

# MATHEMATICS

## THE ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF THE CONFLUENT HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION $M_{\omega/2, 0}(2\omega)$

BY

H. A. LAUWERIER

(Communicated by Prof. J. G. VAN DER CORPUT at the meeting of December 17, 1949)

§ 1. Elsewhere <sup>1)</sup> I have found that  $M_{\omega/2, 0}(2\omega)$  is for large positive  $\omega$  asymptotic equal to

$$2^{1/2} 3^{-1/2} \pi^{-1} \omega^{1/2} \{ \Gamma(1/3) \cos(\omega/2 - 1/6) \pi \sum_0 a_p \omega^{-2p} + \\ + 2 \cdot 3^{1/2} \Gamma(2/3) \cos(\omega/2 + 1/6) \sum_0 b_p \omega^{-2p-1/3} \}.$$

Here

$$a_0 = 1 ; b_0 = -11/280 ; a_1 = -13/900 ; b_1 = 4/7 + 1/9 + 2/13 - 7/32 - 377/625.$$

The object of this paper is to deduce a numerical upper bound of the remainder in this formula.

§ 2. We start with the fundamental formula

$$M_{\omega/2, 0}(2\omega) = (2\omega)^{1/2} 1/2\pi i \int_{-\infty}^{(1+)} e^{\omega z} (z-1/z+1)^{\omega/2} (z^2-1)^{-1/2} dz.$$

We put  $\arg(z-1) = 0$  and  $\arg(z+1) = 0$  for  $z > 1$ . We may write

$$(2\omega)^{-1/2} M_{\omega/2, 0}(2\omega) = -1/\pi \operatorname{Re} \{ \exp(\omega\pi i/2) \int_a^{-\infty} e^{\omega\varphi(z)} (1-z^2)^{-1/2} dz \}.$$

Here  $a$  denotes an arbitrary number  $> 1$  and the path of integration lies above the real axis;  $\varphi(z) = z + 1/2 \ln |1-z|/1+z$ .

According to the method of steepest descent we define the path of integration by  $\operatorname{Im} \varphi(z) = 0$ , thus if  $z = x + iy$ ,

$$\begin{cases} x^2 = 2y \cotg 2y + 1 - y^2 & 0 < y < \pi/2 \\ y = 0 & 0 < x < 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the contour consists of a segment  $S$  of the real axis from  $x = 1$  to  $x = 0$  and moreover of a curve  $L$  in the second quadrant with asymptote  $y = \pi/2$  and with the tangent  $y = x \operatorname{tg} 2\pi/3$  at the origin. The

<sup>1)</sup> The use of confluent hypergeometric functions in mathematical physics and the solution of an eigenvalue problem. Appl. Sci. Res. (A) 1950.

function  $\varphi(z)$  increases on  $S$  monotonously from  $-\infty$  to 0 and decreases on  $L$  monotonously from 0 to  $-\infty$ .

By the transformation  $\zeta = \{-\varphi(z)\}^{1/2}$ , where  $\arg \zeta = 0$  for  $z$  on  $S$  and  $\arg \zeta = 2\pi/3$  for  $z$  on  $L$ , we find for  $\pi(2\omega)^{-1/2} M_{\omega/2,0}(2\omega)$  the expression

$$Re e^{\omega\pi i/2} \left\{ \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\zeta^3} \frac{d\zeta}{d\zeta/dz(1-z^2)^{1/2}} - \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\zeta^3} \frac{d\zeta}{d\zeta/dz(1-z^2)^{1/2}} \right\}.$$

By applying BURMANN'S theorem we obtain

$$\pi(2\omega)^{-1/2} M_{\omega/2,0}(2\omega) = Re e^{\omega\pi i/2} \left\{ \sum_0^{n-1} m_k (A_k - B_k) + (C_n - D_n) \right\},$$

where

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} A_k = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\zeta^3} \zeta^k d\zeta = \frac{1}{3} \Gamma(k+1/3) \omega^{-(k+1/3)}; \\ B_k = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\zeta^3} \zeta^k d\zeta = \frac{1}{3} \Gamma(k+1/3) \omega^{-(k+1/3)\pi i} e^{(2k+2/3)\pi i}; \\ m_k = 1/2\pi i \int_{(0^+)}^{(0^-)} (1-t^2)^{-1/2} (-t-1/2 \ln |1-t|/1+t)^{-(k+1/3)} dt; \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} C_n = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\zeta^3} \zeta^n R_n(\zeta) d\zeta; & D_n = \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\zeta^3} \zeta^n R_n(\zeta) d\zeta; \\ R_n(\zeta) = 1/2\pi i \int \frac{dt}{(1-t^2)^{1/2} (-t-1/2 \ln |1-t|/1+t)^{n/3} \{(-t-1/2 \ln |1-t|/1+t)^{1/3} - \zeta\}}; \end{cases}$$

The contour encloses all the values of  $t$  for which  $(-t-1/2 \ln |1-t|/1+t)^{1/3} = \zeta$ . It is easily seen that  $m_k = 0$  for  $k$  odd so that in virtue of the vanishing of the factor  $\sin(k+1/3)\pi$  for  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  we find

$$M_{\omega/2,0}(2\omega) = \frac{2^{1/2} \omega^{1/6} \cos(\omega/2 - 1/6)\pi}{3^{1/2}\pi} \sum_0^{[n-1/6]} m_{6p} \Gamma(2p+1/3) \omega^{-2p} + \\ + \frac{2^{1/2} \omega^{-1/6} \cos(\omega/2 + 1/6)\pi}{3^{1/2}\pi} \sum_0^{[n-5/6]} m_{6p+4} \Gamma(2p+1+2/3) \omega^{-2p-1} + U_n,$$

where the remainder  $U_n$  is equal to

$$(3) \quad U_n = (2\omega)^{1/2}/\pi \{C_n \cos(\omega\pi/2) - Re(D_n e^{\omega\pi i/2})\}.$$

In the paper mentioned in note <sup>1)</sup>, in which I have derived the same asymptotic expansion, I have found

$$m_0 = 3^{1/3}; \quad m_4 = -11 \cdot 3^{5/3}/280; \quad m_6 = -13 \cdot 3^{1/3}/400; \\ m_{10} = (4/7 + 1/9 + 2/13 - 7/32 - 377/625) 3^{11/3}/40.$$

§ 3. In this section I deduce for  $k$  even an upper bound for the absolute value of  $m_k$ , viz.

$$(4) \quad |m_k| < s(2/\pi)^{\frac{k+4}{3}} \left\{ 0.64 + \frac{3 \cdot 2^{-\frac{k+1}{6}}}{k+1} \right\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s &= 1 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{6} \\ s &= 2 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{6}. \end{aligned}$$

In (2) I choose as path of integration two contours resp. around  $+1$  and  $-1$  and beginning and also ending resp. at  $+\infty$  and  $-\infty$ . In this manner I obtain

$$m_k = (1/\pi) \operatorname{Re} (\exp (k+1/3) \pi i - 1) \int_1^\infty (t^2-1)^{-1/2} \left( \theta + \frac{\pi i}{2} \right)^{-(k+1/3)} dt,$$

where  $\theta = t + 1/2 \ln t - 1/t + 1$ , and therefore we obtain

$$|m_k| \leq s/\pi \int_1^\infty (t^2-1)^{-1/2} \left( \theta^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{4} \right)^{-(k+1/6)} dt.$$

By using

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^2 + (\pi^2/4) &\geq (\pi^2/4) & \text{for } 1 < t < a \\ \theta^2 + (\pi^2/4) &\geq (t-b)^2 + (\pi^2/4) & \text{for } t > a, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a$  is an arbitrary number  $> 5/4$  and  $b = 1/2 \ln a - 1/a + 1$ , we find

$$(5) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} |m_k| &< s/\pi (2/\pi)^{k+1/3} \{ \ln (a + \sqrt{a^2-1}) + \\ &+ \int_c^\infty (u^2+1)^{-(k+1/6)} \{ u^2 + (4/\pi) bu - (4/\pi^2) (b^2-1) \}^{-1/2} du \}, \end{aligned} \right.$$

where

$$c = 2/\pi (a-b) = 2/\pi (a - 1/2 \ln a + 1/a - 1).$$

Here

$$u^2 + (4/\pi) bu - 4/\pi^2 (b^2-1) > u^2.$$

In fact we have to prove the inequality

$$2b(a-b) > b^2 - 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$3b^2 - 2ab - 1 < 0,$$

that is

$$b < \frac{a + \sqrt{a^2+3}}{3};$$

this inequality follows from

$$a + \sqrt{a^2+3} - 3/2 \ln (a + 1/a - 1) > 0$$

which is obvious since the left hand side represents a monotonously increasing function which is positive at  $a = 5/4$ .

Consequently the integral occurring in (5) is less than

$$\begin{aligned} \int_c^\infty u^{-1} (u^2 + 1)^{-(k+1/6)} du &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{1+c^2}^\infty v^{-(k+7/6)} / 1 - (1/v) dv = \frac{1}{2} \sum_0^\infty \int_{1+c^2}^\infty v^{-(k+7/6)+j} dv \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+c^2)^{(k+7/6)+j} ((k+1/6)+j)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{3}{k+1} \sum_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+c^2)^{(k+1/6)+j}} = \frac{3}{(k+1) c^2 (1+c^2)^{k-5/6}}. \end{aligned}$$

We define  $a$  by the equation  $c=1$ . In that case  $a < 1.94$  and  $\ln(a + \sqrt{a^2 - 1}) < 1.28$ . This establishes the proof of formula (4).

§ 4. The object of the following sections is to deduce an upper bound for the expression  $R_n(\zeta)$  occurring in (2). We choose the same path of integration as in the preceding section, namely two contours resp. around  $+1$  and  $-1$ , and beginning and also ending resp. at  $+\infty$  and  $-\infty$ . Thus we find for  $2\pi R_n(\zeta)$  the sum

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_1^\infty \frac{\exp(n+1/3) dt}{(t^2-1)^{1/2} (\theta + (\pi i/2))^{n/3} \{(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta e^{\pi i/3}\}} + \int_1^\infty \frac{\exp-(n+1/3) \pi i dt}{(t^2-1)^{1/2} (\theta - (\pi i/2))^{n/3} \{(\theta - (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta e^{\pi i/3}\}} \\ &- \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{(t^2-1)^{1/2} (\theta + (\pi i/2))^{n/3} \{(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta\}} - \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{(t^2-1)^{1/2} (\theta - (\pi i/2))^{n/3} \{(\theta - (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta\}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta = t + \frac{1}{2} \ln(t-1/t+1)$ .

This is in absolute value at most

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(t^2-1)^{1/2} |\theta + (\pi i/2)|^{n/3}} \left| \frac{\exp(n+1/3) \pi i}{(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta e^{\pi i/3}} - \frac{1}{(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta} \right| dt + \\ &+ \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(t^2-1)^{1/2} |\theta - (\pi i/2)|^{n/3}} \left| \frac{\exp-(n+1/3) \pi i}{(\theta - (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta e^{-\pi i/3}} - \frac{1}{(\theta - (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta} \right| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(6) \quad |R_n(\zeta)| \leq (1/\pi) \int_1^\infty \frac{T dt}{(t^2-1)^{1/2} (\theta^2 + (\pi^2/4))^{n/6} |(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta e^{\pi i/3}| |(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta|},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T &= (\theta^2 + (\pi^2/4))^{1/2} + 3^{1/2} |\zeta| \quad \text{if } n \equiv 4 \pmod{6} \\ T &= (\theta^2 + (\pi^2/4))^{1/2} \quad \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider only values of  $n$  which are either  $\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  or  $\equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ .

§ 5. In this section I shall prove the inequality

$$(7) \quad |(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta e^{\pi i/3}| |(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta| \geq \frac{(\pi/2)^{1/2}}{1 + h_1 \zeta} \text{ if } \arg \zeta = 0,$$

where

$$h_1 = (2 + \sqrt{3}) (2/\pi)^{1/2} = 3.2105;$$

and

$$(8) \quad |(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta e^{\pi i/3}| |(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta| \geq |\theta + (\pi i/2)|^{1/2} \text{ if } \arg \zeta = (2\pi/3).$$

For  $t \geq 1$  the function  $\theta$  runs through all real values, so that the point  $(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} = X + i Y$  lies on the curve  $F$  defined by

$$\operatorname{Im} (X + i Y)^3 = \pi/2 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad 3 X^2 Y - Y^3 = \pi/2.$$

This curve has the symmetry axis  $Y = X 3^{-1/2}$  and two asymptotes  $Y = 0$  and  $Y = X 3^{1/2}$ . Now we distinguish two cases.

1. Put  $\arg \zeta = 0$ . Choosing a new rectangular coordinate system the  $x$ -axis of which coincides with the symmetry axis of  $F$ , we find for the equation of  $F$

$$x^3 - 3 xy^2 = \pi/2$$

that is

$$x = (\pi/2)^{1/2} \lambda \quad y = 3^{-1/2} (\pi/2)^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/2} (\lambda^3 - 1)^{1/2}.$$

With respect to this system the coordinates of the point  $\zeta e^{\pi i/3}$  are  $(1/2 \zeta \sqrt{3}, 1/2 \zeta)$  and those of  $\zeta$  are  $(1/2 \zeta \sqrt{3}, -1/2 \zeta)$ . By putting  $\zeta = 2 \cdot 3^{-1/2} (\pi/2)^{1/2} \mu$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta e^{\pi i/3}|^2 |(\theta + (\pi i/2))^{1/2} - \zeta|^2 = \\ & = \frac{(\pi/2)^{1/2}}{9 \lambda^2} \{ 9 \lambda^2 (\lambda - \mu)^4 + 6 \lambda (\lambda - \mu)^2 (\lambda^3 + \lambda \mu^2 - 1) + (\lambda^3 - \lambda \mu^2 - 1)^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

The substitution

$$v = 4 \lambda^2 (\lambda - \mu) \quad w = 4 \lambda (\lambda - \mu)^2$$

transforms the right hand side into

$$\frac{(\pi/2)^{1/2}}{9 \lambda^2} (v^2 - vw + w^2 - v - w + 1).$$

In virtue of  $\lambda \geq 1$  and  $\mu \geq 0$  we obtain  $v^2 \geq 4 w \geq 0$  and  $v(v-w) \geq 0$ .

In the next section we shall prove that these inequalities imply

$$(9) \quad v^2 - vw + w^2 - v - w + 1 \geq \frac{9 v^2}{\{f(v-w) + (4 vw)^{1/2}\}^2},$$

where  $f = 2 + 4 \cdot 3^{-1/2} = 4.309$ .

Hence the left hand side of (7) is at most equal to  $(\pi/2)^{1/2} (1 + h_1 \zeta)^{-1}$  where  $h_1$  has the above indicated value.

2. Put  $\arg \zeta = 2\pi/3$ . The square of the left hand side of (8) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (X^2 + Y^2 + 2|\zeta|X + |\zeta|^2)(X^2 + Y^2 + |\zeta|X - |\zeta|Y\sqrt{3} + |\zeta|^2) = \\ & = (X^2 + Y^2)^2 + |\zeta|(X^2 + Y^2 + |\zeta|^2)(X\sqrt{3} - Y)\sqrt{3} + \\ & + 2|\zeta|^2(2X^2 - XY\sqrt{3} + Y^2) + |\zeta|^4 \geq (X^2 + Y^2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

since each point of  $F$  satisfies the inequality  $Y \leq X\sqrt{3}$ . This proves inequality (8).

§ 6. In this section the inequality (9) will be proved. We distinguish four cases, namely

1.  $v \leq 0$  ; 2.  $v \geq 0$  ,  $w \geq 4$  ; 3.  $v \geq 0$  ,  $0 \leq w \leq (2 - \sqrt{3})^2$
4.  $v \geq 0$  ,  $(2 - \sqrt{3})^2 \leq w \leq 4$ .
1. The left hand side of (9) is  $\geq 3/4$  and the right hand side of (9) is  $\leq 9/16$ .
2. Writing  $v = w + \delta$  ,  $\delta \geq 0$  we have

$$(v^2 - vw + w^2 - v - w + 1)^{1/2} = \{(w - 1)^2 + \delta(w - 1) + \delta^2\}^{1/2} \geq w - 1 ;$$

$$\frac{3v}{f(v - w) + (4vw)^{1/2}} \leq \frac{3(w + \delta)}{f\delta + (4w^2)^{1/2}} \leq \frac{3w^{1/2}}{2^{1/2}}$$

(9) follows from

$$w - 1 \geq 3 \cdot 2^{-1/2} w^{1/2},$$

which is obvious.

3. For  $0 \leq w \leq (2 - \sqrt{3})^2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (v^2 - vw + w^2 - v - w + 1)^{1/2} &= \{(v - 1/2 w - 1/2)^2 + 3/4(1 - w)^2\}^{1/2} \geq \\ &\geq (\sqrt{3}/2)(1 - w) \geq 3(2 - \sqrt{3}); \end{aligned}$$

and, writing  $v = 2\varepsilon w^{1/2}$  ,  $\varepsilon \geq 1$

$$\frac{3v}{f(v - w) + (4vw)^{1/2}} = \frac{6\varepsilon}{f(2\varepsilon - w^{1/2}) + 2\varepsilon^{1/2}} \leq \frac{6\varepsilon}{f(2\varepsilon - 2 + \sqrt{3}) + 2}$$

(9) follows from

$$f \geq \frac{\{2\varepsilon - 2(2 - \sqrt{3})\}(2 + \sqrt{3})}{2\varepsilon - (2 - \sqrt{3})} \geq 2 + \sqrt{3}.$$

4. Writing again  $v = 2\varepsilon w^{1/2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} v^2 - vw + w^2 - v - w + 1 &= (w - w^{1/2} + 1)^2 + 2(\varepsilon - 1)w^{1/2}(-w + 2w^{1/2} + 2\varepsilon w^{1/2} - 1) \\ &\geq (w - w^{1/2} + 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

As before (9) follows from

$$w - w^{1/2} + 1 \geq \frac{6\varepsilon}{f(2\varepsilon - w^{1/2}) + 2},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2 - w^{1/2})(fw - fw^{1/2} - 2w^{1/2} + f - 2) + 2(\varepsilon - 1)(fw - fw^{1/2} + f - 3) \geq 0.$$

The last inequality is obvious since the second term is  $\geq 0$  already for  $f > 4$  and the first term vanishes for the first time in the  $w$  interval for  $f = 2 + 4.3^{-1/4}$ . It is impossible to find a better constant in (9), since the combination  $v = -2 + 2\sqrt[3]{3}$ ,  $w = 4 - 2\sqrt[3]{3}$  for which  $v^2 = 4w$  transforms (9) into an equality.

§ 7. The results of the last sections will be applied to the remainders  $R_n$  and  $U_n$  occurring in (2) and (3). Substituting (7) and (8) in (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n(\zeta)| &\leq (2/\pi)^{1/4} (1 + h\zeta) \cdot (1/\pi) \int_1^\infty (t^2 - 1)^{-1/4} (\theta^2 + (\pi^2/4))^{-(n/6)} T dt \\ &\quad \text{if } \arg \zeta = 0; \\ |R_n(\zeta)| &\leq (2/\pi)^{1/4} \cdot (1/\pi) \int_1^\infty (t^2 - 1)^{-1/4} (\theta^2 + (\pi^2/4))^{-(n+2/6)} T dt \\ &\quad \text{if } \arg \zeta = (2\pi/3). \end{aligned}$$

From § 3 it follows

$$(10) \quad 1/\pi \int_1^\infty (t^2 - 1)^{-1/4} (\theta^2 + (\pi^2/4))^{-(k/6)} dt \leq (2/\pi)^{k/3+1} \left\{ 0.64 + \frac{3.2^{-k/6}}{k} \right\}$$

valid for all positive integer values of  $k$ .

Denoting the left hand side of (10) by  $e_k$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n(\zeta)| &\leq (2/\pi)^{1/4} (1 + h_1\zeta) (1 + h_2\zeta) e_{n-1} \quad (\arg \zeta = 0; n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}), \\ |R_n(\zeta)| &\leq (2/\pi)^{1/4} (1 + h_1\zeta) e_{n-1} \quad (\arg \zeta = 0; n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}); \\ |R_n(\zeta)| &\leq (2/\pi)^{1/4} (1 + h_2\zeta) e_{n+1} \quad (\arg \zeta = 2\pi/3; n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}); \\ |R_n(\zeta)| &\leq (2/\pi)^{1/4} e_{n+1} \quad (\arg \zeta = 2\pi/3; n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h_1 = (2 + \sqrt[3]{3}) (2/\pi)^{1/4} = 3.2105 \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 = \sqrt[3]{3} (2/\pi)^{1/4} = 1.4900.$$

Hence we find for  $U_n$  the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} |U_n| &\leq (2^{1/4} \omega^{1/4} / \pi^{1/4}) \{ |\cos \omega\pi/2| \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\zeta^3} (1 + h_1\zeta) (1 + h_2\zeta) \zeta^n d\zeta \cdot e_{n-1} + \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\zeta^3} (1 + h_2\zeta) \zeta^n d\zeta \cdot e_{n+1} \}. \end{aligned}$$

if  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |U_n| &\leq (2^{1/4} \omega^{1/4} / \pi^{1/4}) \{ |\cos \omega\pi/2| \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\zeta^3} (1 + h_1\zeta) \zeta^n d\zeta \cdot e_{n-1} + \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty e^{-\omega\zeta^3} \zeta^n d\zeta \cdot e_{n+1} \}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

Or finally

$$(11a) \quad |U_n| \leq \frac{2^{1/4} \omega^{1/4}}{3 \pi^{1/4}} \{ |\cos \omega\pi/2| (\gamma_0 + c_1\gamma_1 + c_2\gamma_2) e_{n-1} + (\gamma_0 + c_3\gamma_1) e_{n+1} \},$$

if  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ , and

$$11b) \quad |U_n| \leq \frac{2^{1/3} \omega^{1/3}}{3 \pi^{2/3}} \{ |\cos \omega \pi / 2| (\gamma_0 + c_4 \gamma_1) e_{n-1} + \gamma_0 e_{n+1} \},$$

if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , where

$$\gamma_j = \Gamma\left(\frac{n+j+1}{3}\right) \omega^{-\frac{n+j+1}{3}} \quad (j = 0, 1, 2),$$

$$c_1 = 2(1 + \sqrt[3]{3})(2/\pi)^{1/3} = 4.7005; \quad c_2 = (3 + 2\sqrt[3]{3})(2/\pi)^{1/3} = 4.7837;$$

$$c_3 = \sqrt[3]{3}(2/\pi)^{2/3} = 1.4900; \quad c_4 = (2 + \sqrt[3]{3})(2/\pi)^{1/3} = 3.2105.$$

To show the practicable applicability of (4) and (11) a numerical example will be given:

$$M_{4,0}(8) = 1.4494 - 0.0136 - 0.0013 + U_{10} = 1.4345 + U_{10}.$$

(11a) gives

$$|U_{10}| \leq 0.0009$$

Further we have

$$m_6 = -0.047 \quad \text{and} \quad e_6 = 0.184.$$

*Koninklijke-Shell laboratorium,  
Amsterdam*