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## The Left- and Right-Cauchy-Stieltjes Integral in the Norm Sense

We shall employ the definition of pseudo-additivity of an interval function and the theorem given by Getchell [3] which gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an integral in the norm sense.

For a specified interval function $\stackrel{(\tilde{F}}{ }(I)$ we say that $\stackrel{(\tilde{F})}{(I)}$ is pseudoadditive at a point $z$ if for $x<z<y$

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow z, y \rightarrow z} \operatorname{Lub} \mid \stackrel{(\tilde{F})}{(<x, y>)-\stackrel{(\sim)}{F}(<x, z>)-\stackrel{(\tilde{F}}{F}(<z, y>) \mid=0 . ~ . ~}
$$

An interval function will be said to be pseudo-additive on an interval $\langle a, b\rangle$ if it is pseudo-additive at each point of $\langle a, b\rangle$.

Theorem of Getchell. For a specified interval function, $\stackrel{(\sim)}{F}(I)$, for the existence of the integral $N^{(\sim)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ the following conditions are necessary and sufficient:
$\sigma^{(\sim)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exist; and $\stackrel{(\sim)}{F}(I)$ be pseudo-additive on $\langle a, b\rangle$.
Theorem 2. The condition of pseudo-additivity for $\stackrel{(-)}{F}(I)$ is equivalent to the following:

Condition $(q)$ : In each point of $(a, b)$ in which the function $g$ is discontinuous on the right the function $f$ is continuous on the left.

Proof. A calculation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\stackrel{(-)}{F}(<x, y>)-\stackrel{(-)}{F}(<x, z>)-\stackrel{(-)}{F}(<z, y>)|= \\
& =|f(x)[g(y)-g(x)]-f(x)[g(z)-g(x)]-f(z)[g(y)-g(z)]| \\
& =|g(y)-g(z)| \cdot|f(x)-f(z)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The condition of pseudo-additivity is hence equivalent to:
Condition ( $p$ ):

$$
\lim _{\substack{x \rightarrow z, x<z \\ y \rightarrow z, y>z}} \operatorname{Lub}|g(y)-g(z)| \cdot|f(z)-f(x)|=0 .
$$

We now show that condition ( $p$ ) implies condition ( $q$ ), by showing that if $(q)$ is not satisfied $(p)$ is not. Let $z$ be a point at which $\mid g(z+0)$ -$-g(z \mid>0$, and at which $f$ is not left-continuous. Then there is a sequence
of points $\left\{y_{n}\right\}, y_{n} \rightarrow z, y_{n}>z, n=1,2, \ldots$ such that $\left|g\left(y_{n}\right)-g(z)\right|>\Delta>0$, and a sequence of points $\left\{x_{n}\right\}, x_{n} \rightarrow z, x_{n}<z, n=1,2, \ldots$ such that $\left|f(z)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right|>m$. Hence
$\lim \operatorname{Lub}|g(y)-g(z)| \cdot|f(z)-f(x)|>0$, and condition $(p)$ is $x \rightarrow z, x<z$
$y \rightarrow z, y>z$
not realized.
We show that condition (q) implies condition (p). For a point of ( $a, b>$ at which $g$ is continuous on the right

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow z, y>z}(g(y)-g(z))=0 ;
$$

hence condition $(p)$ is satisfied a priori. For a point of ( $a, b>$ at which $g$ is discontinuous on the right condition $(q)$ requires

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow z, x<z}(f(x)-f(z))=0
$$

which gives immediately condition ( $p$ ).
Theorem 3. In the case of $f$ bounded, $g$ of bounded variation in $<a, b>$ for the existence of $N^{(-)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ the following conditions are necessary and sufficient:
( $a^{\prime}$ ). Same as (a) of Theorem 1.
$\left(b^{\prime}\right)$. The set of points in $(a, b>$ which are left-sided discontinuities of the function $f$ is a null set with respect to the left-side continuity function, $g_{l}$, of $g$.
(This theorem has been proved by Schaerf [8].)
Proof. In accordance with the theorem of Getchell it is sufficient to show that condition ( $b^{\prime}$ ) is equivalent to the totality of condition ( $b$ ) of theorem 1 and condition ( $q$ ) of theorem 2 . We consider separately several sets of points which together exhaust ( $a, b>$.

For points of ( $a, b>$ in which $f$ is continuous on the left conditions ( $b$ ) and ( $b^{\prime}$ ) make no assertion, and condition ( $q$ ) is trivially satisfied.

Let the set $L_{1}$ consist of points of ( $a, b>$ in which $f$ is discontinuous on the left and $g$ is discontinuous on the right. If both $(b)$ and $(q)$ hold for $L_{1}$, by ( $q$ ) $f$ is left-continuous whenever $g$ is right-discontinuous; and hence $L_{1}$ is null. This implies ( $b^{\prime}$ ). On the other hand if ( $b^{\prime}$ ) holds $L_{1}$ is a null set with respect to $g_{l}$. This requires that $L_{1}$ have no points in which $g$ is right-discontinuous. Then this also requires $L_{1}$ is null, which gives both $(b)$ and $(q)$ true for the set $L_{1}$.

Let the set $L_{2}$ consist of points of ( $a, b>$ in which $f$ is discontinuous on the left and $g$ is continuous on the right. If $z$ is a point of $L_{2}$

$$
\Delta g_{c}(z)=\Delta g_{l}(z)
$$

Hence the $g_{c}$-measure and $g_{l}$-measure of the point is the same. Then (b) is the same as ( $b^{\prime}$ ) for $L_{2}$. Condition ( $q$ ) does not concern $L_{2}$.

The sets considered exhaust the points of $(a, b>$, and thus the proof is complete.

## The Stieltjes Integral in the Pollard-Moore Sense

Theorem 4. In the case of $f$ bounded, $g$ of bounded variation in $<a, b>$ for the existence of the integral $\sigma \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ the following conditions are necessary and sufficient:
( $a^{\prime \prime}$ ). On each side of each point of $(a, b)$ and the right side of $a$ and left side of $b$ if $g$ is discontinuous the function $f$ is continuous.
$\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right)$. The points of $(a, b)$ in which $f$ is discontinuous is a null set with respect to the continuity function of $g$.

Proof. The conditions are necessary.
Firstly, it is necessary that both $\sigma^{(-)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ and $\sigma^{(+)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exist. If we let $L$ and $R$ be respectively the set of left and right-sided discontinuities of $f$ and $N$ be the set of discontinuities of $f$, it is required by ( $b$ ) of Theorem 1 that $L$ is a null set with respect to $g_{c}$ in order that $\sigma^{(-)} j_{a}^{b} f d g$ exist. A corresponding condition for the existence of $\sigma^{(+)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ gives that $R$ is a null set with respect to $g_{c}$. Hence $N$ is a null set with respect to $g_{c}$, and this is condition ( $b^{\prime \prime}$ ).

From (a) of theorem 1 and the corresponding condition for the existence of $\sigma^{(+)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ it follows immediately that at each "side" mentioned in ( $a^{\prime \prime}$ ) $f$ has a sidewise limit. If $f$ is not sidewise continuous suppose that at a point, $z$, of (e.g.) left discontinuity of $g$ (and hence of $h_{l}$ ) that $f(z-0)$ exists and is different from $f(z)$. Let $D_{\epsilon}$ be a subdivision for $\epsilon$ in the sense that whenever $D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime} \supseteq D_{\epsilon}$

$$
\left|D^{\prime} S\left[f, h_{l}\right]-D^{\prime \prime} S\left[f, h_{l}\right]\right|<\epsilon
$$

Such a $D_{\epsilon}$ is guaranteed to exist by the existence of $\sigma \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{l}$. Now let $D^{\prime}$ have all the points of $D_{\epsilon}$, the point $z$, and as its first point to the left of $z$ a point $x$ for which $|f(x)-f(z-0)|<\frac{1}{2}|f(z)-f(z-0)|$, and $\mid h_{l}(x)$ -$\left.--h_{l}(z-0)\left|<\frac{1}{2}\right| h_{l}(z)-h_{l}(z-0) \right\rvert\,$. Let the sum $D^{\prime} S_{0}\left[f, h_{l}\right]$ contain the same terms as $D \stackrel{(-1)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}\right]$ with the exception of the term $f(x) \cdot\left[h_{l}(z)-\right.$ $\left.-h_{l}(x)\right]$ which may be replaced by $f(z) \cdot\left[h_{l}(z)-h_{l}(x)\right]$. A calculation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D^{\prime} \stackrel{(-)}{S}^{\prime}\left[f, h_{l}\right]-D^{\prime} S_{0}\left[f, h_{l}\right]\right| & =|f(z)-f(x)| \cdot\left|h_{l}(z)-h_{l}(x)\right| \\
& =|f(z)-f(z-0)-(f(x)-f(z-0))| \cdot \\
& \left|h_{l}(z)-h_{l}(z-0)-\left(h_{l}(x)-h_{l}(z-0)\right)\right| \\
& \geqq \frac{1}{4}|f(z)-f(z-0)| \cdot\left|h_{l}(z)-h_{l}(z-0)\right| \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

This difference is greater than $\epsilon$ for a suitable choice of $\epsilon$. This result contradicts the definition of $D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ for such an $\epsilon$. Similar results are obtained if we suppose a point $z$ of right discontinuity of $g$ such that

$$
f(z) \neq f(z+0)
$$

The conditions are sufficient.
As in the proof of Theorem 1 we make use of the equation

$$
\sigma \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{l}+\sigma \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{r}+\sigma \int_{a}^{b} f d g_{c}=\sigma \int_{a}^{b} f d g
$$

and show that each integral on the left exists. We again assume without loss of generality that $h_{l}$ and $h_{r}$ are non-decreasing.
(A). The case of $\sigma \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{l}$.

Defining $h_{l}^{(m)}$ as in the proof of Theorem 1 we choose an $m$ so that

$$
2 M \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \stackrel{(-}{\triangle} h_{l}\left(x_{i}\right)<\epsilon / 4
$$

We can find points $\left\{y_{i}\right\}(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$ such that $y_{i}$ is between $x_{i}$ and the nearest point of the set $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ lying to the left of $x_{i}$ and such that by the left-continuity of $f$ at $x_{i}$

$$
\left|f\left(\xi_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{4 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{\Delta r}^{(-)} h_{l}^{(m)}\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

whenever $y_{i} \leqq \xi_{i} \leqq x_{i}$. Let $D_{\epsilon}$ consist of the $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ together with the points $a$ and $b$. Only the intervals $\left.\left\{<y_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle\right\}$ contribute to $D_{\epsilon} S\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]$, and by the choice of $y_{i}$ we have for $y_{i} \leqq \xi_{i} \leqq x_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{\epsilon} S\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]-\sum_{i=1}^{m} f\left(x_{i}\right){ }^{(-)} h_{l}^{(m)}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|<\epsilon / 4 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also by the choice of the $y_{i}$, for any two refinements $D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}$ of $D_{\epsilon}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid D^{\prime} S\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}-D_{\epsilon} S\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right] \mid<\epsilon / 4,\right.  \tag{2}\\
& \mid D^{\prime \prime} S\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}-D_{\epsilon} S\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right] \mid<\epsilon / 4 .\right. \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

By the choice of $m$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|D^{\prime} S\left[f, h_{l}\right]-D^{\prime} S\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]\right|<\epsilon / 4, .  \tag{4}\\
& \left|D^{\prime \prime} S\left[f, h_{l}\right]-D^{\prime \prime} S\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]\right|<\epsilon / 4,
\end{align*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} f\left(x_{i}\right) \stackrel{(-)}{\triangle} h_{l}\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f\left(x_{i}\right) \triangle^{(-)} h_{l}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|<\epsilon / 4 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2), (3), (4), and (5) we have

$$
\left|D^{\prime} S\left[f, h_{l}\right]-D^{\prime \prime} S\left[f, h_{1}\right]\right|<\epsilon
$$

which establishes that $D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ is a mode of subdivision for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ in accordance with the Pollard-Moore limit theory. From (1), (2), (4), and (6) we have the additional result

$$
\sigma \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{l}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f\left(x_{i}\right) \stackrel{(-)}{\triangle} h\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

where $x_{i}$ runs over the points of left discontinuity of $h_{l}$ (or of $g$ ).
(B). The case of $\sigma \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{r}$.

Defining $h_{r}^{(m)}$ as in the proof of theorem 1 we choose $m$ so that

$$
2 M \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \stackrel{(+)}{ }_{\triangle} h_{r}\left(x_{i}\right)<\epsilon / 4
$$

In this case we find points $\left\{y_{i}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, such that $y_{i}$ is between $x_{i}$ and the nearest point of the set $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ lying to the right of $x_{i}$ and such that by the right-continuity of $f$ at $x_{i}$

$$
\left|f\left(\xi_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{4 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \stackrel{(+)}{\triangle} h_{r}^{(m)}\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

whenever $x_{i} \leqq \xi_{i} \leqq y_{i}$. Letting $D_{\epsilon}$ consist of the $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ together with the points $a$ and $b$ the proof follows almost exactly like (a) above. We have also the additional result:

$$
\sigma \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f\left(x_{i}\right) \triangle^{(+)} h_{r}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

where $x_{i}$ runs over the points of right discontinuity of $h_{r}$ (or of $g$ ).
(C). The case of $\sigma \int_{a}^{b} f d g_{c}$.

The conditions are sufficient by a theorem of Bliss (see below) for the existence of the integral in the norm sense or $N \int_{a}^{b} f d g_{c}$. This guarantees existence in the $\sigma$-sense.

## Remark on the Ordinary Stieltjes Integral.

For the integral $N \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ based on $F\left(<t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}>\right)=f(\xi)\left[g\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-g\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right]$ ( $t^{\prime} \leqq \xi \leqq t^{\prime \prime}$ ) Getchell gives as the condition of pseudo-additivity the following:
$f(x)$ and $g(x)$ have no common point of discontinuity.
This condition and theorem 4 are seen to be in agreement (in the sense of Getchell's theorem) with the following condition given by Bliss [1] for the ordinary integral:

A necessary and sufficient condition that the norm or Riemann-Stieltjes integral $N \int_{a}^{b} f d g, g$ of bounded variation, exist is that the total variation of $g$ on the set of the discontinuities of $f$ be zero.

## The Modified Integral of Dushnik in the Pollard-Moore Sense

Theorem 5. In the case of $f$ founded, $g$ of bounded variation in $<a, b\rangle$ for the existence of the integral $\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ (the Dushnik integral in the Pollard-Moore sense) the following conditions are necessary and sufficient:
( $a^{*}$ ). On each side of each point of $(a, b)$ and the right side of a and left side of $b$ if $g$ is discontinuous the function $f$ has a sidewise limit.
$\left(b^{*}\right)$. Same as $\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right)$. (i.e. the points of $(a, b)$ in which $f$ is discontinuous is a null set with respect to the continuity function of $g$.)

Proof. The conditions are necessary.
(a*) was given by Hildebrandt [4] to be necessary and follows from the necessity of sidewise pseudo-additivity of $F^{(*)}$ as given by Getchell.

Condition ( $b^{*}$ ) is necessary.
We suppose without loss of generality that $g_{c}$ is non-decreasing in $<a, b\rangle$. In order to establish a contradiction we suppose that the set $N$ of discontinuities of $f$ in $(a, b)$ has positive outer $g_{c}$-measure, but that the integral $\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists. Then for arbitrary $\eta>0$ there is a mode $D_{\eta}$ having the property that for all $D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}$ satisfying $D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime} \supseteq D_{\eta}$ it is true that

$$
\left|D^{\prime} \stackrel{(*)}{S}[f, g]-D^{\prime \prime} S[f, g]\right|<\eta .
$$

We denote by $N_{p}$ the set of points, $x$, for which there is in every neighborhood a point $y$ such that $|f(x)-f(y)|>p$. Since $N=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_{1 / n}$ and since $0<g_{c}^{*}\{N\} \leqq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{c}^{*}\left\{N_{1 / n}\right\}$ there is a number $p>0$ for which $g_{c}^{*}\left(N_{1 / p}\right)=m_{p}>0$.

Let $D_{\eta}$ above be given by

$$
a=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{r_{\eta}}=b \text { and consider the set } \sum_{i=1}^{r_{\eta}-1}<t_{i}-\partial, t_{i}+\partial>
$$

By the uniform continuity of $g_{c}$ on $\langle a, b\rangle$ we are assured of a d such that if $x$ and $y$ satisfy $|x-y|<2 \partial$ we have $\left|g_{c}(x)-g_{c}(y)\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{2 r_{\eta}}$. Using this $\partial$ we have that the outer $g_{c}$-measure of $N_{p}^{\prime}$, by which we denote $N_{p}-\sum_{i=1}^{r_{\eta}-1}<t_{i}-\partial, t_{i}+\partial>$, is greater than $m_{p}-\epsilon / 2$. Hence by the meaning of outer measure we can cover the set $N_{p}^{\prime}$ by a finite number $n_{1}$ of nonoverlapping intervals $I_{i}^{(1)} \equiv\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle, i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}$, satisfying

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} g_{c}\left(I_{i}^{(1)}\right)>\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} g_{c}^{*}\left(I_{i}^{(i)} \cdot N_{p}^{\prime}\right)>m_{p}-\epsilon,
$$

and having no points in common with the set $\sum_{i=1}^{r_{\eta}-1}<t_{i}-\partial, t_{i}+\partial>$.
Let $\partial_{1}$ be the $\partial$ of uniform continuity for $\epsilon / 2 n_{1}$ and let us take points $x_{i}^{\prime}$ at a distance $\partial_{1}$ to the right of $x_{i}$ and also points $y_{i}^{\prime}$ at a distance $\partial_{1}$ to the left of $y_{i}$. If any interval had length less than $2 \partial_{1}$ or if $\left\langle x_{i}^{\prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ contains no points of $N_{p}$ we omit it. Let $\left\{I_{i}^{(2)}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, n_{2}$, be the new (renumbered) set of intervals $\left\langle x_{i}^{\prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle$. We have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} g_{c}\left(I_{i}^{(2)}\right)>\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} g_{c}^{*}\left(I_{i}^{(2)} \cdot N_{p}^{\prime}\right)>m_{p}-2 \epsilon .
$$

The expression on the right is greater than $m_{p} / 2=m>0$ if $\epsilon$ has been chosen less than $m_{p} / 4$. We now have the following possibilities:
(i). To the right of $x_{i}^{\prime}$ there is in $\left\langle x_{i}^{\prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ a first point $t_{i}$ of $N_{p}$, or
(ii). In $x_{i}^{\prime}$ or to the right of $x_{i}^{\prime}$ in $\left\langle x_{i}^{\prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ there is a first point of those having the property of being a limit point on the right of points of $N_{p}$.

If (i) holds take $t_{i}$ as $z_{i}$. If (ii) holds there is in ( $x_{i}^{\prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}$ ) a point $t_{i}$ of $N_{p}$. Take this $t_{i}$ as $z_{i}$.

By the nature of the points of $N_{p}$, there is near $z_{i}$ in each interval ( $x_{i}^{\prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}$ ) either a point $z_{i}^{\prime}$ or a point $z_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ satisfying
(iii). $f\left(z_{i}^{\prime}\right)-f\left(z_{i}\right)>p$, or
(iv). $f\left(z_{i}\right)-f\left(z_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)>p$.

Let the modes of subdivision $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ be formed as follows: Both $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ have all points of $D_{\epsilon}$ and the points $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ and differ only in the point taken for $\xi_{i}$ in forming the sums

$$
F^{(*)}=\Sigma f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[g\left(y_{i}\right)-g\left(x_{i}\right)\right] .
$$

If (iii) holds take $z_{i}^{\prime}$ as $\xi_{i}$ for $D^{\prime}$ and $z_{i}$ as $\xi_{i}$ for $D^{\prime \prime}$. If (iv) holds take $z_{i}$ as $\xi_{i}$ for $D^{\prime}$ and $z_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ as $\xi_{i}$ for $D^{\prime \prime}$. Then a calculation gives:

$$
D^{\prime} S[f, g]-D^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{(*)} S[f, g] \geqq p \cdot m\right.
$$

which is greater than $\eta$ if $\eta$ has been chosen to be $<p \cdot m$. Since both $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ are refinements of $D_{\eta}$, this result contradicts the definition of $D_{\eta}$.

The conditions are sufficient.
As in the proof of Theorem 1 we make use of the equation

$$
\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{r}+\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{l}+\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g_{c}=\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g
$$

and show that each integral on the left exists. We again assume without loss of generality that $h_{l}$ and $h_{r}$ are non-decreasing.
(A). The case of $\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{l}$.

Defining $h_{l}^{(m)}$ as in the proof of theorem 1 we choose an $m$ so that

$$
2 M \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \stackrel{(-)}{\triangle} h_{l}\left(x_{i}\right)<\epsilon / 4
$$

We can find points $\left\{y_{i}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, such that $y_{i}$ is between $x_{i}$ and the nearest point of the set $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ lying to the left of $x_{i}$ and such that by the existence of $f\left(x_{i}-0\right)$

$$
\left|f\left(\xi_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}-0\right)\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{4 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta h_{l}^{(m)}\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

whenever $y_{i} \leqq \xi_{i}<x_{i}$. Let $D_{\epsilon}$ consist of the $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ together with the points $a$ and $b$. Only the intervals $\left.\left\{<y_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle\right\}$ contribute to $D_{\epsilon} \stackrel{(*)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]$ and by the choice of $y_{i}$ we have for $y_{i} \leqq \xi_{i} \leqq x_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{\epsilon} \stackrel{(*)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]-\sum_{i=1}^{m} f\left(x_{i}-0\right) \stackrel{(-)}{\triangle} h_{l}^{(m)}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|<\epsilon / 4 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also by the choice of the $y_{i}$, for any two refinements $D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}$ of $D_{\epsilon}$ we have

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left|D^{\prime} \stackrel{(*)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]-D_{\epsilon} \stackrel{(*)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]\right|<\epsilon / 4, \cdots \cdots \\
\left|D^{n} \stackrel{(*)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]-D_{\epsilon} \stackrel{(*)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]\right|<\epsilon / 4 . \tag{3}
\end{array}\right) \cdot . \quad . \quad . \quad \text { (3) }\right)
$$

By the choice of $m$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|D^{\prime} \stackrel{(*)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}\right]-D^{\prime} \stackrel{(*)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]\right|<\epsilon / 4  \tag{4}\\
& \left|D^{\prime} \stackrel{(*)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}\right]-D^{n} \stackrel{(*)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}^{(m)}\right]\right|<\epsilon / 4 \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} f\left(x_{i}-0\right) \stackrel{(-1)}{\triangle} h_{l}\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f\left(x_{i}-0\right) \stackrel{(-1)}{\triangle} h_{l}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|<\epsilon / 4 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2), (3), (4), and (5) we have

$$
\left|D^{\prime} \stackrel{(*)}{S}\left[f, h_{l}\right]-D^{\prime}{ }^{\left(*_{j}\right.}\left[f, h_{l}\right]\right|<\epsilon
$$

which establishes that $D_{\epsilon}$ is a mode of subdivision for $\epsilon$ in accordance with the Pollard-Moore limit theory. From (1), (2), (4), and (6) we have the additional result

$$
\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{l}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f\left(x_{i}-0\right) \Delta^{(-)} h_{l}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

where $x_{i}$ runs over the points of left discontinuity of $h_{l}$ (or of $g$ ).
(B). The case of $\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{r}$.

Defining $h_{r}^{(m)}$ as in the proof of theorem 1 we choose $m$ so that

$$
2 M \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \stackrel{(+)}{\Delta} h_{r}^{(m)}\left(x_{i}\right)<\epsilon / 4
$$

We find points $\left\{y_{i}\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, such that $y_{i}$ is between $x_{i}$ and the nearest point of the set $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ lying to the right of $x_{i}$ and such that by the existence of $f\left(x_{i}+0\right)$

$$
\left|f\left(\xi_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}+0\right)\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{4 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \stackrel{(+)}{\Delta} h_{r}^{(m)}\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

whenever $x_{i}<\xi_{i} \leqq y_{i}$. Letting $D_{\epsilon}$ consist of the $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ together with the points $a$ and $b$ the proof follows almost exactly like $(A)$ above. We have also the additional result:

$$
\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d h_{r}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f\left(x_{i}+0\right) \stackrel{(+)}{\Delta} h_{r}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

where $x_{i}$ runs over the points of right discontinuity of $h_{r}$ (or of $g$ ).
(C). The case of $\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g_{c}$.

The conditions are sufficient by a theorem of Bliss (see p. 1124) for the
existence of the ordinary integral in the norm sense or $N \int_{a}^{b} f d g_{c}$. This of course is sufficient for the existence of $\sigma^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g_{c}$.

## The Modified Integral of Dushnik in the Norm Sense

Theorem 6. In the case of $f$ bounded, $g$ of bounded variation in $<a, b>$ for the existence of the integral $N^{(*)} \int_{a}^{b} f d g$ the following conditions are necessary, and sufficient:
( $a^{* *}$ ). In each point of the interval $(a, b)$ and the right side of $a$ and left side of $b$ either $f$ or $g$ is continuous except that both may have removable discontinuity at the same point.
( $b^{* *}$ ). Same as ( $b^{\prime \prime}$ ) and ( $b^{*}$ ).
Proof. The following was given by Getchell as the condition of pseudo-additivity:

Condition ( $r$ ). $f$ and $g$ have no points of common discontinuity in $(a, b)$ and the right side of $a$ and left side of $b$ except that both may have removable discontinuity at the same point.

Since ( $b^{* *}$ ) is the same as ( $b^{*}$ ) of theorem 5, in order to fulfill the conditions of Getchell's theorem we must show that together ( $a^{*}$ ) and ( $r$ ) are equivalent to ( $a^{* *}$ ).

We consider separately the set $N_{1}$ of points of $(a, b)$ and the right side of $a$ and left side of $b$ in which either $f$ or $g$ is continuous and the set $N_{2}$ consisting of points for which both functions are discontinuous. For $N_{1}$ the three conditions ( $a^{*}$ ), ( $a^{* *}$ ), and ( $r$ ) hold trivially.

For a point of $N_{2}$ suppose that ( $a^{*}$ ) and ( $r$ ) both hold. Then ( $a^{* *}$ ) holds since it is the same as condition ( $r$ ). On the other hand if ( $a^{* *}$ ) holds then ( $r$ ) holds, being the same. Also the sidewise limits required by ( $a^{*}$ ) are guaranteed. Hence ( $a^{*}$ ) holds for the set. This completes the proof.
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