BEIJERINCKIA, A NEW GENUS OF NITROGEN-FIXING BACTERIA OCCURRING IN TROPICAL SOILS

BY

H. G. DERX

(Treub Laboratorium, Koninklijke Plantentuin van Indonesië, Buitenzorg)

(Communicated at the meeting of Dec. 17, 1949)

Almost half a century ago these Proceedings contained a paper, written by M. W. BEIJERINCK and entitled: "On oligonitrophilous bacteria". [3]. It was in this paper that the author published his discovery of a group of mobile microbes of unusual dimensions and of a very singular aspect. He decided to give them the name of *Azotobacter*, because they were evidently capable of assimilating atmospheric nitrogen, but so peculiar was their image, that BEIJERINCK hesitated between the names *Azotobacter* and *Parachromatium* for the new microbes; the latter name was intended to indicate a relationship with the genus *Chromatium*, well known among the *Thiorhodaceae*. This idea of a relationship remained active in BEIJERINCK's mind throughout his further work on *Azotobacter* and, as will appear further, it has received an unexpected justification quite recently by the work of WILSON. [17].

It is well nigh superfluous to mention that BEIJERINCK's important discovery has particularly stimulated research on microbial nitrogenfixation all the world over.

Yet, research on the microbes, bringing about fixation of atmospheric nitrogen in the tropics, has been rather inadequate for more than one reason; especially in Indonesia. Moreover, the results obtained in this country have been rather conflicting.

In papers, dating from 5 to 8 years after BEIJERINCK's discovery of *Azotobacter*, one of his pupils, DE KRUYFF, concluded from investigations of Javanese soils that *Azotobacter chroococcum*, is very rare in tropical countries". [9]. The author mentions the presence, however, of several nitrogen-fixing bacteria, among which *Bacterium Krakataui* [8] and *Micrococcus* No. 1 [9]. These organisms have been described very superficially, but it is stated that they do not produce the well known wrinkled *Azotobacter*-pellicle on BEIJERINCK's classical mannitol-medium. This medium gradually turns into a thick, viscous mass; a striking phenomenon, also shown by the organisms, which form the object of the present paper. Of course, exact identification of DE KRUYFF's organisms is not possible with his scanty data.

Some years later the scarcity of *Azotobacter* in Javanese- and other soils was contested by GROENEWEGE [5], who was able to show the presence of typical *Azotobacter* in several kinds of soil from Java and also from Hawai. Since then, Azotobacter has been found to be present in arable soils of suitable p_H , i.e. 6 or more, almost everywhere. Soildeficiencies of some kind (for which see e.g. VAN NIEL [12]) may well inactivate Azotobacter in the soil and even prevent its development in BEIJERINCK'S mannitol-medium, but their presence in these (rare) cases can as a rule be demonstrated by the addition of the necessary traceelements to the medium mentioned.

BEIJERINCK's medium, viz. a solution made up of tap water, mannitol 2 % and K_2HPO_4 0.02 %, in thin layers, has been almost exclusively used in *Azotobacter*-studies, sometimes with some minor modifications (e.g. ASHBY's medium), until 1932. In that year WINOGRADSKY [18] published a new and very efficacious procedure for the isolation of nitrogen-fixing organisms, which consists in the distribution of a small quantity of sieved soil-particles on the surface of a nutrient silica gel, or purified agar-plate. As will presently be seen, this method has led to an important deepening of our knowledge of nitrogen-fixing organisms.

Using sodium benzoate 0.5 % as a source of carbon, as proposed by WINOGRADSKY, some tentative experiments were made with the view of demonstrating the presence of *Azotobacter* in samples of arable soil from the Royal Botanic Garden in Buitenzorg. Without exception, typical *Azotobacter chroococcum* could be detected in such soils within a couple of days and several strains were isolated, differing in production and intensity of the well known black colour. An aberrant strain, not darkening on staying and producing a green fluorescent substance, soluble in the medium, was also isolated and provisionally labelled *Azotobacter vinelandii*.

Quite negative results, however, were obtained at the examination of forest-soils, these being either humus-rich soils from woody parts of the Buitenzorg garden (250 m. above sea-level), or soil from the virgin-forest in the neighbourhood of the Tjibodas mountain-garden (1400 m. above sea-level). The reaction of these soils was slightly acid (p_{II} 4.5-5.5) and, as could be anticipated, *Azotobacter* failed completely in these samples. This makes it probable that the principal reason of DE KRUYFF's failure to demonstrate *Azotobacter* in Javanese soil was an unfortunate choice of his soil-samples with regard to the p_{II} . It should be considered indeed, that the notion of p_{H} and the knowledge of its great influence on microbial life were still embryonic in the years 1906-1909, when the pioneer work of Sörensen, MICHAELIS and CLARK was only just making a start (1909-1914-1915)!

However, when the benzoate-agar plates sprinkled with forest-soil, were re-examined after two or three weeks standing, very remarkable colonies had made their appearance. They are almost porcelain-white and rise high above the surface of the agar (some of them are almost spherical) and consist of microbes embedded in a tough elastic matter. Microscopic examination reveals the extremely uncommon appearance of the microbes themselves: at first sight they resemble strongly refractive diplococci of about $1.5 \ \mu$ in diameter, *lying some distance* $(0.3-0.7 \ \mu)$ apart. Closer examination shows these "cocci" to be united in pairs by an enveloping membrane, which often appears to be more or less constricted between them. The "cocci" are coloured yellow-brown by LUGOL's iodine-solution; methylene-blue and gentian-violet leave them colourless, but the intermediate space between two "cocci" is strongly stained; at the same time, a well defined capsular slime-mass is revealed in some strains.

Of course, it soon became clear that the organisms are in reality straight, or slightly curved, rods of about 3 μ by 1–1.5 μ , containing two polar globular bodies of lipoid character, which occupy the total breadth of the microbe and eventually cause a distinct local dilatation of the cell wall. The lipoid bodies are mostly of equal, but sometimes of different dimensions and may in older cultures become so voluminous as to completely distend the bacterial cells into large, misshapen and branched bodies.

The description has been given in such detail to make it clear that, though working on aerobic nitrogen-fixing organisms and in particular with *Azotobacter*, in no stage of the experiments with these newly unveiled organisms the slightest thought occurred to me that they might be a particular species of *Azotobacter*, or even an organism in some way related to this genus. In fact, I was quite puzzled!

I was aware, it is true, of the work of ALTSON [1] who, in 1936, had isolated "Azotobacter" from acid Malayan soils - a quarzite soil of $p_{\rm H}$ 4.5 and an alluvial soil of $p_{\rm H}$ 4.6 – by means of the same sieved-soil distribution method of WINOGRADSKY (on silica-gel-mannitol plates). But ALTSON states emphatically - and without giving further particulars on the morphology of his microbes - that ,,he studied the morphology of the organism during a period of about eighteen months" (l.c. p. 274) and that , microscopic examination showed that this (gelatinous growth) consisted of typical cells of Azotobacter" (l.c. p. 269). For this reason, ALTSON'S paper was not given much attention at first. Yet, the manner in which this author found his organism, as well as its cultural and physiological behaviour, agree so closely with the corresponding properties of the organisms found in Buitenzorg-soils, that I am now quite convinced of the identity, or at least the very close parentage, of his - unnamed! - microbes and the organisms found here. Notwithstanding the fact that the latter most decidedly do not consist of typical Azotobacter-cells!

It will not be difficult now to imagine my astonishment in finding in the 6th edition of BERGEY's manual, which had just reached me, the description of Azotobacter indicum STARKEY and DE; a description fitting in all details the organisms, which I had isolated and which have been just described. STARKEY and DE's original publication [16], containing two good microphotographic images and a subculture of the original strain of their *Azotobacter indicum* (kindly put at our disposal by KLUYVER from the collection at the Laboratory of Microbiology at the Technical University of Delft) sufficed to remove any doubt as to the identity of some of the Buitenzorg strains with *Azotobacter indicum* STARKEY and DE.

However, I am unable to understand, how ALTSON (whose earlier work is not mentioned by STARKEY and DE) as well as STARKEY and DE could include the organism in the genus *Azotobacter*, a genus showing such distinctive morphological and cultural characters. Neither has HOFER [6] in his investigation on the type of flagellation of several *Azotobacter* species, *among which Azotobacter indicum*, expressed any doubt on the systematic position of the latter organism.

Azotobacter indicum and its congeners are indeed different from the known Azotobacters in almost every respect: morphologically (small, single rods with hyaline protoplasm containing two (or sometimes three, in "buckled" rods) polar, strongly refractive lipoid-spherules; versus stout, short, mostly doublerods with distinctly differentiated (granulated) protoplasm in Azotobacter sp.); culturally (steadily increasing viscosity of the culture-solution by formation of a ropy to elastic slime; versus formation of a gradually thickening supernatant pellicle in Azotobacter-cultures) and, above all, physiologically (development in nutrient media with $p_{\rm H}$ 3.5–9, gradual formation of acid, which lowers the medium's initial $p_{\rm H}$ to about 3.5, versus growth only in media of $p_{\rm H} > 6$ and no acid formation during growth of Azotobacter). These distinctive characters were, curiously enough, known to STARKEY and DE; they were established by these authors and could be confirmed on all points by our investigations.

In fact, the only feature these organisms have in common with typical *Azotobacter*, is their capacity of nitrogen-fixation under aerobic conditions!

It is therefore not surprising to find that the justness of incorporating *Azotobacter indicum* in the genus *Azotobacter* has already been called in question before.

After STARKEY's lecture on Azotobacter indicum, at the meeting held at New Brunswick, N.J. by the Third Commission of the International Society of Soil Science (Session of August 31, 1939), BURK put the following questions: "I would not ask if this organism just described is Azotobacter, but I would ask you, Dr STARKEY, this: "Is there any doubt in your mind that it is Azotobacter?" and "So I would ask, does any doubt lurk in your mind that this may not be Azotobacter?" [15].

From STARKEY's answer it becomes clear that it is "above all the capacity of using molecular nitrogen in the absence of available fixed nitrogen" (together with strict aerobiosis, non-sporulation, motility, etc.), which induced him to range the new organism under *Azotobacter*. Presumably ALTSON too has been primarily led by the same — or similar — arguments.

In matter of nitrogen-fixation, even with the restriction to aerobic nitrogen-fixation, it does not seem either advisable or justified to incorporate all known free living, aerobic, nitrogen-fixing organisms in one genus *Azotobacter*. Not only should such a physiological genus in that case also contain certain *Nostocaceae*, but the capacity of nitrogen-fixation has been shown in the past to be incident to several "common" microbes, belonging to very different classes and genera, such as *Bacterium lactis* viscosum, Bact. radiobacter, Bact. prodigiosum, Bact. pyocyaneum, Bacillus danicus, Bac. malabarensis etc. Nitrogen-fixation has even been claimed for some Saccharomycetes, such as Torula bogoriensis rubra DE KRUYFF [10], Torula Wiesneri ZIKES [19] and perhaps still other ones!

It is true that these assumptions have been regarded with some mistrust, but quite recently GEST and KAMEN have discovered an active nitrogenase-system in *Rhodospirillum rubrum*, and WILSON [17] very recently has succeeded in demonstrating nitrogen-fixation by all the species investigated of *Athiorhodaceae* and *Thiorhodaceae*; organisms not suspected hitherto of this faculty. This disclosure, by the way, involuntarily calls to mind BEIJERINCK's postulate of the parentage of *Azotobacter* and *Chromatium*!

But there are still other reasons, which induce to consider physiological genera with some circumspection:

Numerous are the instances encountered in the course of microbiological research, in which potencies, which are not essential to the perpetuation of the species, may not only become latent under certain conditions, but may actually be lost and vanish irreversibly. This may occur either by processes of adaptive selection within a population or by processes of — or equivalent to — mutation, spontaneous or induced, e.g., by radiation.

With special regard to Azotobacter e.g., it has been recognized that the development of these microbes is not essentially dependent on the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen. This ability is not a conditio sine qua non for their multiplication and dispersion; they may thrive on bound inorganic nitrogen compounds as well. Consequently the nitrogenase-system can be dispensed with, without endangering the survival of the species, and it has been found indeed that Azotobacter-strains maintained in pure culture — i.e. under conditions artificial and very dissimilar to those prevailing in Nature — may ultimately lose the ability to assimilate free nitrogen (SCHRÖDER [13]).

On the other hand it seems not contrary to reason to presume that Azotobacter-clones, which are not — or no longer — able to fix atmospheric nitrogen might very well occur in nature; yet, as long as we only have at our disposal a method for the accumulation of Azotobacter, which is based on an elective action with respect to the ability of development in the absence of nitrogen, it is obvious that only those individuals will grow, multiply and finally appear, which are in possession of an active

nitrogenase. Nevertheless the presumed nitrogenase-less Azotobacter-forms should have just as much right to the generic name Azotobacter as their confrères, which have definitely lost the nitrogenase in the course of their seclusion in culture tubes, stuffed with artificial food.

A final remark on the question of physiological genera: Certainly it would be imprudent to generalize and to assert that the fixation of free nitrogen is a distinctive character of the genus *Nostoc*, but it would be absurd to split up this genus into two genera, one for the *Nostoc*-species, able to fix nitrogen and another for the *Nostoc*-species, which lack this ability.

Without wishing, at the present stage of the investigations, to put forward more than the merest suggestion toward a working-hypothesis, I should like to indicate some rather striking points of resemblance of *Azotobacter indicum* c.s. to species of the genus *Rhizobium* FRANK.

Members of this genus (especially from the *Medicago-Melilotus*-group) also show a decided tendency to acid formation in the course of their development and often produce an abundant slimy — and occasionally viscous — gum. Morphologically the rods of *Azotobacter indicum* c.s., often irregular and buckled, are reminding of the bacteroids of some Rhizobia, in which polar lipoid-bodies, equally coloured yellow-brown by dilute iodine-solution, are common (see e.g. the original drawings of BEIJERINCK [2] of the nodule-bacterium of *Vicia Faba*).

On the other hand, of course, the Rhizobia-bacteroids show no motility as is manifestated by Azotobacter indicum; they do not multiply as such, nor do they fix atmospheric nitrogen in vitro. It should, however, be kept in mind that the properties of *Rhizobium* sp. may have been profoundly modified by — and adapted to — their symbiotic mode of life. As well as parasitism, symbiosis may be the cause of the loss of several qualities originally present!

However this may be, in view of the very peculiar morphology of Azotobacter indicum and the related species, which have been found in tropical soils during these investigations, it appears quite justified to create a new genus for these organisms. It seems only natural to the present author to give this genus the name of BEIJERINCKIA, in honour of one of the great pioniers in the field of general bacteriology, M. W. BEIJERINCK (16 March 1851-1 Jan. 1931) who, on New-Year's eve 1900, for the first time used the name Azotobacter for the aerobic nitrogenfixing organisms he discovered.

The new genus may be defined as follows:

BEIJERINCKIA nov. gen.

Straight, or slightly curved, or irregular, locally swollen to buckled, rods, characterized by the presence, at the extremities, of highly refractive spherical bodies, presumably consisting of lipoids. No endospores. Motility present, at least in certain stages of the development. Flagellation peritrichous (HOFER, J. of Bact. 48, 697-701 (1944)).

Decidedly aerobic; developing in media with $p_{\rm H}$ 3.5–9 and fixing atmospheric nitrogen in the absence of nitrogen compounds. Growth is accompanied by the formation of acid and, in some species, by the formation of large amounts of a tough to elastic slime. No surface pellicle is formed on liquid media. No development on peptone-broth-agar. Gram negative.

Found in soils of tropical countries.

Species typica: *Beijerinckia indica* (STARKEY et DE) Derx comb. nov.; syn. *Azotobacter indicum* STARKEY et DE, Soil Sci. 47, 329-343 (1939), Science 89, 267 (1939); *Azotobacter sp.* ALTSON Journ. of Agric. Sc. 26, 268-280 (1936).

Distinctively characterized by the formation of leavan from saccharose and by the formation of a rusty-red to fulvous colour on media kept neutral, e.g. by the addition of $CaCO_3$.

In a separate paper some other species and forms of *Beijerinckia* will be described.

I take the occasion to thank Miss. E. J. KLERKX for the accurate execution of most of the experimental work concerned.

REFERENCES

- ALTSON, R. A., Studies on Azotobacter in Malayan soils. Journ. of Agr. Sc. 26, 268-280 (1936).
- BEIJERINCK, M. W., Die Bacterien der Papilionaceen-Knöllchen. Bot. Ztg. 46, 725-735; 741-750; 757-771; 781-790; 797-804. plate, fig. 9 (1888).
- [3] ——, On oligonitrophilous bacteria. Proc. Kon. Akad. v. Wetensch. Amsterdam, 3, 586-595 (1901).
- [4] CLARK, W. M., The "reaction" of bacteriologic culture media. J. Infect. Dis. 17, 109 (1915).
- [5] GROENEWEGE, J., Over het voorkomen van Azotobacter in tropische gronden. Archief voor de Suikerindustrie in Ned. Indië 21, 790-793 (1913).
- [6] HOFER, A. W., Flagellation of Azotobacter. J. of Bact. 48, 697-701 (1944).
- [7] KAMEN, M. D. and GEST, Evidence for a nitrogenase system in the photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodospirillum rubrum*. Science 109, 560 (1949).
- [8] KRUYFF, E. DE, Sur une bactérie aérobe, fixant l'azote libre de l'atmosphère: Bacterium Krakataui. Bull. Dept. Agr. Indes Néerl. IV, 9-13 (1906).
- [9] ——, Quelques remarques sur des bactéries aérobes, fixant l'azote libre de l'atmosphère, dans les Tropiques. Bull. Dept. Agr. Indes Néerl. XXX, 18-21 (1909).
- [10] -----, Torula Bogoriensis rubra sp. n. Ann. Jard. Bot. 3^{ième} supplément, l^e partie, 93-96 (1910).
- [11] MICHAELIS, L., Die Wasserstoffionen-Konzentration. Berlin, 1914.
- [12] NIEL, C. B. VAN, A note on the apparent absence of Azotobacter in soils. Arch. Mikrobiol. 6, 219 (1935).
- [13] SCHRÖDER, MATHILDE, Die Assimilation des Luftstickstoffs durch einige Bakterien. Zentralbl. f. Bakt. II Abt. 85, 177-212 (1932).

- [14] SÖRENSEN, S. P. L., Études enzymatiques II. Sur la mesure et l'importance de la concentration des ions hydrogène dans les réactions enzymatiques. C. R. LAB. CARLSBERG 8, 1 (1909).
- [15] STARKEY, R. L., The influence of reaction upon the development of an acid-tolerant Azotobacter. Proceedings of the 3d commission of the International Society of Soil Science. Vol A, 142-150; vol B, 35-36. New Brunswick, N. J. (1939).
- [16] and P. K. DE, A new species of Azotobacter. Soil Science 47, 329-343 (1939) with 2 plates.
- [17] WILSON, J. K., Not yet published.
- [18] WINOGRADSKY, S., Étude sur la microbiologie du sol. V. Analyse microbiologique du sol, principes d'une nouvelle méthode. Ann. de l'Inst. Pasteur 48, 89-134 (1932).
- [19] ZIKES, H., Über eine den Luftstickstoff assimilierende Hefe: Torula Wiesneri. Sitz. ber. der Math-Naturw. Klasse der Kais. Akad. d. Wissensch. Wien, 118, 1091-1133 (1909).