

MATHEMATICS

ON THE UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION OF THE VALUES OF FUNCTIONS OF n VARIABLES

BY

B. MEULENBELD

(Communicated by Prof. J. G. VAN DER CORPUT at the meeting of Jan. 28, 1950)

§ 1. *Introduction.*

In a previous paper KUIPERS and the author of this paper [1] introduced the notion of a (mod. 1) continuously- (or C -) uniformly distributed function $f(t)$ of one variable t . This notion is an analogue of that of a (mod. 1) discretely- (or D -) uniformly distributed sequence of numbers $f(n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).

In the present paper I shall give an extension of the theory in [1], by considering instead of a function $f(t)$ of one variable now a function $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of the variables t_1, \dots, t_n . For the D -case we recall the definition of D -uniform distribution (mod 1) of a system of m functions each depending on n variables given by WEIJL [2] and VAN DER CORPUT [3]. We mention this definition [4].

D-Definition. Let m and n be given positive integers, and let F be a sequence of n -dimensional intervals

$$Q: a_\mu \leq x_\mu < b_\mu \quad (a_\mu \text{ and } b_\mu \text{ integer, } \mu = 1, \dots, n),$$

where the number $N = N(Q)$ of lattice-points $(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of Q tends to infinity if Q runs through the sequence F . In each lattice-point of Q a system of m real functions $f_\nu(x) = f_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, m$) is defined. This system of functions f_ν is said to be D -uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F , if for each system of m numbers $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ with $0 \leq \gamma_\nu \leq 1$ the number $N' = N'(Q) = N'(Q, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)$ of lattice-points (x) of Q with

$$0 \leq f_\nu < \gamma_\nu \pmod{1} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, m)$$

satisfies the relation:

$$\lim_{N(Q)} \frac{N'(Q)}{N(Q)} = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_m,$$

if Q runs through the sequence F .

VAN DER CORPUT [3] proved the following theorem on uniform distribution.

D-test. It is necessary and sufficient for the D -uniform distribution (mod 1) of the system of functions $f_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, m$) that,

for any lattice-point $(h_1, \dots, h_m) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ this system satisfies the relation:

$$\lim_{N(Q)} \frac{1}{N(Q)} \sum_{(x) \in Q} e^{2\pi i \{h_1 f_1(x) + \dots + h_m f_m(x)\}} = 0,$$

if Q runs through the sequence F .

In § 2 I shall give an analogous definition and an analogous theorem for the case of C -uniform distribution (mod 1). In §§ 3 and 4 I shall apply this C -test in the special case of one function of n variables. § 3 deals with a class of functions which are not C -uniformly distributed. The functions considered in § 4 are shown to be C -uniformly distributed. § 5 gives some examples.

§ 2. C -definition and C -test.

An n -dimensional extension of the definition of C -uniform distribution may be formulated as follows.

C-Definition. Let m and n be given positive integers and let F be a sequence of n -dimensional intervals

$$Q: 0 \leq S_\mu \leq t_\mu < T_\mu \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, n),$$

where the measure of Q tends to infinity, if Q runs through F . For all points $(t) = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of all Q a system of n real measurable functions $f_\nu(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, m$) is defined.

Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ be m numbers with $0 \leq \gamma_\nu < 1$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, m$). We denote by

$$\theta_\nu(0, \gamma_\nu, f_\nu(t_1, \dots, t_n)) \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, m)$$

the following characteristic functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\nu &= 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq f_\nu(t_1, \dots, t_n) < \gamma_\nu \pmod{1}, \\ \theta_\nu &= 0 \text{ elsewhere.} \end{aligned}$$

The measure of the set of points (t_1, \dots, t_n) in Q satisfying:

$$0 \leq f_\nu(t_1, \dots, t_n) < \gamma_\nu \pmod{1} \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, m)$$

is the Lebesgue-integral:

$$I(Q) = \int_{s_1}^{t_1} \dots \int_{s_n}^{t_n} \theta_1(0, \gamma_1, f_1) \dots \theta_m(0, \gamma_m, f_m) dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

Now we define:

The system of functions $f_\nu(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is said to be C -uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F , if for any system of fixed γ_ν the system f_ν satisfies:

$$\lim_{(T_1 - S_1) \dots (T_n - S_n)} \frac{I(Q)}{(T_1 - S_1) \dots (T_n - S_n)} = \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m,$$

if Q runs through F .

Analogous to the D -test we have now:

C -test. It is necessary and sufficient for the C -uniform distribution (mod 1) of the system of functions $f_\nu(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, m$) in the intervals Q of F that, for any lattice-point $(h_1, \dots, h_m) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ the system f_ν satisfies the relation:

$$\lim \frac{1}{(T_1 - S_1) \dots (T_n - S_n)} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_n}^{T_n} e^{2\pi i \{h_1 t_1(t) + \dots + h_m t_m(t)\}} dt_1 \dots dt_n = 0,$$

if Q runs through F .

Remark. The proof of this test is quite analogous to that of the D -test given by VAN DER CORPUT [3]. For the case of one function with one variable, and a system of n functions of one variable KUIPERS gave the C -proof in his dissertation [5].

§ 3. Functions which are not C -uniformly distributed.

Theorem 1. Let F be a sequence of intervals

$$Q: 0 \leq S_\mu \leq t_\mu < T_\mu \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, n),$$

where the measure of Q and $T_n - S_n$ tend to infinity, if Q runs through F . Let $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be a function, defined for all $(t) = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of all Q , and let $f(t)$ possess for these points (t) first partial derivatives with respect to each variable. Furthermore we suppose that $f(t)$ has the following properties:

(1⁰*) $\left| t_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_n} \right| < M$ for all $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ in all Q , where M is a fixed positive number.

$$(2^0) \quad \lim_{t_n \rightarrow \infty} t_\nu \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_\nu} = 0 \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, n)$$

uniformly in (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) .

Then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is not C -uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F .

Proof. Putting

$$P_l = (T_1 - S_1) \dots (T_l - S_l),$$

we have for each fixed integer $h \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{P_n} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_n}^{T_n} e^{2\pi i h f(t_1, \dots, t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n = \\ &= \frac{1}{P_n} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} \left\{ T_n e^{2\pi i h f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)} - S_n e^{2\pi i h f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, S_n)} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\pi i h \int_{S_n}^{T_n} t_n \frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\partial t_n} e^{2\pi i h f(t_1, \dots, t_n)} dt_n \right\} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

*) Note added while correcting proofsheets:

This assumption (1⁰) can be omitted without considerable alternation in the proof.

From assumption (2⁰) it follows that, given a positive number ε , there exists a T_n^* such that for $t_n \geq T_n^* = T_n(\varepsilon)$ (independent of t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}):

$$(1) \quad \left| t_n \frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\partial t_n} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi|h|}.$$

Hence, if $T_n^* > S_n$, and S_n is bounded:

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{2\pi h}{P_n} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_n}^{T_n} t_n \frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\partial t_n} e^{2\pi i h/(t_1, \dots, t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{2\pi h}{P_n} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_n}^{T_n^*} \right| + \left| \frac{2\pi h}{P_n} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{T_n^*}^{T_n} \right| < \frac{2\pi|h|(T_n^* - S_n)M}{T_n - S_n} + \\ & + \frac{T_n - T_n^*}{T_n - S_n} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned} \right.$$

(by assumption (1⁰) and (1)), and so it is obvious that (2) tends to zero, if $T_n - S_n \rightarrow \infty$.

If S_n is not bounded, then for S_n large enough the expression (2) is $< \varepsilon/2$, so that also in this case (2) tends to zero if $T_n - S_n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{P_n} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} \{T_n e^{2\pi i h/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)} - S_n e^{2\pi i h/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, S_n)}\} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1} = \\ & = \frac{1}{P_n} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} \left\{ (T_n - S_n) e^{2\pi i h/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)} + S_n \{ e^{2\pi i h/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)} + \right. \\ & \quad \left. - e^{2\pi i h/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, S_n)} \} \right\} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1} = \\ & = \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} e^{2\pi i h/(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1} + I_n. \end{aligned}$$

If S_n is bounded, then

$$|I_n| \leq \frac{2S_n}{T_n - S_n}, \text{ and so } I_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } T_n - S_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If S_n is not bounded, then, using the inequality:

$$|e^{2\pi i u} - e^{2\pi i v}| \leq 2\pi |u - v|,$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |I_n| & \leq \frac{1}{P_n} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} S_n 2\pi |h| |f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n) - f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, S_n)| dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1} \\ & = \frac{2\pi|h|}{P_{n-1}} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} S_n \left| \frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, \xi)}{\partial t_n} \right| dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

(where $S_n < \xi < T_n$)

$$< \frac{2\pi|h|}{P_{n-1}} \int_{s_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{s_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} \xi \left| \frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, \xi)}{\partial t_n} \right| dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}.$$

From assumption (2⁰) it follows that this expression tends to zero, if $T_n - S_n \rightarrow \infty$, hence $I_n \rightarrow 0$ also in this case.

Now we apply the same argument to the integrals:

$$\frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \int_{s_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{s_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} e^{2\pi i h / (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1},$$

$$\frac{1}{P_{n-2}} \int_{s_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{s_{n-2}}^{T_{n-2}} e^{2\pi i h / (t_1, \dots, t_{n-2}, T_{n-1}, T_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-2},$$

and so on, so that I can be reduced to the expression

$$e^{2\pi i h / (T_1, \dots, T_n)},$$

apart from a finite sum of terms, of which each tends to zero, if Q runs through F . Hence, by the C -test the function $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is not uniformly distributed (mod 1).

§ 4. C -distributed functions.

Theorem 2. *Let F be a sequence of intervals*

$$Q: 0 \leq S_\mu \leq t_\mu < T_\mu \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, n),$$

where the measure of Q and $T_n - S_n$ tend to infinity if Q runs through F . Let $f(t) = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be a function defined for all $(t) = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of all Q , and let $f(t)$ possess a partial derivative with respect to t_n with the properties:

$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_n}$ is monotonically non-decreasing in t_n for each fixed (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) ;

$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_n} \geq \lambda > 0$ for λ fixed, independent of t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} . Then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$

is C -uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F .

Proof. Putting $P = (T_1 - S_1) \dots (T_n - S_n)$ we have for every integer $h \neq 0$:

$$I = \frac{1}{P} \int_{s_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{s_n}^{T_n} e^{2\pi i h f(t_1, \dots, t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n$$

$$= \frac{1}{P} \int_{s_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{s_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} \left\{ \int_{f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, S_n)}^{f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)} \frac{e^{2\pi i h u} du}{\frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\partial t_n}} \right\} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{P} \int_{s_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{s_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, S_n)}{\partial t_n}} \int_{f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, S_n)}^{f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, \xi)} e^{2\pi i h u} du \right\} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}$$

where $S_n < \xi < T_n$ (by the mean-value-theorem). Hence by our assumptions:

$$|I| \leq \frac{1}{P} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \int_{S_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} \frac{dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}}{\pi |h| \lambda} = \frac{1}{(T_n - S_n) \pi |h| \lambda},$$

and this expression tends to zero if $T_n - S_n \rightarrow \infty$. So $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is C -uniformly distributed (mod. 1).

Theorem 3. *Let F be a sequence of intervals*

$$Q: 0 \leq S_\mu \leq t_\mu < T_\mu \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, n),$$

where the measure of Q and $T_n - S_n$ tend to infinity if Q runs through F .

Let $f(t) = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be a function, defined for all $(t) = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of all Q , and let $f(t)$ possess a partial derivative with respect to t_n with the properties:

$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_n} < 0$, monotonically non-increasing, and continuous in t_n , uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} ;

$$(T_n - S_n) \frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)}{\partial t_n} \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, \text{ if } T_n - S_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is C -uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F .

Proof. Putting $P = (T_1 - S_1) \dots (T_n - S_n)$ we have for every integer $h \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{P} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_n}^{T_n} e^{2\pi i h f(t_1, \dots, t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n \\ &= \frac{1}{P} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} \left\{ \int_{f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, S_n)}^{f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)} \frac{e^{2\pi i h u} du}{\frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\partial t_n}} \right\} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{P} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)}{\partial t_n}} \int_{f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, \xi_1)}^{f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)} e^{2\pi i h u} du \right\} dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

(where $S_n < \xi_1 < T_n$).

Hence

$$|I| \leq \frac{1}{(T_1 - S_1) \dots (T_{n-1} - S_{n-1}) \pi |h|} \int_{S_1}^{T_1} \dots \int_{S_{n-1}}^{T_{n-1}} \frac{dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}}{(T_n - S_n) \frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, T_n)}{\partial t_n}}.$$

From our assumptions it follows that the last expression tends to zero if $T_n - S_n \rightarrow \infty$, so that also $I \rightarrow 0$. So $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is uniformly distributed (mod 1).

§ 5. *Examples.*

In the present paragraph we consider the sequence F of intervals

$$Q: \quad 2 < t_\mu < T \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, n)$$

with $T \rightarrow \infty$.

It is easily seen that for the following functions $f(t) = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, so that these functions are *not* C -uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F :

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \lg \lg (t_1 \dots t_n); \\ f(t) &= \lg \lg (t_1 + \dots + t_n); \\ f(t) &= \sqrt[p]{\lg (t_1 \dots t_n)} \quad (p > 1); \\ f(t) &= \sqrt[p]{\lg (t_1 + \dots + t_n)} \quad (p > 1). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2 it follows that the functions

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= t_1 \dots t_n, \\ f(t) &= t_1 + \dots + t_n, \\ f(t) &= e^{t_1 \dots t_n}, \\ f(t) &= e^{t_1 + \dots + t_n} \end{aligned}$$

are uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F , and from Theorem 3 it follows that the functions

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \sqrt[p]{t_1 \dots t_n} \quad (p > 1), \\ f(t) &= \sqrt[p]{t_1 + \dots + t_n} \quad (p > 1), \\ f(t) &= \{\lg (t_1 \dots t_n)\}^p \quad (p > 1), \\ f(t) &= \{\lg (t_1 + \dots + t_n)\}^p \quad (p > 1) \end{aligned}$$

are C -uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F .

Bandung, Jan. 1950

University of Indonesia

L I T E R A T U R E

1. KUIPERS, L. and B. MEULENBELD, Asymptotic C -distribution (First Communication) Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. v. Wetenschappen **52**, 1151—1157 (1949).
2. WEIJL, H., Ueber die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins. Math. Annalen **77**, 313—352 (1916).
3. CORPUT, J. G. VAN DER, Diophantische Ungleichungen I. Zur Gleichverteilung modulo Eins. Acta Math. **56**, 373—456 (1931).
4. KOKSMA, J. F., Diophantische Approximationen Berlin. Springer 90 (1936).
5. KUIPERS, L., De asymptotische verdeling modulo 1 van de waarden van meetbare functies. Diss. V. U. Leiden, 41—43 and 61—63 (1947).