Mathematics. — An outer limit of nonconformalness, for which PICARD's theorem still holds. By R. J. WILLE. (Communicated by Prof, W. VAN DER WOUDE.)

(Communicated at the meeting of September 27, 1947.)

1. Let w = f(z) be a function, which involves a 1 — 1 correspondence between the finite z-plane and a, possibly multiply sheeted, mapping surface on the w-plane. By projection of this mapping surface on a sphere with radius $\frac{1}{2}$, touching the w-plane at zero with its southpole and using its northpole as centre of projection, we obtain a mapping surface F on the sphere.

PICARD's theorem on exceptional points does not only hold in case of conformal mapping, in which infinitesimal circles on F correspond to infinitesimal circles in the z-plane, but also in case of quasi-conformal mapping, in which infinitesimal circles on F correspond to infinitesimal ellipses in the z-plane, with eccentricities uniformly bounded by e < 1, as L. AHLFORS has shown (Eindeutige Analytische Funktionen, by R. NEVAN-LINNA, chapter XIII, § 8).

Let F(r) be the mapping surface of $|z| \leq r$; we suppose the eccentricities $\varepsilon(z)$ of the infinitesimal ellipses, corresponding to the infinitesimal circles at points on F(r), to have the upper bound e(r) < 1. Then we propose to show the validity of PICARD's theorem, when e(r) tends not too rapidly to 1 (r tending to infinity) and to indicate also the order of rapidity, for which the theorem just holds. The precise theorem, we shall prove, is the following:

If the integral

$$\int_{-r}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{1-e(r)^2}}{r} dr$$

is divergent, there are two exceptional points at the most. On the contrary, if the integral is convergent, a mapping exists even with a whole circle of exceptional points.

2. In order to give the proof we memorise the following inequality, deduced by L. AHLFORS:

where h is a constant, independent of the choice of f(z); a_1 , a_2 , a_3 three fixed points on the sphere; $n(a_i, r)$ the number of times F(r) covers a_i ; L(r) and O(r) contourlength and area of F(r).

If there are three exceptional points, we take them as a_1 , a_2 , a_3 ; the inequality (1) then reduces to:

$$\frac{L(r)}{O(r)} \ge \frac{1}{\pi h}.$$
 (2)

In the first place, supposing the integral

divergent, we may find, as we shall prove, values

$$r_1 < r_2 < \ldots < r_{\nu} < \ldots \rightarrow \infty$$

so, that

$$\lim_{\nu \to \infty} \frac{L(r_{\nu})}{O(r_{\nu})} = 0, \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots (4)$$

which is in contradiction with (2), so that PICARD's theorem then holds. In order to prove the existence of the values r_r we need a second inequality, deduced by AHLFORS:

 $r \cos dr$

$$L(r)^{2} \frac{dr}{r} \leqslant 4 \pi K(r) dO(r), \qquad (5)$$

where K(r) means:

As

$$K(r) \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-e(r)^2}}$$

inequality (5) reduces to:

By multiplying both sides of (7) with $\frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{O^2}$ and integrating from r_{μ} to $r_{\mu+1}$, values to be defined further on, we obtain:

$$\int_{r_{\mu}}^{r_{\mu+1}} \frac{L^2}{O^2} \frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{r} dr \leqslant 4\pi \int_{r_{\mu}}^{r_{\mu+1}} \frac{dO}{O^2} = 4\pi \left\{ \frac{1}{O(r_{\mu})} - \frac{1}{O(r_{\mu+1})} \right\}.$$
 (8)

As $\frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{r} > 0$ and $\frac{L^2}{O^2}$ continuous, there exist values r_{ν} , with

$$r_{\mu} \leq r_{\nu} \leq r_{\mu+1},$$

so that

$$\left\{\frac{L(r_{\nu})}{O(r_{\nu})}\right\}_{r_{\mu}}^{2}\int_{r_{\mu}}^{r_{\mu+1}}\frac{\sqrt{1-e^{2}}}{r}dr = \int_{r_{\mu}}^{r_{\mu+1}}\frac{L^{2}}{O^{2}}\frac{\sqrt{1-e^{2}}}{r}dr.$$

906

Introducing this in (8) we find:

$$\left\{\frac{L(\mathbf{r}_{\nu})}{O(\mathbf{r}_{\nu})}\right\}^{2} \leqslant \frac{4\pi}{\int\limits_{r_{\mu}}^{r_{\mu+1}} \frac{\sqrt{1-e^{2}}}{r} dr} \left\{\frac{1}{O(\mathbf{r}_{\mu})} - \frac{1}{O(\mathbf{r}_{\mu+1})}\right\}. \quad . \quad . \quad (9)$$

As $\int \frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{r} dr$ is supposed to be divergent we may choose the values $r_{\mu} \to \infty$, so that

$$\lim_{\mu \to \infty} \int_{r_{\mu}}^{r_{\mu+1}} \frac{\sqrt{1-e^2}}{r} dr = \infty. \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (10)$$

From (9) and (10) follows (4).

E.g. in case of representations, for which the order of $\sqrt{1-e^2}$ is $\frac{1}{\log r}$, the integral (3) diverges and so PICARD's theorem holds.

In the second place we suppose e(r) given in such a way that

$$\int \frac{\sqrt[n]{1-e^2}}{r} dr$$

converges; then we shall show, that there exists a corresponding mapping with a whole circle of exceptional points.

Before proving this, we give an example of a mapping for which the above integral converges (see fig. 1).



We project A to C on the sphere (rad. $\frac{1}{2}$), with its centre M as centre of projection. Then we reproject C to B on the plane, but now with the northpole N as centre. The function w = f(z) will be defined as the 1-1 correspondence A to B. It is clear that the points C form the mapping surface called above F. An infinitesimal circle at C, with radius ds, corresponds to an infinitesimal ellipse at A, with major axis a:

$$a = (1 + 4r^2) ds, \quad (|z| = r)$$

and with minor axis b:

$$b=\sqrt{1+4\,r^2}\,ds.$$

We find

hence

$$\sqrt{1-e^2} = \frac{b}{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4r^2}},$$

$$\binom{\infty}{1}\sqrt{1-e^2}$$

$$\int \frac{\sqrt[n]{1-e^2}}{r} dr = \int \frac{dr}{r\sqrt{1+4r^2}}.$$

which integral is convergent.

We now examine a more general mapping, defined by an arbitrary function f(r), but again depending only on r (see fig. 2).



An infinitesimal circle at C, with radius ds, corresponds to an infinitesimal ellipse at A with one axis a_1 , along the radius r:

$$a_1 = \frac{1+f^2}{f'} \, ds$$

and the other axis a_2 :

$$a_2 = \frac{1+f^2}{f} r \, ds.$$

 a_1 is major or minor axis according to $\frac{rf'}{f} < 1$ or $\frac{rf'}{f} > 1$, so that:

$$\sqrt{1-e^2}$$
 or $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-e^2}} = \frac{a_2}{a_1} = r \frac{f'}{f}$.

Ű

After these preliminaries we prove the second part of our theorem. Let e(r) < 1 be a given function, with $e(r) \rightarrow 1$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$, so that

$$\int \frac{\sqrt[\infty]{1-e^2}}{r} dr$$

is convergent.

Putting

$$r \frac{f'}{f} = \sqrt{1 - e^2}, \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (11)$$

we are sure of finding a mapping to which corresponds the given e(r). From (11) follows at once $(r_0 > 0)$:

$$\log f(r) = C + \int_{r_0}^{r} \frac{\sqrt{1 - e^2}}{r} dr$$

from which it follows, that f(r) is a bounded function. Hence the mapping, only depending on r, which we may construct by means of this function, will have a whole circle of exceptional points round infinity.

(Evidently the mapping has no exceptional points near zero, for $\frac{1}{r} \frac{1-e^2}{r}$ being aequivalent to $\frac{1}{r}$ near zero, log $f(0) = -\infty$ and f(0) = 0.)

If, instead of (11), we had put $r \frac{f'}{f} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-e^2}}$, we should have found a mapping for which a_1 , is the minor axis of the ellipse, and for which infinity is the only exceptional point.

Mathematics. — Non-homogeneous binary quadratic forms. IV (continued). By H. DAVENPORT. (Communicated by Prof. J. G. VAN DER CORPUT.)

(Communicated at the meeting of June 28, 1947.)

5. By the remarks at the beginning of \S 4, and by Lemmas 4, 5, 6, there remains for consideration only the possibility

$$\frac{1}{\eta_m} > \overline{\beta} > \frac{1}{\eta_{m+1}}, \quad \frac{1}{\xi_m} < \alpha < \frac{1}{\xi_{m+1}}, \quad \ldots \quad . \quad (29)$$

where *m* is an odd positive integer. Our aim will be to show that α , $\overline{\beta}$ necessarily have the values

$$a \equiv a_m, \qquad \overline{\beta} \equiv -a'_m$$

where a_m is defined by (5). That these values do in fact satisfy (29) follows from the simple inequalities

$$\frac{\theta}{1+\theta^{-n+3}} < \frac{\theta^{n+1}-1}{\theta^n+1} < \frac{\theta}{1+\theta^{-n}},$$
$$\frac{1}{1-\theta^{-n}} > \frac{1-\theta^{-n-1}}{1-\theta^{-n}} > \frac{1}{1-\theta^{-n-3}}.$$

Lemma 7. If $a \equiv a_m$, $\beta \equiv a'_m$, where m is an odd positive integer, then

$$|(\alpha \xi - 1)(\beta \xi' - 1)| = 1$$

for the following values of ξ :

$$\theta$$
, ξ_m , ξ_{m+1} , $-\eta'_m$, $-\eta'_{m+1}$.

Proof. We have to show that $N(\alpha_m \xi - 1) = \pm 1$ for the above values of ξ . In fact

$$a_m \theta - 1 = \frac{2 \theta \left(\theta^{n+1} - 1\right)}{\theta^n + 1} - 1 = \frac{\left(2 \theta^2 - 1\right) \theta^n - \left(2 \theta + 1\right)}{\theta^n + 1} = \theta^3 \left(\frac{\theta^n - 1}{\theta^n + 1}\right)$$

and since n is odd, this has norm 1. Similarly we find that

$$a_{m} \xi_{m} - 1 = \theta^{-n+2} \left(\frac{\theta^{n} - 1}{\theta^{n} + 1} \right),$$

$$a_{m} \xi_{m+1} - 1 = -\theta^{-n-1},$$

$$a_{m} (-\eta'_{m}) - 1 = -\theta^{n+1},$$

$$a_{m} (-\eta'_{m+1}) - 1 = \theta^{n+4} \left(\frac{\theta^{n} - 1}{\theta^{n} + 1} \right)$$

and all these have norm 1.