

Mathematics. — *Non-homogeneous binary quadratic forms. II.* By P. VARNAVIDES. (Communicated by Prof. J. G. VAN DER CORPUT.)

(Communicated at the meeting of February 28, 1948.)

7. **Lemma 7.** *There exists a number $n_0 = n_0(\delta)$ depending only on δ such that, if $\alpha \geq \tau/\theta$, then there is exactly one integer n such that*

$$0 < n \leq n_0, \dots \dots \dots (44)$$

$$\frac{1}{\xi_n} < \alpha < \frac{1}{\xi_{n+2}}, \dots \dots \dots (45)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\eta_n} > \beta \geq \frac{1}{\eta_{n+1}}, \dots \dots \dots (46)$$

where

$$\xi_n = \frac{1 + \tau^{-n+1}}{\sqrt{2}\tau} \text{ and } \eta_n = \frac{1 - \tau^{-n}}{\sqrt{2}} \dots \dots \dots (47)$$

are integers of $k(\sqrt{2})$.

Proof. That ξ_n and η_n are integers of $k(\sqrt{2})$ follows from the congruence (21). We consider the sequence of numbers $(\eta_n)^{-1}$. As n increases from the initial value 1 and tends to infinity, this sequence decreases strictly from the initial value τ and tends to the limit $\sqrt{2}$. Thus, if n_0 is sufficiently large

$$\frac{1}{\eta_{n_0}} < \sqrt{2} + \tau^{-2}\delta.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 6,

$$\frac{1}{\eta_{n_0}} < \sqrt{2} + \tau^{-2}\delta < \beta < \sqrt{2}\tau < \tau = \frac{1}{\eta_1},$$

and there is just one integer $n \leq n_0$ for which (44) and (46) are satisfied. We have to prove that (45) is satisfied for this value of n .

Now using (17), (46) and (47)

$$\alpha < \frac{2\tau}{\beta} \leq 2\tau\eta_{n+1} = \sqrt{2}\tau(1 - \tau^{-n-1}),$$

$$< \frac{\sqrt{2}\tau}{1 + \tau^{-n-1}} = \frac{1}{\xi_{n+2}},$$

and so one of the inequalities (45) is satisfied. To prove the remaining

inequality we use (15) with $\xi = 1$. If we neglect ε we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a &\geq 1 + \frac{1}{\beta-1} = \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} > \frac{1}{1-\eta_n} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}\tau}{1+\tau^{-n+1}} = \frac{1}{\xi_n}, \end{aligned}$$

by using (46) and (47). When we do not neglect ε we obviously obtain an inequality of the form

$$a \geq \frac{1}{\xi_n} - \nu_n \varepsilon, \dots \dots \dots (48)$$

where ν_n is a positive number depending only on n . Using (15) with $\xi = \xi_n$, we have

$$|(\alpha \xi_n - 1)(\beta \xi'_n - 1)| \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

so that, by (37),

$$|\alpha \xi_n - 1| \{ \sqrt{2}\tau |\xi'_n| + 1 \} \geq 1 - \varepsilon. \dots \dots \dots (49)$$

But, if a were less than or equal to $1/\xi_n$, the inequalities (48) and (49) would give a contradiction, provided ε was less than some positive number depending only on n_0 . Hence $a \geq 1/\xi_n$, and the lemma is proved.

Lemma 8. *If $a \geq \tau/\theta$, the inequalities (44), (45) and (46) are satisfied for just one odd integer n .*

Proof. Suppose, if possible, that (44), (45) and (46) are satisfied for some even integer n . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta'_n &= \frac{(-\tau)^n - 1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\tau^n - 1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \eta'_{n+1} &= \frac{(-\tau)^{n+1} - 1}{\sqrt{2}} = -\frac{\tau^{n+1} + 1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

By (15) with $\xi = \eta'_n$ and with $\xi = \eta'_{n+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta'_n \alpha - 1)(1 - \eta_n \beta) &\geq 1 - \varepsilon, \\ (|\eta'_{n+1}| \alpha + 1)(\eta_{n+1} \beta - 1) &\geq 1 - \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

the four factors on the left hand sides being positive, by (46) and our assumption that $a \geq \tau/\theta$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \eta_n \beta &\geq \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\eta'_n \alpha - 1}, \\ \eta_{n+1} \beta - 1 &\geq \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{|\eta'_{n+1}| \alpha + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

Eliminating β and using (45) we obtain

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 \frac{\eta_{n+1} - \eta_n}{1 - \varepsilon} &\geq \frac{\eta_{n+1}}{\eta'_n \alpha - 1} + \frac{\eta_n}{|\eta'_{n+1}| \alpha + 1} \\
 &\geq \frac{\eta_{n+1} \xi_{n+2}}{\eta'_n - \xi_{n+2}} + \frac{\eta_n \xi_{n+2}}{|\eta'_{n+1}| + \xi_{n+2}} \\
 &= \frac{(1 - \tau^{-n-1})(1 + \tau^{-n-1})}{\sqrt{2} \{ \tau(\tau^n - 1) - (1 + \tau^{-n-1}) \}} + \frac{(1 - \tau^{-n})(1 + \tau^{-n-1})}{\sqrt{2} \{ \tau(\tau^{n+1} + 1) + (1 + \tau^{-n-1}) \}} \\
 &= \frac{(1 - \tau^{-2n-2})}{\sqrt{2} \{ \tau^{n+1} - \tau - 1 - \tau^{-n-1} \}} + \frac{(1 - \tau^{-n})}{\sqrt{2} \{ \tau^{n+2} + 1 \}} \\
 &> (1/\sqrt{2}) \tau^{-n-1} (1 - \tau^{-2n-2})(1 - \tau^{-n})^{-1} + (1/\sqrt{2}) \tau^{-n-2} (1 - \tau^{-n})(1 + \tau^{-n-2})^{-1} \\
 &> (1/\sqrt{2}) \tau^{-n-1} (1 - \tau^{-2n-2})(1 + \tau^{-n}) + (1/\sqrt{2}) \tau^{-n-2} (1 - \tau^{-n})(1 - \tau^{-n-2}) \\
 &= (1/\sqrt{2}) \tau^{-n-1} (1 + \tau^{-1} + \tau^{-n} - \tau^{-n-1} - \tau^{-n-3} - \tau^{-2n-2} + \tau^{-2n-3} - \tau^{-3n-2}) \\
 &= \tau^{-n-1} + (1/\sqrt{2}) \tau^{-2n-1} [1 - \tau^{-1} - \tau^{-3} - \tau^{-n-3} (\tau - 1) - \tau^{-2n-2}]
 \end{aligned} \right\} (50)$$

As n is an even positive integer the expression in the square brackets exceeds

$$1 - \tau^{-1} - 3\tau^{-3} = 23 - 16\sqrt{2},$$

which is positive. Thus provided ε is smaller than a certain number depending only on n_0 ,

$$\eta_{n+1} - \eta_n > \tau^{-n-1}$$

But

$$\eta_{n+1} - \eta_n = \frac{1 - \tau^{-n-1}}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1 - \tau^{-n}}{\sqrt{2}} = \tau^{-n-1}.$$

This contradiction proves that the unique integer n , for which (44), (45) and (46) are satisfied, is odd.

Lemma 9. *If $\alpha \geq \tau/\theta$, there is exactly one odd integer n such that the inequalities (44) and (46) are satisfied and*

$$\frac{1}{\xi_n} < \alpha < \frac{1}{\xi_{n+1}} \dots \dots \dots (51)$$

Proof. Suppose, if possible, that (44), (45) and (46) are satisfied for some odd integer n , but that

$$\frac{1}{\xi_{n+1}} \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{\xi_{n+2}} \dots \dots \dots (52)$$

As n is odd

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi_{n+1} &= \frac{1 + \tau^{-n}}{\sqrt{2}\tau}, & \xi'_{n+1} &= \frac{1 - \tau^n}{\sqrt{2}}\tau \\
 \eta_{n+1} &= \frac{1 - \tau^{-n-1}}{\sqrt{2}}, & \eta'_{n+1} &= \frac{\tau^{n+1} - 1}{\sqrt{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (15) with $\xi = \xi_{n+1}$ and with $\xi = \eta'_{n+1}$, we have

$$(\alpha \xi_{n+1} - 1) (\beta |\xi'_{n+1}| + 1) \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \dots \dots \dots (53)$$

$$(\alpha \eta'_{n+1} - 1) (\beta \eta_{n+1} - 1) \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \dots \dots \dots (54)$$

the factors on the left hand sides being positive by (19), (46) and (52).

We use (53) and (54), together with the inequality $\alpha\beta < 2\tau$ obtained from (17), to find lower bounds for α and β . In these calculations we neglect the effect of ε in the first instance. From (53)

$$(\xi_{n+1} \alpha - 1) \left(\frac{2\tau |\xi'_{n+1}|}{\alpha} + 1 \right) \geq 1. \dots \dots \dots (55)$$

It is convenient to write $P = \frac{1}{2} \xi_{n+1} |\xi'_{n+1}|$ so that

$$P = \frac{1}{2} |N(\xi_{n+1})| = \frac{1}{4} |N(1 + \tau^{-n})| = \frac{1}{4} (\tau^n - \tau^{-n}).$$

Writing $2P/\xi_{n+1}$ for $|\xi'_{n+1}|$ in (55),

$$4\tau P + \xi_{n+1} \alpha - \frac{4\tau P}{\xi_{n+1} \alpha} - 1 \geq 1.$$

It follows just as in DAVENPORT's work ³⁾ that

$$\xi_{n+1} \alpha > 1 + \tau^{-n-1} \dots \dots \dots (56)$$

Now writing $Q = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{n+1} \eta'_{n+1}$ and using the inequality $\alpha\beta < 2\tau$ in (54) we have

$$4\tau Q - \eta_{n+1} \beta - \frac{4\tau Q}{\eta_{n+1} \beta} + 1 \geq 1.$$

Also

$$\beta \eta_{n+1} < \eta_{n+1} / \eta_n < \eta_{n+1} \eta'_{n+1} < 2\tau Q.$$

It follows just as in DAVENPORT's ⁴⁾ work that

$$\eta_{n+1} \beta > 1 + \frac{1}{4\tau Q}.$$

We have also

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} |N(\eta_{n+1})| = \frac{1}{4} \tau^{n+1} (1 - \tau^{-n-1})^2.$$

Hence

$$\eta_{n+1} \beta > 1 + \tau^{-n-2} \dots \dots \dots (57)$$

By (56) and (57)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\beta &> (1 + \tau^{-n-1})(1 + \tau^{-n-2}) / \xi_{n+1} \eta_{n+1} \\ &= (1 + \sqrt{2} \tau^{-n-1} + \tau^{-2n-3}) / \xi_{n+1} \eta_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{n+1} \eta_{n+1} &= (1 + \tau^{-n})(1 - \tau^{-n-1}) / 2\tau \\ &< (1 + \sqrt{2} \tau^{-n-1}) / 2\tau. \end{aligned}$$

³⁾ D. IV (747-48).

⁴⁾ D. IV (748).

Thus

$$\alpha\beta > 2\tau + 2\tau^{-2n-2}/(1 + \sqrt{2\tau^{-n-1}}).$$

Provided ε is smaller than a certain number depending only on n_0 , it is clear that, if we carried out these calculations without neglecting ε , we should still be able to conclude that

$$\alpha\beta > 2\tau,$$

contrary to (17). This contradiction proves that (51) is satisfied when n is the unique odd integer for which (44) and (46) are satisfied.

Lemma 10. *If n is odd*

$$|(a_n \xi - 1)(a'_n \xi' - 1)| = 1$$

for the following values of ξ ,

$$1, \xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \eta'_n, \eta'_{n+1}.$$

Proof. By (5)

$$\begin{aligned} a_n - 1 &= \frac{(\tau^{n+1} - 1)(\tau - 1)}{\tau^n + 1} - 1 \\ &= \frac{\tau^n(\tau^2 - \tau - 1) - \tau}{\tau^n + 1} \\ &= \tau \frac{\tau^n - 1}{\tau^n + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

which has norm 1 as n is odd. Similarly by (5) and (47) we have (since n is odd)

$$\begin{aligned} a_n \xi_n - 1 &= \tau^n \frac{\tau^n - 1}{\tau^n + 1}, \\ a_n \xi_{n+1} - 1 &= \tau^{-n-1}, \\ a_n \eta'_n - 1 &= -\tau^{n+1}, \\ a_n \eta'_{n+1} - 1 &= \tau^{n+2} \frac{\tau^n - 1}{\tau^n + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

and these all have norm 1.

Lemma 11. *There exists a constant C depending only on δ such that, if $\alpha \geq \tau/\theta$, then there is a unique odd integer n , for which*

$$|\alpha - a_n| \leq C\varepsilon, \quad |\beta - a'_n| \leq C\varepsilon \quad \dots \dots \dots (58)$$

and (44), (46) and (51) are satisfied.

Proof. We take n to be the unique odd integer for which (44), (46) and (51) are satisfied. We write

$$\alpha = a_n + \mu, \quad \beta = a'_n + \nu.$$

By (15), for every integer ξ of $k(\gamma 2)$

$$|\{(a_n + \mu)\xi - 1\} \{(a'_n + \nu)\xi' - 1\}| \geq 1 - \varepsilon$$

so that

$$\left| \left\{ 1 + \frac{\xi}{a_n \xi - 1} \mu \right\} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\xi'}{a'_n \xi' - 1} \nu \right\} \right| \geq \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{N(a_n \xi - 1)} \dots \quad (59)$$

Taking ξ equal to $\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \eta'_n$ and η'_{n+1} and using Lemma 10 we obtain the four inequalities

$$|(1 + \rho_i \mu)(1 + \sigma_i \nu)| \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \frac{\xi_n}{a_n \xi_n - 1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{(\tau^{n-1} + 1)(1 + \tau^{-n})}{1 - \tau^{-n}} > 0, \\ \sigma_1 &= \rho'_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{(1 + \tau^{-n+1})(1 - \tau^{-n})}{1 + \tau^{-n}} > 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \quad (60)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \rho_2 &= \frac{\xi_{n+1}}{a_n \xi_{n+1} - 1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\tau^n + 1) < 0, \\ \sigma_2 &= \rho'_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 - \tau^{-n}) > 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \quad (61)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \rho_3 &= \frac{\eta'_n}{a_n \eta'_n - 1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \tau} (1 + \tau^{-n}) > 0, \\ \sigma_3 &= \rho'_3 = -\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2}} (\tau^n - 1) < 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \quad (62)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \rho_4 &= \frac{\eta'_{n+1}}{a_n \eta'_{n+1} - 1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \tau} \frac{(1 - \tau^{-n-1})(1 + \tau^{-n})}{1 - \tau^{-n}} > 0, \\ \sigma_4 &= \rho'_4 = \frac{\tau^{n+2}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{(1 - \tau^{-n-1})(1 - \tau^{-n})}{1 + \tau^{-n}} > 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \quad (63)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\frac{1}{\eta'_n} < 0 < \frac{1}{\eta'_{n+1}} < \frac{1}{\xi_n} < a_n < \frac{1}{\xi_{n+1}}$$

and that

$$\frac{1}{\xi'_{n+1}} < 0 < \frac{1}{\xi'_n} < \frac{1}{\eta_{n+1}} < a'_n < \frac{1}{\eta_n}$$

It follows from (46) and (51) that $a\xi - 1$ and $a_n \xi - 1$ and $\beta\xi' - 1$ and $a'_n \xi' - 1$ have the same signs when ξ takes the values $\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \eta'_n, \eta'_{n+1}$. Consequently $(1 + \rho_i \mu)$ and $(1 + \sigma_i \nu)$ are positive for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and using the inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means

$$1 + \frac{1}{2}(\rho_i \mu + \sigma_i \nu) \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

i.e.

$$\rho_i \mu + \sigma_i \nu \geq -2\varepsilon \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad \dots \quad (64)$$

Eliminating first ν and then μ from the second and third of the inequalities (64),

$$\begin{aligned} (\varrho_2 |\sigma_3| + \varrho_3 \sigma_2) \mu &\geq -2\varepsilon(\sigma_2 + |\sigma_3|), \\ (\sigma_2 \varrho_3 + \sigma_3 |\varrho_2|) \nu &\geq -2\varepsilon(|\varrho_2| + \varrho_3). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_2 |\sigma_3| + \varrho_3 \sigma_2 &= \sigma_2 \varrho_3 + \sigma_3 |\varrho_2| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \tau^{-1} (1 - \tau^{-n}) (1 + \tau^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2} \tau (\tau^n + 1) (\tau^n - 1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\tau^{2n+1} - \tau^{-1}) (1 - \tau^{-2n}) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the above inequalities imply that $\mu \leq c_n \varepsilon$, and $\nu \leq c'_n \varepsilon$ where c_n and c'_n depend only on n . Substituting these bounds for μ and ν in the first or the fourth of the inequalities (64), we obtain $\mu \geq -c''_n \varepsilon$ and $\nu \geq -c'''_n \varepsilon$. Since n is positive and less than n_0 there is a constant C depending only on δ such that

$$|\mu| \leq C\varepsilon, \quad |\nu| \leq C\varepsilon.$$

This proves the lemma.

Lemma 12. *If $\alpha \geq \tau/\theta$, then for some odd positive integer n ,*

$$\alpha = \alpha_n, \quad \beta = \alpha'_n.$$

Proof. Let n be the integer of Lemma 11. We define numbers X_r and Y_r of $k(\sqrt{2})$ by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n X_r - 1 &= \tau^{-r(n+1)+1} \frac{\tau^n - 1}{\tau^n + 1}, \\ \alpha_n Y_r - 1 &= -\tau^{-r(n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} N(\alpha_n X_r - 1) &= 1, \\ N(\alpha_n Y_r - 1) &= 1; \end{aligned}$$

we have to prove that X_r and Y_r are integers of $k(\sqrt{2})$. Solving the equations for X_r and Y_r , and using (5)

$$\begin{aligned} X_r &= \frac{\tau^n + 1}{\sqrt{2}(\tau^{n+1} - 1)} \left\{ \tau^{-r(n+1)+1} \frac{\tau^n - 1}{\tau^n + 1} + 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{\tau^{-r(n+1)+1}(\tau^n - 1) + (\tau^n + 1)}{(\tau - 1)(\tau^{n+1} - 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Y_r = \frac{\tau^n + 1}{\sqrt{2}} \tau^{-r(n+1)} \frac{\tau^{r(n+1)} - 1}{\tau^{n+1} - 1}.$$

It is now clear that Y_r is an integer of $k(\sqrt{2})$. Also, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau^{-r(n+1)+1}(\tau^n - 1) + (\tau^n + 1) \\ & \equiv \tau(\tau^n - 1) + (\tau^n + 1) \pmod{(\tau - 1)(\tau^{n+1} - 1)} \\ & = (\tau + 1)\tau^n - (\tau - 1) \\ & = \tau(\tau - 1)\tau^n - (\tau - 1) \\ & \equiv (\tau - 1) - (\tau - 1) \pmod{(\tau - 1)(\tau^{n+1} - 1)} \\ & = 0, \end{aligned}$$

X_r is an integer.

We write $a = a_n + \mu$ and $\beta = a'_n + \nu$. By Lemma 11 we must have

$$|\mu| \leq C\varepsilon \text{ and } |\nu| \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Applying (59) with $\xi = X_r$ and with $\xi = Y_r$, we obtain

$$|(1 + R_r \mu)(1 + R'_r \nu)| \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \dots \dots \dots (65)$$

$$|(1 + S_r \mu)(1 + S'_r \nu)| \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \dots \dots \dots (66)$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} R_r &= \frac{X_r}{a_n X_r - 1} = \frac{1}{a_n} \left\{ 1 + \tau^{r(n+1)-1} \frac{\tau^n + 1}{\tau^n - 1} \right\}, \\ R'_r &= \frac{1}{a_n} \left\{ 1 + \tau^{-r(n+1)+1} \frac{\tau^n - 1}{\tau^n + 1} \right\}, \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \dots \dots (67)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} S_r &= \frac{Y_r}{a_n Y_r - 1} = -\frac{1}{a_n} \{ \tau^{r(n+1)} - 1 \} \\ S'_r &= \frac{1}{a_n} \{ 1 - \tau^{-r(n+1)} \}. \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \dots \dots (68)$$

The numbers $R_r, -R'_r, S_r$ and $-S'_r$ vary with r in a way which is essentially similar to the variation the numbers R_r, R'_r, S_r and S'_r occurring in DAVENPORT's work ⁵⁾. It follows just as in DAVENPORT's investigation that $\mu = \nu = 0$.

8. **Lemma 13.** *If a, b are of the form (4), then*

$$|(\xi - a)(\xi' - b)| \geq \frac{1}{a_n a'_n}, \dots \dots \dots (69)$$

for all integers ξ of $k(\sqrt{2})$; equality occurring for an infinite number of integral values of ξ .

Proof. It clearly suffices to consider the case when

$$a = \frac{1}{a_n}, \quad b = \frac{1}{a'_n}$$

⁵⁾ D. IV (911—913).

where n is an odd positive integer. In this case we have to prove that

$$|N(a_n \xi - 1)| \geq 1 \dots \dots \dots (70)$$

for all integers ξ of $k(\sqrt{2})$; and that equality occurs for an infinite number of integral values of ξ . We have seen in Lemma 12 that (70) is satisfied with equality when $\xi = X_r$ and when $\xi = Y_r$ and that X_r and Y_r are integers of $k(\sqrt{2})$ for all rational integers r .

When $n = 1$ we have $a_n = a'_n = 2$ so that $a_n \xi - 1 = 2\xi - 1$ is a non-zero integer of $k(\sqrt{2})$ whenever ξ is an integer of $k(\sqrt{2})$. Thus (70) is in this case satisfied for all integers ξ of $k(\sqrt{2})$.

When $n = 3$ we have

$$a_3 = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}-1}$$

$$a'_3 = \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}+1}$$

so that, if $\xi = x + \sqrt{2}y$,

$$a_3 \xi - 1 = \frac{4\sqrt{2}(x + \sqrt{2}y) - 2\sqrt{2} + 1}{2\sqrt{2}-1}$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{2}(2x-1) + (8y+1)}{2\sqrt{2}-1}.$$

Thus

$$|N(a_3 \xi - 1)| = \frac{1}{4} |8(2x-1)^2 - (8y+1)^2|.$$

Now, for any integers x and y

$$8(2x-1)^2 - (8y+1)^2 \equiv > 7 \pmod{16}$$

Thus

$$|N(a_3 \xi - 1)| \geq 1$$

for all integers ξ of $k(\sqrt{2})$.

When $n \geq 5$ we use a different type of argument. We suppose that, for some integer η of $k(\sqrt{2})$,

$$|N(a_n \eta - 1)| < 1 \dots \dots \dots (71)$$

and we eventually arrive at a contradiction. Consider the substitution

$$a_n \eta - 1 = \tau^{n+1} (a_n \zeta - 1) \dots \dots \dots (72)$$

We have

$$\eta = \tau^{n+1} \zeta - \frac{\tau^{n+1} - 1}{a_n} = \tau^{n+1} \zeta - \frac{\tau^n + 1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

$$\zeta = \tau^{-n-1} \eta - \frac{\tau^{-n-1} - 1}{a_n} = \tau^{-n-1} \eta + \tau^{-n-1} \frac{\tau^n + 1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Thus using (21) the transformation (72) transforms integers ζ into integers η and vice versa. Also

$$N(a_n \eta - 1) = N(a_n \zeta - 1).$$

It is clear that by repeated application of the transformation (72) or of its inverse we may obtain an integer η of $k(\sqrt{2})$ satisfying (71) and such that

$$\tau^{-n-1} \leq \left| \frac{a_n \eta - 1}{a'_n \eta' - 1} \right| < \tau^{n+1} \dots \dots \dots (73)$$

It follows from (71) and (73) that

$$|a_n \eta - 1| < \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}, \quad |a'_n \eta' - 1| < \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}, \quad \dots \dots \dots (74)$$

further one of these two numbers must be less than 1. We consider two cases separately, but first we note that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tau \sqrt{2} > a_n \geq a_5 = (98 + 28\sqrt{2})/41 = 3.356\dots \\ \sqrt{2} < a'_n \leq a'_5 = (98 - 28\sqrt{2})/41 = 1.424\dots \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \dots (75)$$

Case 1. Suppose that

$$|a_n \eta - 1| < 1 \text{ and } |a'_n \eta' - 1| < \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}. \dots \dots \dots (76)$$

The first inequality implies that

$$0 < \eta < \frac{2}{a_n} < \frac{2}{3}, \dots \dots \dots (77)$$

by (75).

Suppose, if possible, that $|\eta'| < \tau$. Then by (77),

$$0 < |\eta \eta'| < \frac{2\tau}{3} < 2,$$

so that η is a unit of $k(\sqrt{2})$. But there is no unit η of $k(\sqrt{2})$ with $|\eta| < \frac{2}{3}$ and $|\eta'| < \tau$. This contradiction proves that $|\eta'| \geq \tau$.

Multiplying the inequality

$$|\theta \tau \eta - 1| \leq |a_n \eta - 1| + (\theta \tau - a_n) \eta$$

by $|a'_n \eta' - 1|$ and using (71) and (76), we deduce that

$$|(\theta \tau \eta - 1)(a'_n \eta' - 1)| < 1 + (\theta \tau - a_n) \eta \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}.$$

Now, since $\theta \tau \eta - 1$ is a non-zero integer of $k(\sqrt{2})$,

$$|N(\theta \tau \eta - 1)| \geq 1.$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{(a'_n \eta' - 1)}{(\theta \tau \eta - 1)} \right| < 1 + (\theta \tau - a_n) \eta \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}. \dots \dots \dots (78)$$

Now the expression on the left hand side of (78) is either

$$\frac{\alpha'_n |\eta'| - 1}{\theta \tau^{-1} |\eta'| - 1} \text{ or } \frac{\alpha'_n |\eta'| + 1}{\theta \tau^{-1} |\eta'| + 1}$$

according as $\eta' > 0$ or $\eta' < 0$. The latter is the smaller, since $\alpha'_n > \theta > \theta/\tau$. Hence, by (78)

$$\frac{\alpha'_n |\eta'| + 1}{\theta \tau^{-1} |\eta'| + 1} < 1 + (\theta \tau - \alpha_n) \eta \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}.$$

As

$$\theta \tau - \alpha_n = \sqrt{2} \frac{\tau + 1}{\tau^n + 1} = \frac{2\tau}{\tau^n + 1} < 2\tau^{-n+1},$$

this implies that

$$\frac{\alpha'_n |\eta'| + 1}{\theta \tau^{-1} |\eta'| + 1} < 1 + 2\eta \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(3-n)}. \dots \dots \dots (79)$$

Using the fact that $|\eta'| \geq \tau$, (75), (79) and (77) we obtain

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}\tau + 1}{\sqrt{2} + 1} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}|\eta'| + 1}{\theta \tau^{-1} |\eta'| + 1} < \frac{\alpha'_n |\eta'| + 1}{\theta \tau^{-1} |\eta'| + 1} < 1 + 2\eta \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(3-n)} < 1 + \frac{4}{3} \tau^{-1},$$

since $n \geq 5$. This is a contradiction since the left hand side has the value 1.828 ... while the right hand side has the value 1.552

Case 2. Suppose that

$$|\alpha_n \eta - 1| < \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)} \text{ and } |\alpha'_n \eta' - 1| < 1. \dots \dots \dots (80)$$

The second inequality implies that

$$0 < \eta' < \frac{2}{\alpha'_n} < \sqrt{2} \dots \dots \dots (81)$$

by (75).

Suppose, if possible, that $|\eta| < \tau$. Then

$$0 < |\eta \eta'| < \tau \sqrt{2} < 4,$$

and so, as 3 and -3 are not norms in $k(\sqrt{2})$, we must have either $|\eta \eta'| = 1$ or $|\eta \eta'| = 2$. If $|\eta \eta'| = 1$, then η is a unit and as $0 < \eta' < \sqrt{2}$, $|\eta| < \tau$ we must have $\eta = 1$. This is impossible by Lemma 10 and the fact that η satisfies (71). If $|\eta \eta'| = 2$, then necessarily $\eta = \sqrt{2}\zeta$, $\eta' = -\sqrt{2}\zeta'$, where ζ is a unit of $k(\sqrt{2})$ satisfying $|\zeta| < \tau$, $|\zeta'| < 1$. But there is no such unit of $k(\sqrt{2})$. These contradictions prove that $|\eta| \geq \tau$.

Just as in case 1, using (71) and (80)

$$\begin{aligned} |(a_n \eta - 1)(\theta \eta' - 1)| &\leq |(a_n \eta - 1)(\alpha'_n \eta' - 1)| + |(a_n \eta - 1)(\alpha'_n - \theta)\eta'| \\ &< 1 + (\alpha'_n - \theta) \eta' \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta \eta' - 1$ is a non-zero integer of $k(\sqrt{2})$ this implies that

$$\left| \frac{\alpha_n \eta - 1}{\theta \eta + 1} \right| < 1 + (\alpha'_n - \theta) \eta' \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}.$$

The expression on the left hand side is either

$$\frac{a_n |\eta| + 1}{\theta |\eta| - 1} \text{ or } \frac{a_n |\eta| - 1}{\theta |\eta| + 1},$$

according as $\eta \leq -\tau$ or $\eta \geq \tau$. Thus, in any case,

$$\frac{a_n |\eta| - 1}{\theta |\eta| + 1} < 1 + (a'_n - \theta) \eta' \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}. \dots \dots \dots (82)$$

Now

$$a'_n - \theta = \sqrt{2} \frac{\tau^{n+1} - 1}{\tau(\tau^n - 1)} - \sqrt{2} = \frac{2}{\tau(\tau^n - 1)} = 2 \tau^{-n-1} (1 - \tau^{-n})^{-1}. \dots (83)$$

Using the fact that $|\eta| \geq \tau$, (75), (82), (83) and (81) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3\tau - 1}{\sqrt{2}\tau + 1} &\leq \frac{3|\eta| - 1}{2|\eta| + 1} < \frac{a_n |\eta| - 1}{\theta |\eta| + 1} \\ &< 1 + (a'_n - \theta) \eta' \tau^{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)} \\ &< 1 + 2\sqrt{2} \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+1)} (1 - \tau^{-n})^{-1} \\ &< 1 + 2\sqrt{2} \tau^{-3} (1 - \tau^{-5})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \geq 5$. This a contradiction since the left hand side has the value $\sqrt{2} = 1.414 \dots$ while the right hand side has the value $1.169 \dots$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

University College, London.