1, q+1}^{m, l} \left(z \left| \begin{matrix} a, a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_k, a, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right); \quad (115)$$

herein is a an arbitrary number.

To the function $G_{p+1, q+1}^{m, l}(z)$ on the right-hand side of this relation we may apply (113) with $n = l, k + 1$ instead of $k, p + 1$ instead of p and $q + 1$ instead of q . Now it is clear, on account of the definitions of the coefficients A, Ω and Δ and the function G , that in the particular case under consideration (a, a_1, \dots, a_p instead of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p+1} and $b_1, \dots, b_k, a, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_q$ instead of b_1, \dots, b_{q+1})

$$A_{k+1}^{m, 1} = A_k^{m, 0}, \Omega_{k+1}^{m, 1}(s) = \Omega_k^{m, 0}(s), \\ G_{p+1, q+1}^{k+1, l-1, l}(\zeta) = G_{p, q}^{k, l-1, l-1}(\zeta)$$

and

$$\Delta_{k+1}^{m, 1}(1) = 0.$$

We therefore get (113) with $n = l - 1$ when we apply (113) to the right-hand side of (115).

With this the theorem has been completely proved.

§ 11. Third expansion formula.

Theorem 3. Assumptions: k, l, m, n, p and q are integers with

$$q \geq 1, 0 \leq l - 1 \leq n \leq p \leq q, 0 \leq m \leq k \leq q \text{ and } m + n \leq k + l;$$

the numbers a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_k fulfil the conditions (1), (99) and (100); r is an arbitrary integer which satisfies the inequality

$$0 \leq r \leq k + l - m - n.$$

Assertion :

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 G_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) &= A^{m,n-l+1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \Omega^{m,n-l+1}(s) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2s-1)\pi i}) \\
 &+ \bar{A}^{m,n-l+1} \sum_{\tau=0}^{k+l-m-n-r-1} \bar{\Omega}^{m,n-l+1}(\tau) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(m+n-k-l+2\tau+1)\pi i}) \\
 &+ \sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1} e^{(m+n-k-l+2r)\pi i} a_t \Delta^{m,n-l+1}(t) G_{p,q}^{k,l,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r)\pi i} \| a_t).
 \end{aligned} \right\} (116)$$

Proof. The theorem can be established by induction. The formula is true if $r = k + l - m - n$, since (116) with $r = k + l - m - n$ is equivalent to (113) with $r = k + l - m - n$. We may therefore suppose $0 \leq r \leq k + l - m - n - 1$ and assume that (116) with $r + 1$ instead of r has yet been proved. Now it follows from (57), if $n \geq l$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{p,q}^{k,l,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r-2)\pi i} \| a_t) &= e^{-2\pi i a_t} G_{p,q}^{k,l,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r)\pi i} \| a_t) \\
 &+ 2\pi i e^{-\pi i a_t} G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r-1)\pi i}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If this is substituted on the right-hand side of (116) with $r + 1$ instead of r , the sum $\sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1}$ not only yields the sum $\sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1}$ in (116) but besides

$$2\pi i G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r-1)\pi i}) \sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1} e^{(m+n-k-l+2r+1)\pi i} a_t \Delta^{m,n-l+1}(t) \tag{36}$$

and this expression is by (59) equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 -G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(k+l-m-n-2r-1)\pi i}) \{ &A^{m,n-l+1} \Omega^{m,n-l+1}(r) \\
 &- \bar{A}^{m,n-l+1} \bar{\Omega}^{m,n-l+1}(k+l-m-n-r-1)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The sums $\sum_{s=0}^r$ and $\sum_{\tau=0}^{k+l-m-n-r-2}$ on the right-hand side of (116) with $r + 1$ instead of r reduce therefore to the sums $\sum_{s=0}^{r-1}$, respect. $\sum_{\tau=0}^{k+l-m-n-r-1}$ in (116). So the theorem is established.

§ 12. Extension of theorem 3.

In the same manner as formula (113) we may prove the formula conjugate to (113)

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 G_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) &= \bar{A}^{m,n-l+1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \bar{\Omega}^{m,n-l+1}(s) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(z e^{(m+n-k-l+2s+1)\pi i}) \\
 &+ \sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1} e^{(k+l-m-n-2r)\pi i} a_t \Delta^{m,n-l+1}(t) G_{p,q}^{k,l,n}(z e^{(m+n-k-l+2r)\pi i} \| a_t).
 \end{aligned} \right\} (117)$$

³⁶⁾ This is still true if $n = l - 1$, since the sums $\sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1}$ then vanish.

This relation holds, provided that the conditions (111), (112), (1), (99) and (100) are satisfied.

We now replace r by $k + l - m - n - r$ and s by τ . Then formula (117) reduces to

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n}(z) = \overline{A}^{m,n-l+1}_k \sum_{\tau=0}^{k+l-m-n-r-1} \overline{\Omega}^{m,n-l+1}_k(\tau) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,n}(ze^{(m+n-k-l+2\tau+1)\pi i}) + \sum_{t=1}^{n-l+1} e^{(m+n-k-l+2r)\pi i} a_t \Delta^{m,n-l+1}_k(t) G_{p,q}^{k,l,n}(ze^{(k+l-m-n-2r)\pi i} \| a_t); \quad (118)$$

herein is r an arbitrary integer which satisfies the inequality

$$r \equiv \text{Min}(0, k + l - m - n).$$

We may now show that formula (116) holds under conditions which are much more general than those of theorem 3. Indeed, I will prove:

Theorem 4. *Suppose that k, l, m, n, p and q are integers which satisfy the conditions (111); further that the numbers a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_k fulfil the conditions (1), (99) and (100); finally that r is an arbitrary integer (positive, negative or zero).*

Then formula (116) is valid.

Proof. Observing that $\Omega^{m,n-l+1}_k(s)$ and $\overline{\Omega}^{m,n-l+1}_k(s)$ vanish for $s = -1, -2, -3, \dots$, we may distinguish six cases³⁷⁾:

First case: $m + n \geq k + l, r \geq 0$. Formula (116) reduces to (113).

Second case: $m + n \geq k + l, k + l - m - n \leq r \leq 0$. Formula (116) reduces to (102) with $\lambda = -r$.

Third case: $m + n \geq k + l, r \leq k + l - m - n$. Formula (116) reduces to (118).

Fourth case: $m + n \leq k + l, r \geq k + l - m - n$. Formula (116) reduces to (113).

Fifth case: $m + n \leq k + l, 0 \leq r \leq k + l - m - n$. This is the case of theorem 3.

Sixth case: $m + n \leq k + l, r \leq 0$. Formula (116) reduces to (118).

§ 13. Some more lemmas.

Lemma 19. *Suppose that k, l, p, q, κ and ν are integers with*

$$l \geq 1, q \geq 1, \kappa \geq 1, 0 \leq \nu \leq k \leq q \text{ and } l + \nu - 1 \leq p \leq q;$$

suppose further that the numbers $a_1, \dots, a_{l+\nu-1}$ and b_1, \dots, b_k satisfy the conditions

$$a_j - b_h \neq 1, 2, 3, \dots (j = \nu + 1, \dots, l + \nu - 1; h = 1, \dots, k), \quad (119)$$

$$a_j - a_t \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots (j = 1, \dots, \nu; t = 1, \dots, \nu; j \neq t). \quad (120)$$

³⁷⁾ Comp. also definition 4.

Then the following formula holds³⁸):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(\zeta) &= - \sum_{h=1}^{k-v+\nu-1} \Omega_k^{0,r}(h) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(\zeta e^{-2h\pi i}) \\ &- \frac{1}{A_k^{0,r}} \sum_{\tau=1}^{\nu} e^{(k-r+2\nu-1)\pi i a_{\tau}} \Delta_k^{0,r}(\sigma) G_{p,q}^{k,l,l+v-1}(\zeta e^{(2\nu-2k-2\nu+1)\pi i} \| \mathbf{a}_{\tau}). \end{aligned} \right\} (121)$$

Proof. If we put $m = 0$, $n = l + \nu - 1$, $r = k - \nu + \nu$ and $z = \zeta e^{(v-k)\pi i}$ in (113) and suppose that $\nu \leq k$, then we find (121), because of (5) and (50).

Lemma 20. Suppose that k, l, p, q, ν, λ and ν are integers with

$$l \geq 1, q \geq 1, 1 \leq \lambda \leq \nu, 0 \leq \nu \leq k \leq q \text{ and } l + \nu - 1 \leq p \leq q;$$

suppose further that the numbers $a_1, \dots, a_{l+\nu-1}$ and b_1, \dots, b_k satisfy the conditions (119) and (120).

Then the following formula holds:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(\zeta) &= \sum_{h=1}^{k-v+\nu-\lambda} \Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(h; \lambda) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(\zeta e^{(-2h-2\lambda+2)\pi i}) \\ &- \frac{1}{A_k^{0,r}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{\lambda-1} \Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(1; \tau) \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\nu} e^{(k-r+2\nu-2\tau-1)\pi i a_{\sigma}} \Delta_k^{0,r}(\sigma) G_{p,q}^{k,l,l+v-1}(\zeta e^{(2\nu-2k-2\nu+1)\pi i} \| \mathbf{a}_{\tau}). \end{aligned} \right\} (122)$$

Proof. From (74) (with $\lambda = 1$) and (73) (with $\lambda = 0$) it follows

$$\Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(h; 1) = - \Omega_k^{0,r}(h) (123)$$

We further have by (74) if $\lambda \neq 1 - h$

$$\Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(h; \lambda) = - \sum_{\tau=0}^{\lambda-2} \Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(1; \tau) \Omega_k^{0,r}(h + \lambda - \tau - 1) - \Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(1; \lambda - 1) \Omega_k^{0,r}(h);$$

in view of (74) we find therefore if $\lambda \neq 1 - h$

$$\Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(h; \lambda) = \Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(h + 1; \lambda - 1) - \Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(1; \lambda - 1) \Omega_k^{0,r}(h) . . (124)$$

From (123) and (73) (with $\lambda = 0$) it appears that (122) with $\lambda = 1$ reduces to (121). Hence we may suppose $2 \leq \lambda \leq \nu$ and assume that (122) with $\lambda - 1$ instead of λ has already been proved.

Now formula (122) with $\lambda - 1$ instead of λ may be written in the following way

$$\left. \begin{aligned} G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(\zeta) &= \Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(1; \lambda - 1) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(\zeta e^{(-2\lambda+2)\pi i}) \\ &+ \sum_{h=1}^{k-v+\nu-\lambda} \Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(h + 1; \lambda - 1) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(\zeta e^{(-2h-2\lambda+2)\pi i}) \\ &- \frac{1}{A_k^{0,r}} \sum_{\tau=0}^{\lambda-2} \Phi_{r,k}^{k,0}(1; \tau) \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\nu} e^{(k-r+2\nu-2\tau-1)\pi i a_{\sigma}} \Delta_k^{0,r}(\sigma) G_{p,q}^{k,l,l+v-1}(\zeta e^{(2\nu-2k-2\nu+1)\pi i} \| \mathbf{a}_{\tau}). \end{aligned} \right\} (125)$$

³⁸) The products $\Delta_k^{0,r}(\sigma) G_{p,q}^{k,l,l+v-1}(w \| \mathbf{a}_{\sigma})$ on the right of (121) must be defined by a limiting process when $a_{\sigma} - b_h = 1, 2, 3, \dots (1 \leq h \leq k)$; comp. the Remark at the end of § 9.

The first term on the right-hand side of this relation is because of (121) with $\zeta e^{(-2\lambda+2)\pi i}$ instead of ζ and $\kappa - \lambda + 1$ instead of κ equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\nu,k}^{k,0}(1; \lambda-1) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+\nu-1}(\zeta e^{(-2\lambda+2)\pi i}) \\ &= - \sum_{h=1}^{k-\nu+\kappa-\lambda} \Phi_{\nu,k}^{k,0}(1; \lambda-1) \Omega_k^{0,\nu}(h) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+\nu-1}(\zeta e^{(-2h-2\lambda+2)\pi i}) \\ & \quad - \frac{\Phi_{\nu,k}^{k,0}(1; \lambda-1)}{A_k^{0,\nu}} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\nu} e^{(k-\nu+2\kappa-2\lambda+1)\pi i a_{\sigma}} \Delta_k^{0,\nu}(\sigma) G_{p,q}^{k,l,l+\nu-1}(\zeta e^{(2\nu-2k-2\kappa+1)\pi i} \| a_{\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

If this is substituted on the right-hand side of (125), then (125) reduces in virtue of (124) to (122), so that the lemma has been proved.

Lemma 21. *Suppose that k, l, p, q, r and ν are integers with*

$$l \geq 1, q \geq 1, r \geq 1, 0 \leq \nu \leq k \leq q \text{ and } l + \nu - 1 \leq p \leq q;$$

suppose further that the numbers $a_1, \dots, a_{l+\nu-1}$ and b_1, \dots, b_k satisfy the conditions (119) and (120).

Then the following formula holds:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+\nu-1}(\zeta) &= \sum_{h=1}^{k-\nu} \Phi_{\nu,k}^{k,0}(h; r) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+\nu-1}(\zeta e^{(-2h-2r+2)\pi i}) \\ - \frac{1}{A_k^{0,\nu}} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\nu} e^{(k-\nu+1)\pi i a_{\sigma}} \Theta_{\nu}^{k,0}(\sigma; r-1) \Delta_k^{0,\nu}(\sigma) G_{p,q}^{k,l,l+\nu-1}(\zeta e^{(2\nu-2k-2r+1)\pi i} \| a_{\sigma}). \end{aligned} \right\} (126)$$

Proof. From (80) it follows

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^{r-1} e^{(2r-2\tau-2)\pi i a_{\tau}} \Phi_{\nu,k}^{k,0}(1; \tau) = \Theta_{\nu}^{k,0}(\sigma; r-1).$$

We therefore find (126) if we put $\kappa = \lambda = r$ in (122).

Remark. Formula (122) is also valid if the following conditions are satisfied: $k, l, p, q, \kappa, \lambda$ and ν are integers with

$$l \geq 1, q \geq 1, 0 \leq k \leq q, \nu \geq 0, l + \nu - 1 \leq p \leq q, \lambda \leq 0 \text{ and } \kappa \geq 1 + \nu - k;$$

the numbers $a_{\nu+1}, \dots, a_{l+\nu-1}$ and b_1, \dots, b_k fulfil the condition (119).

For, if $\lambda \leq 0$, the sum $\sum_{h=1}^{k-\nu+\kappa-\lambda}$ on the right-hand side of (122) is because of (73) and (75) equal to $G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+\nu-1}(\zeta)$; since $\Phi_{\nu,k}^{k,0}(1; \tau) = 0$ for $\tau < 0$, the sum $\sum_{\tau=0}^{\lambda-1}$ is zero for $\lambda \leq 0$ (comp. definition 4).

Similarly formula (126) is also true under the following conditions: k, l, p, q, r and ν are integers with

$$l \geq 1, 1 \leq 1 + \nu \leq k \leq q, 1 + \nu - k \leq r \leq 0 \text{ and } l + \nu - 1 \leq p \leq q;$$

the numbers $a_{\nu+1}, \dots, a_{l+\nu-1}$ and b_1, \dots, b_k fulfil the condition (119).

Lemma 22. Suppose that k, l, m, n, p, q and v are integers with

$$l \geq 1, q \geq 1, 0 \leq m \leq k \leq q, 0 \leq n-l+1 \leq v \leq k \text{ and } l+v-1 \leq p \leq q;$$

further that λ is an arbitrary integer; finally that the numbers a_1, \dots, a_{l+v-1} and b_1, \dots, b_k satisfy the conditions (119) and (120).

Then the following formula holds:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=0}^{\lambda-1} \Omega^{m, n-l+1}_k(s) G_{p,q}^{k, l-1, l+v-1}(w e^{-2s\pi i}) \\ = & \sum_{h=1}^{k-r} \left\{ \Phi_{v,k}^{m, n-l+1}(h; \lambda) - \Omega^{m, n-l+1}_k(h + \lambda - 1) \right\} G_{p,q}^{k, l-1, l+v-1}(w e^{(-2h-2\lambda+2)\pi i}) \\ & - \frac{1}{A_{k,0,v}} \sum_{\sigma=1}^v e^{(k-r+1)\pi i a_\sigma} \Theta_v^{m, n-l+1}(\sigma; \lambda - 1) \Delta_{k,0,v}^{0,v}(\sigma) G_{p,q}^{k, l, l+v-1}(w e^{(2v-2k-2\lambda+1)\pi i} \parallel a_\sigma). \end{aligned} \right\} (127)$$

Proof. We first suppose $\lambda \leq 0$. Then the left-hand side of (127) vanishes since $\Omega^{m, n-l+1}_k(s) = 0$ for $s < 0$. Because of $\Phi_{v,k}^{m, n-l+1}(1; \tau) = 0$ if $\tau < 0$, it appears from (71)

$$\Phi_{v,k}^{m, n-l+1}(h; \lambda) - \Omega^{m, n-l+1}_k(h + \lambda - 1) = 0 \text{ for } \lambda \leq 0.$$

We further have by (54)

$$\Theta_v^{m, n-l+1}(\sigma; \lambda - 1) = 0 \text{ for } \lambda \leq 0.$$

Hence formula (127) is certainly true if $\lambda \leq 0$.

We now consider the case with $\lambda > 0$. Because of (77) we have

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\lambda-1} \Omega^{m, n-l+1}_k(s) \Phi_{v,k}^{k,0}(h; \lambda - s) = \Phi_{v,k}^{m, n-l+1}(h; \lambda) - \Omega^{m, n-l+1}_k(h + \lambda - 1); \quad (128)$$

besides it follows from (79)

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\lambda-1} \Omega^{m, n-l+1}_k(s) \Theta_v^{k,0}(\sigma; \lambda - s - 1) = \Theta_v^{m, n-l+1}(\sigma; \lambda - 1) \quad . \quad (129)$$

If we replace in (126) ζ by $w e^{-2s\pi i}$ and r by $\lambda - s$ and use (128) and (129), we easily find (127).

Lemma 23. Suppose that k, l, m, n, p, q, μ and v are integers with

$$l \geq 1, q \geq 1, 0 \leq m \leq k \leq q,$$

$$0 \leq n-l+1 \leq v, 0 \leq \mu \leq k-v \text{ and } l+v-1 \leq p \leq q;$$

further that λ is an arbitrary integer; finally that the numbers a_1, \dots, a_{l+v-1} and b_1, \dots, b_k satisfy the conditions (119) and (120).

Then the following formula holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A^{m,n-l+1} \sum_{s=0}^{\lambda-1} \Omega^{m,n-l+1}(s) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(w e^{-2s\pi i}) \\
 = & A^{m,n-l+1} \sum_{h=1}^{k-v-\mu} \{ \Phi_{v,k}^{m,n-l+1}(h; \lambda) - \Omega^{m,n-l+1}(h + \lambda - 1) \} G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(w e^{(-2h-2\lambda+2)\pi i}) \\
 & - \bar{A}_k^{0,v} B_v^{m,n-l+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu} \Psi_{v,k}^{m,n-l+1}(\nu; \lambda) G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(w e^{(2\nu-2k-2\lambda+2\nu)\pi i}) \\
 - & B_v^{m,n-l+1} \sum_{\sigma=1}^v e^{(k-v-2\mu+1)\pi i a_\sigma} \Theta_v^{m,n-l+1}(\sigma; \lambda-1) \Delta_k^{0,v}(\sigma) G_{p,q}^{k,l,l+v-1}(w e^{(2\nu-2k-2\lambda+2\mu+1)\pi i} \| a_\sigma). \tag{130}
 \end{aligned}$$

P r o o f. From the definitions 5 and 6 it follows

$$\frac{A^{m,n-l+1}}{A_k^{0,v}} = B_v^{m,n-l+1} \dots \dots \dots \tag{131}$$

Formula (130) with $\mu = 0$ is therefore equivalent to (127). Hence we may suppose $1 \leq \mu \leq k - v$ and assume that (130) with $\mu - 1$ instead of μ has already been proved.

Now it follows from (57), if $v \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{p,q}^{k,l,l+v-1}(w e^{(2\nu-2k-2\lambda+2\mu-1)\pi i} \| a_\sigma) = & e^{-2\pi i a_\sigma} G_{p,q}^{k,l,l+v-1}(w e^{(2\nu-2k-2\lambda+2\mu+1)\pi i} \| a_\sigma) \{ \\
 & + 2\pi i e^{-\pi i a_\sigma} G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(w e^{(2\nu-2k-2\lambda+2\mu)\pi i}). \} \tag{132}
 \end{aligned}$$

If this is substituted on the right-hand side of (130) with $\mu - 1$ instead of μ , the expression $-B_v^{m,n-l+1} \sum_{\sigma=1}^v$ not only yields the corresponding expression in (130) but besides

$$\begin{aligned}
 -2\pi i B_v^{m,n-l+1} G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(w e^{(2\nu-2k-2\lambda+2\mu)\pi i}) \times \\
 \times \sum_{\tau=1}^v e^{(k-v-2\mu+2)\pi i a_\sigma} \Theta_v^{m,n-l+1}(\sigma; \lambda-1) \Delta_k^{0,v}(\sigma) \tag{39}
 \end{aligned}$$

and this expression is by virtue of (80) equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 -2\pi i B_v^{m,n-l+1} G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(w e^{(2\nu-2k-2\lambda+2\mu)\pi i}) \times \\
 \times \sum_{\tau=0}^{\lambda-1} \Phi_{v,k}^{m,n-l+1}(1; \tau) \sum_{\sigma=1}^v e^{(k-v+2\lambda-2\mu-2\tau)\pi i a_\sigma} \Delta_k^{0,v}(\sigma) \\
 = G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+v-1}(w e^{(2\nu-2k-2\lambda+2\mu)\pi i}) \sum_{\tau=0}^{\lambda-1} \Phi_{v,k}^{m,n-l+1}(1; \tau) \times \\
 \times \{ A_k^{0,v} B_v^{m,n-l+1} \Omega_k^{0,v}(k-v+\lambda-\mu-\tau) - \bar{A}_k^{0,v} B_v^{m,n-l+1} \bar{\Omega}_k^{0,v}(\mu+\tau-\lambda) \} \\
 \text{(after (59)).}
 \end{aligned}$$

³⁹⁾ This is still true for $v = 0$, since the sums $\sum_{\sigma=1}^v$ then vanish.

The right-hand side of this relation is on account of (131), (71) (with $h = k - r - \mu + 1$) and (81) equal to

$$G_{p,q}^{k,l-1,l+r-1} (w e^{(2\nu-2k-2\lambda+2\mu)\pi i}) \times \\ \times [A_k^{m,n-l+1} \{ \Omega_k^{m,n-l+1} (k-r+\lambda-\mu) - \Phi_{r,k}^{m,n-l+1} (k-r-\mu+1; \lambda) \} \\ - \overline{A}_k^{0,r} B_r^{m,n-l+1} \Psi_{r,k}^{m,n-l+1} (\mu; \lambda)].$$

It appears therefore that the sums $\sum_{h=1}^{k-r-\mu+1}$ and $\sum_{z=1}^{\mu-1}$ on the right-hand side of (130) with $\mu - 1$ instead of μ reduce by the substitution (132) to the corresponding sums $\sum_{h=1}^{k-r-\mu}$ and $\sum_{z=1}^{\mu}$ in (130). This establishes the lemma.