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(Communicated at the meeting of May 28, 1949.)
It is the aim of this paper to give a new characterisation of spheres of even dimension. We shall show that a simply-connected manifold is an even sphere if and only if its Euler characteristic is equal to two and it admits transitively a compact transformation group $R$. In the course of the proof, all the possible groups $R$ are eventually determined. We find that $R$ is either the orthogonal group or the Cartan's exceptional group $G_{2}$, and that $G_{2}$ presents only when the manifold is six-dimensional. In an interesting paper [5], Montgomery and Samelson have shown that the only compact transitive transformation group of a sphere $S^{2 n}$ of $2 n$ dimension is the orthogonal group when $2 n \geq 114$. By an entirely different method, we fill the gap they left. Furthermore, as an incident result of our discussions, we obtain the first four homotopy groups of the exceptional group $G_{2}$.

1. The chief weapon used in this paper is a finite group associated with a connected compact LiE group. This finite group has been fully discussed by various authors. In this section, we shall give a brief sketch of Stiefel's results [7, §§ 2, 3] which will be used later.

Let $R$ be a connected compact LIE group of dimension $r$ and rank $l$. All the maximal toral subgroups of $R$ have the same dimension $l$. Choose one of them, say $T$. Each normaliser a of $T$ induces an automorphism $\varphi_{a}$ : $t \rightarrow a^{-1} a^{-1}(t \varepsilon T)$ of $T$. All such automorphisms form a finite group which. up to an isomorphism, depends only on $R$ and not on the particular choice of $T$. We shall denote it by $\Phi(R)$.

Let $U(e)$ be a small neighbourhood of the identity $e$ of $R$ such that it is covered by the canonical coordinates $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{r}$ of the first kind. These coordinates define an $r$-dimensional tangent euclidean space $E^{r}$ of $R$. Each inner automorphism

$$
x \rightarrow b x b^{-1} \quad(x \varepsilon R)
$$

of $R$ indues a linear transformation $S_{b}$ of the tangent space $E^{r}$ which we call the adjoint linear transformation. Now let us consider the maximal toral subgroup $T$. Its tangent space $E^{l}$ is a linear subspace of $E^{r}$ and is $l$-dimensional. Evidently, the adjoint linear transformation $S_{a}$ of each normaliser a of $T$ leaves $E^{l}$ invariant, and hence induces a linear trans-

[^0]formation $\varphi_{a}^{\prime}$ of $E^{l}$. All these $\varphi_{a}^{\prime}$ form a finite linear group $\Phi^{\prime}(R)$ isomorphic with $\Phi(R)$.

In the linear space $E^{l}$, there are $m$ pencils of parallel hyperplanes, called singular hyperplanes, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=2 m+l . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through the origin there pass exactly $m$ singular hyperplanes [3, p. 67]. Each transformation $\varphi^{\prime}$ of $\Phi^{\prime}(R)$ carries singular hyperplanes to singular hyperplanes. Furthermore, we have [7, p. 363].
(1.2) Let $P$ be a point in $E^{l}$. If $P$ is left unaltered by a transformation $\varphi^{\prime} \varepsilon \Phi^{\prime}(R)$ other than the identity transformation, then there exists a singular hyperplane passing through both $P$ and the origin.

It is well-known that compact simple Lie groups fall into four main classes $A_{k}, B_{k}, C_{k}, D_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ and five exceptional cases $G_{2}, F_{4}$, $E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$ where the lower index denotes the rank. In fact, each of the above represents a class of locally isomorphic connected groups among which one is simply-connected and one without centre. In what follows, we shall occasionally use the term "an $A_{k}\left(B_{k}, C_{k}, \ldots, E_{8}\right)$ " which simply means any group of the class $A_{k}\left(B_{k}, C_{k}, \ldots, E_{3}\right)$. The finite groups $\Phi$ associated with these simple groups have been completely determined.

For any connected compact Lie group $R$, let us denote by o $(R)$ the order of the finite group $\Phi(R)$. Then [9]

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\circ(\text { toral group })=1, \circ\left(A_{k}\right)=(k+1)!, \circ\left(D_{k}\right)=k!\cdot 2^{k-1},  \tag{1.3}\\
\circ\left(B_{k}\right)=\circ\left(C_{k}\right)=k!\cdot 2^{k}, \circ\left(G_{2}\right)=12, \circ\left(F_{4}\right)=3^{2} \cdot 2^{7}, \\
\circ\left(E_{6}\right)=6!\cdot 3 \cdot 2^{6}, \circ\left(E_{7}\right)=9!\cdot 2^{3}, \circ\left(E_{8}\right)=10!\cdot 3 \cdot 2^{6} \cdot
\end{array}\right\}
$$

2. A topological space $W$ is called homogeneous if it admits transitively a topological group $R$ of transformations. Let $q$ be a point of $W$. All the transformations of $R$ which leave $q$ invariant form a closed subgroup $L$ of $R$ called the isotropic subgroup. The space $W$ can be regarded as the space $R / L$ of left cosets. $R$ is said to be effective (almost effective) on $W$ if only the identity (only a finite number of elements) of $R$ preserves every point of $W$. Suppose that $R$ is not effective on $W$. Then the elements of $R$ which leave unaltered each point of $W$ form an invariant subgroup $I$ of $R$. The factor group $R / I$ then acts effectively and transitively on $W$. Thus without loss of generality of the homogeneous space, we can assume that it admits an effective transformation group.
(2.1) Let $W$ be a homogeneous manifold of a connected compact group. If $W$ has non-vanishing Euler characteristic, then it admits, transitively and almost effectively, a connected, simply-connected compact semi-simple Lie group $R$.

Proof. By hypothesis, $W$ admits, effectively and transitively, a connected compact group $R^{\prime}$. Since $W$ is locally euclidean and $R^{\prime}$ is
compact, it follows that $R^{\prime}$ must be a LIE group [10, §7]. Moreover, the EULER characteristic of $W$ does not vanish so that $R^{\prime}$ is simi-simple and has no centre $\left.[8,(2.1),(2.2)]{ }^{2}\right)$. Therefore the universal covering group $R$ of $R^{\prime}$ is simply-connected, compact and semi-simple and has only a finite number of centres [6, p. 271]. This group $R$ acts transitively on $W$ in the natural manner. It is easy to see that an element $b$ of $R$ leaves unaltered every point of $W$ if and only if $b$ is a centre of $R$. Hence $R$ acts almost effectively on $W$. This group $R$ possesses all the required properties. Proposition (2.1) is therefore proved.

Theorem I. Let $W$ be a simply-connected manifold with Euler characteristic equal to one. If $W$ admits transitively a connected compact transformation group, then $W$ is a single point.

Proof. By (2.1) there exists a connected, simply-connected compact semi-simple LiE group $R$ acting on $W$ transitively and almost effectively. Therefore, $W$ can be regarded as a coset space $R / L$ where $L$ is a closed subgroup of $R$. From the simply-connectedness of both $R$ and $W$, it follows that $L$ is connected [1,§31].

Since the EuLER characteristic $\chi(W)=\chi(R / L)=1 \neq 0, R$ and $L$ have the same rank $l$ [4]. Hence a maximal toral subgroup $T$ of $L$ is, at the same time, a maximal toral subgroup of $R$. Let $E^{l}$ be the tangent space of $T$. We have two finite linear groups $\Phi^{\prime}(L), \Phi^{\prime}(R)$ of transformations of the space $E^{l}$. From the definition of the group $\Phi^{\prime}$ and the fact $L \subset R$, it follows at once

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(L) \supset \Phi^{\prime}(R) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Concerning the Euler characteristic $\chi(R / L)$ and the orders $o(L), o(R)$ of the finite group $\Phi^{\prime}(L), \Phi^{\prime}(R)$, we have the formula $[8,(1.1)]$

$$
\chi(R / L)=\circ(R) / \circ(L) .
$$

Our assumption $\chi(W)=\chi(R / L)=1$ then implies that $o(R)=o(L)$, and then (2.2) tells us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(R)=\Phi^{\prime}(L) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we have two connected compact Lie groups $L$ and $R$. Either of them has its own singular hyperplanes in the same euclidean space $E^{l}$. From the fact that $L \subset R$ we can see immediately that a singular element of $L$ is also a singular element of $R$. Thus singular hyperplanes of $L$ are also singular hyperplanes of $R$. Let us denote, respectively, by

$$
\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{m}
$$

and

$$
\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{m}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{h}
$$

[^1]the singular hyperplanes of $L$ and $R$ which pass through the origin. Then from (1.1), it follows that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}=2 m+l, r=2(m+h)+l \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $t^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} . L, r=\operatorname{dim} . R$.
We are going to show $h=0$. Suppose that $h \neq 0$. Then there exists a singular hyperplane $\theta_{1}$ of $R$ which is not a singular hyperplane of $L$ and which passes through the origin. Choose a point $P$ on $\theta_{1}$ such that it does not lie on any of the hyperplanes $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{m}$. Since $R$ is semisimple, $\Phi^{\prime}(R)$ contains the reflection $\varphi^{\prime}$ about the singular hyperplane $\theta_{1}$ [7, p. 364]. On account of (2.3), $\varphi^{\prime}$ also belongs to $\Phi^{\prime}(L)$. This $\varphi^{\prime}$ evidently differs from the identity transformation, and moreover it leaves the point $P$ invariant. Proposition (1.2) then assures the existence of a singular hyperplane of $L$ passing through both $P$ and the origin. However, by the choice of $P$, none of the $\pi$ 's passes through $P$. This leads to a contradiction. Hence $h=0$ and (2.4) implies $r=r^{\prime}$. In other words, $R$ and $L$ have the same dimension. As $R$ is connected, $L$ and $R$ coincide. Thus $W=R / L$ consists of only one point. This proves Theorem I.
3. Our main theorem can be stated as follows:

Theorem II. Let $W$ be a simply-connected manifold with Euler characteristic equal to two. If $W$ admits, effectively and transitively, a compact connected group $R$, then $W$ is a sphere of even dimension, and $R$ is either the orthogonal group or the exceptional simple Lie group $G_{2}$ of Cartan's class $G$ and rank $2 . G_{2}$ presents only when $W$ is six-dimensional.

In order to prove this theorem, we shall first establish a series of lemmas.
Lemma 1. Let $W$ be a simply-connected manifold with EULER characteristic equal to a prime number $p$. Then the connected compact group $R$ which can possibly act on $W$ transitively and effectively must be a simple Lie group.

Proof. Let $L$ denote the isotropic subgroup of $R$. Then $W$ can be regarded as the coset space $R / L$. Since $\chi(W)=p \neq 0, R$ has no centre [8, (2.1)]. If $R$ is not simple, then $R$ can be expressed as the direct product $R^{\prime} \times R^{\prime \prime}$ of two connected compact semi-simple Lie groups none of which consists of only one element [8, (2.2)]. Then $W$ is homeomorphic to the topological product

$$
\left(R^{\prime} / L^{\prime}\right) \times\left(R^{\prime \prime} / L^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

of two coset spaces where $L^{\prime}=R^{\prime} \cap L, L^{\prime \prime}=R^{\prime \prime} \cap L[8$, (2.3)]. From the well-known Künneth's formula, we have

$$
p=\chi(W)=\chi\left(R^{\prime} / L^{\prime}\right) \cdot \chi\left(R^{\prime \prime} / L^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

However, $p$ is a prime number so that one of the factors in the right hand side of the above equality must be unity. We can assume that $\chi\left(R^{\prime} / L^{\prime}\right)=1$. Since $W$ is simply-connected, $R^{\prime} / L^{\prime}$ must be simply-connected as well.

Moreover, $R^{\prime} / L^{\prime}$ admits transitively the compact Lie group $R^{\prime}$. From Theorem I, $R^{\prime} / L^{\prime}$ is a single point. Hence $R^{\prime}=L^{\prime}$.

Now we return to our original homogeneous space $W=R / L$. Since $R^{\prime}$ is an invariant subgroup of $R$ and $R^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \subset L$, it follows that each element of $R^{\prime}$ leaves unaltered every point of $W . R^{\prime}$ has more than one elements. This contradicts our assumption that $R$ is effective on $W$. Hence $R$ is simple.

Lemma 2. Let $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ be two locally isomorphic connected compact LIE groups. Then $\Phi\left(R_{1}\right) \approx \Phi\left(R_{2}\right)$.

Proof. If $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are semi-simple, this lemma is well-known. In the general case, we know that there exists a connected compact Lie group $R$ such that [6, Theorem 87]

$$
R_{1}=R / N_{1}, \quad R_{2}=R / N_{2}
$$

where $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are discrete subgroups of $R$ belonging to the centre. Hence we have two natural homomorphic onto-mappings

$$
f_{1}: R \rightarrow R_{1}, \quad f_{2}: R \rightarrow R_{2}
$$

with kernels $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ respectively. Let $T$ be a maximal total subgroup of $R . N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ being contained in the centre of $R$, are contained in $T$. From Hopf's result [3,1.6], it follows that

$$
\Phi(R) \approx \Phi\left(R_{1}\right), \quad \Phi(R) \approx \Phi\left(R_{2}\right)
$$

and hence $\Phi\left(R_{1}\right) \approx \Phi\left(R_{2}\right)$.
Lemma 3. Let $L$ be a connected compact LIE group locally isomorphic to the direct product

$$
L_{1} \times L_{2} \times \ldots \times L_{s}
$$

of connected compact LIE groups $L_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, s)$. Then

$$
\mathrm{o}(L)=\mathrm{o}\left(L_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{o}\left(L_{2}\right) \ldots \mathrm{o}\left(L_{s}\right)
$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 2 and [8, (14.1)].
4. In this section and the next, we shall study some properties of the Cartan's exceptional groups.

Lemma 4. The Cartan's exceptional group $F_{4}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{3}$ cannot act transitively on a simply-connected manifold with EULER characteristic equal to two.

Proof. Let us first consider the case $E_{8}$. Suppose $W$ to be a simplyconnected manifold with EULER characteristic equal to two. If there exists an $E_{8}$ acting on $W$ transitively, then the universal covering group $\widetilde{E}_{8}$ of $E_{8}$ acts on $W$ in the natural manner. This group $\widetilde{E}_{8}$ is simply-connected and is also of the class $E_{8}$. Let $L$ be the isotropic of subgroup $\widetilde{E}_{8}$. We can
regard $W$ as the coset space $\widetilde{E}_{8} / L$. From the simply-connectedness of both $W$ and $\widetilde{E}_{8}$, it follows that $L$ is connected [1, §31]. Therefore [8, (1.1)]

$$
2=\chi(W)=\chi\left(\widetilde{E}_{8} / L\right)=o\left(\widetilde{E}_{8}\right) / o(L)
$$

The table (1.3) tells us that o $\left(\widetilde{E}_{8}\right)=10!\cdot 3 \cdot 2^{6}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
o(L)=10!\cdot 3 \cdot 2^{5} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The isotropic subgroup $L$, being closed in $\widetilde{E}_{5}$, form a compact LiE group. Hence it is locally isomorphic with a direct product of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1} \times L_{2} \times \ldots \times L_{s} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{j}$ is either a toral group or a compact simple LIE group. Let us denote by $l_{j}$ the rank of $L_{j}$. Then

$$
l_{1}+l_{2}+\ldots+l_{s}=\operatorname{rank} \text { of } L
$$

Since $\chi\left(\widetilde{E}_{8} / L\right) \neq 0, L$ has the same rank 8 as the group $\widetilde{E}_{8}$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}+l_{2}+\ldots+l_{s}=8 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we have from Lemma 3 that $o(L)=o\left(L_{1}\right) \cdot o\left(L_{2}\right) \ldots o\left(L_{s}\right)$. Equality (4.1) then implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
o\left(L_{1}\right) \cdot o\left(L_{2}\right) \ldots o\left(L_{s}\right)=10 / 3 \cdot 2^{5} \ldots \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently $L_{j}$ cannot be an $E_{8}$, and from (4.3) it follows that $l_{j} \leq 8$. Moreover, one of the o $\left(L_{j}\right)$ must be divisible by 7 . For definiteness, let it be o $\left(L_{1}\right)$. Table (1.3) then tells us that $L_{1}$ is one of the following groups

$$
A_{8}, A_{7}, A_{6}, B_{8}, B_{7}, C_{8}, C_{7}, D_{8}, D_{7}, E_{7} .
$$

Hence $o\left(L_{1}\right)$ is not divisible by 25 . One of the factors $o\left(L_{2}\right), \ldots, o\left(L_{s}\right)$ must be divisible by 5 . However, $l_{i} \leq 8-l_{1} \leq 2(j=2,3, \ldots, s)$. It follows from (1.3) that this is impossible.

From the above discussions, we know that an $E_{8}$ cannot act transitively on $W$. Thus the lemma is proved for the case $E_{8}$. The other cases can be treated similarly.
Q. E. D.

Lemma 5. Let $W$ be a simply-connected space with EULER characteristic equal to two. If it admits transitively the exceptional group $G_{2}$, then the isotropic subgroup must be an $A_{2}$.

Proof. Let $L$ denote the isotropic subgroup. By similar reasoning as above, we know that $L$ is of rank 2 and $o(L)=6$. (1.3) and Lemma 3 tell us that $L$ is an $A_{2}$.
5. In the preceding section, we know that there is possibly a simplyconnected homogeneous space of $G_{2}$ with EULER characteristic equal to two. Now we shall show that this space is the sphere of six dimension.

Lemma 6. Up to an automorphism of $G_{2}$, there is one and only one subgroup $L$ of $G_{2}$ such that $L$ is an $A_{2}$.

Proof. Noting the fact that $G_{2}$ is simply-connected [7, p. 378], we can prove this lemma by reasoning analogous to, though far simpler than, that the author used in [8, Part II]. The details are omitted.

Lemma 7. The six-dimensional sphere $S^{6}$ is a homogeneous space of the group $G_{2}$, and is the only simply-connected homogeneous space of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ which has EULER characteristic equal to two.

Proof. The uniqueness follows directly from Lemma 6 and Lemma 7. We need only prove the first part of our lemma. It is well-known that $G_{2}$ can be embedded in the group $\Gamma_{6}$ of all proper orthogonal matrices with 7 rows and columns. Therefore, we can assume $G_{2} \subset \Gamma_{6}$.

Let $S^{6}$ be the unit sphere in the seven-dimensional euclidean space. $\Gamma_{6}$ acts transitively and effectively on $S^{6} . G_{2}$, being a subgroup of $\Gamma_{6}$, acts on $S^{6}$ in the natural manner. We shall show that $G_{2}$ is transitive on $S^{6}$. For this purpose, let us consider the orbits of $G_{2}$ [10, p. 194]. Let $m$ be the maximum of the dimension of all the orbits. There is a point $x$ of $S^{6}$ such that the orbit $G_{2}(x)$ is $m$-dimensional. Since each orbit is connected and $G_{2}$ acts effectively on $S^{6}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<m \leqslant 6 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $G_{2}$ acts transitively on $G_{2}(x)$ so that $G_{2}(x)$ can be regarded as a coset space $G_{2} / L$ where $L$ is a closed subgroup of $G_{2}$. Since $G_{2}$ is a simple LIE group without centre [7, p. 378], it has no proper invariant subgroup. Furthermore,

$$
\operatorname{dim} . G_{2}-\operatorname{dim} . L=\operatorname{dim} . G_{2}(x)=m>0
$$

Thus $L \neq \mathrm{G}_{2}$ and thus $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ acts effectively on $\mathrm{G}_{2}(x)$ [1,§ 18]. It follows then [10, p. 202]

$$
\begin{equation*}
14=\operatorname{dim} . G_{2} \leqslant m(m+1) / 2 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.1) and (5.2), we know that $m$ is either equal to 5 or equal to 6 .

Suppose $m=5$. Then [5, p. 465] all orbits of $G_{2}$ are 5-dimensional except for two orbits of lower dimension. Let these two exceptional orbits be $G_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\left(y_{1}, y_{2} \varepsilon S^{6}\right)$. Then $G_{2}\left(y_{i}\right)$ must be of zero dimension, for otherwise by using similar reasoning as above we can show that its dimension is either 5 or 6 . Hence $G_{2}\left(y_{i}\right)=y_{i}$. In other words, $y_{i}(i=1,2)$ is a fixed point of $G_{2}$. All the matrices of $\Gamma_{6}$ leaving $y_{1}$ unaltered form an orthogonal group $\Gamma_{5}$ of order 6. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{2} \supset \Gamma_{5} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, $\Gamma_{5}$ cannot have any proper subgroup of dimension greater than ten [5, p. 463]. Hence (5.3) is absurd. The integer $m$ cannot be equal to 5 , and therefore $m=6$. It follows then that $G_{2}(x)=S^{6}$. In other words, $S^{6}$ is really a homogeneous space of $G_{2}$. This completes the proof.
6. In a recent paper [8], the author has determined all the spaces which have non-vanishing EULER characteristic and admit transitively a classical
group (j.e., simple group of the four main classes). According as the group is an $A_{k}, B_{k}, C_{k}$, or $D_{k}$, the space is called an elementary $\chi_{A^{-}}, \chi_{B^{-}}, \chi_{C^{-}}$or $\chi_{D}$-space respectively, and we denote it by $W_{A}, W_{B}, W_{C}$ or $W_{D}$. To each $W_{A}\left(W_{B}, W_{C}, W_{D}\right)$ is associated a set $\Theta_{A}\left(\Theta_{B}, \Theta_{C}, \Theta_{D}\right)$ of integers, called category $[8, \S 9]$, given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{A}=\left\{e ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}, \\
& \Theta_{B}=\left\{e ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} ; d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{m^{\prime}} ; b\right\}, . .(6.1 B) \\
& \Theta_{C}=\left\{e ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{u} ; c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{m}\right\}, . . . \quad \text { ( } 6.1 C \text { ) } \\
& \Theta_{D}=\left\{e ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} ; d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{m}\right\} . \text {. . (6.1D) }
\end{aligned}
$$

These categories $\Theta_{A}, \Theta_{B}, \Theta_{C}, \Theta_{D}$ satisfy, respectively, the following conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e \geqslant n-1, a_{\alpha} \geqslant 2, e+\Sigma a_{\alpha}=l, . \\
& e \geqslant n, a_{\alpha} \geqslant 2 . d_{\beta} \geqslant 2, b \geqslant 0, e-n+\Sigma a_{\alpha}+\Sigma d_{\beta}=l, \quad(6.2 B) \\
& e \geqslant n, a_{\alpha} \geqslant 2, c_{\beta} \geqslant 1, e-n+\Sigma a_{\alpha}+\Sigma c_{\beta}=l, . \text {. (6.2C) } \\
& e \geqslant n, a_{\alpha} \geqslant 2, d_{\beta} \geqslant 2, e-n+\Sigma a_{\alpha}+\Sigma d_{\beta}=l \text {. . . (6.2D) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l$ denotes the rank of the group which the space admits. It has been shown that [8, §13, Corollary 6]
(6.3) Two simply-connected elementary $\chi$-spaces with the same category are homeomorphic.

Let $W_{A}, W_{B}, W_{C}$ and $W_{D}$ be simply-connected elementary $\chi$-spaces of category $\Theta_{A}, \Theta_{B}, \Theta_{C}$ and $\Theta_{D}$ respectively. Concerning their Euler characteristic $\chi$, we have the formulae

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\chi\left(W_{A}\right)=\frac{(l+1)!}{a_{1}!a_{2}!\ldots a_{n}!}  \tag{6.4}\\
\chi\left(W_{B}\right)=\frac{2^{\left(l+m^{\prime}-b-d_{1}-d_{2}-\ldots-d_{m^{\prime}}\right) l!}}{a_{1}!\ldots a_{n}!d_{1}!\ldots d_{m^{\prime}}!b!} \\
\chi\left(W_{C}\right)=\frac{2^{\left(-c_{1}-c_{2}-\ldots-c_{m}\right) l!}}{a_{1}!\ldots a_{n}!c_{1}!\ldots c_{m}!} \\
\chi\left(W_{D}\right)=\frac{2^{\left(l+m-d_{1}-d_{2}-\ldots-d_{m}-1\right)} l!}{a_{1}!\ldots a_{n}!d_{1}!\ldots d_{m}!}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

From (6.2) and (6.4), it is easy to single out those spaces whose Euler characteristic is equal to two. In fact, we have

Lemma 8. Let $W$ be a simply-connected space which has Euler characteristic equal to two and admits transitively and effectively a classical group $R$. Then $W$ is homeomorphic with a sphere of even dimension, and $R$ is the orthogonal group.

Proof. Since $R$ is a classical group and $\chi(W) \neq 0, W$ is an
 nectedness of $W$, we can easily see from (6.2) and (6.4) that $R$ must be one of the following groups

$$
A_{1}, C_{1}, C_{2}, B^{l}(l=1,2, \ldots)
$$

However, it is well-known that $A_{1}=C_{1}=B_{1}, C_{2}=B_{2}$ so that $R$ is an $B_{l}$ and $W$ is an elementary $\chi_{B}$-space. By hypothesis, $R$ acts on $W$ effectively. Hence $R$ has no centre [8, (2.1)] and hence $R$ is the group of all proper orthogonal matrices with $2 l+1$ rows and columns. Moreover, (6.4) tells us that the category $\Theta_{B}$ of the space $W=B_{l} / L$ is specified as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=b=n=0, m^{\prime}=1, d_{1}=l \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the $2 l$-dimensional sphere $S^{2 l}$ is an elementary $\chi_{B}{ }^{-}$ space $B_{l} / D_{i}$. From the very definition of category, it follows that the category of $S^{2 l}$ is also given by (6.5). On account of (6.3), W and $S^{2 l}$ are homeomorphic. The lemma is thus proved.
7. Proof of Theorem II. Let $W$ be a simply-connected manifold admitting effectively and transitively a connected compact group R, and having Euler characteristic equal to two. From Lemma 1, we know that $R$ is a connected compact simple Lie group. Lemma 4 tells us that $R$ cannot be of the classes $F_{4}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$. Hence $R$ is either the exceptional group $G_{2}$ or a classical group.

If $R$ is the group $G_{2}$, it follows from Lemma 7 that $W$ is the sixdimensional sphere. In the other alternative, Lemma 8 tells us that $W$ is a sphere of even dimension and $R$ the orthogonal group. Theorem II is therefore proved.

On account of Lemmas 5 and 7, we know that $S^{6}$ is homeomorphic with the coset space $G_{2} / A_{2}$. From this fact and the well-known homotopy sequence, it follows immediately

Let $\pi_{n}\left(G_{2}\right)$ denote the $n$th homotopy group of the exceptional group $G_{2}$. Then $\pi_{3}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is free cyclic and

$$
\pi_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)=\pi_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)=\pi_{4}\left(G_{2}\right)=0
$$

Furthermore, by using similar method as in the proof of Theorem II we can prove the following

Theorem III ${ }^{3}$ ). Let $W$ be a simply-connected manifold with Euler characteristic equal to a prime number $p>2$. If it admits transitively a compact group of transformations. Then $W$ is either a complex projective space of $2(p-1)$ dimension, or a quaternion projective space of $4(p-1)$

[^2]dimension or a 16-dimensional closed orientable manifold with Poincare polynomial
$$
1+t^{8}+t^{16}
$$

Academia Sinica.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) The present paper is the revised form of the second part of the author's Ph. D. thesis accepted by Manchester University, 1948.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ ) A group is said to have no centre if it possesses no other centre than the identity.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ ) From a recent personal correspondence, the author learnt that some of these result are known to A. Borel.

