

# MATHEMATICS

## NOTE ON THE LOCATION OF ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS

BY

L. KUIPERS

*University of Indonesia, Bandung*

(Communicated by Prof. J. G. VAN DER CORPUT at the meeting of March 25, 1950)

GYULA DE SZ. NAGY proved some theorems on the location of zeros of polynomials [1]. These theorems are generalisations of results obtained by G. SZEGÖ [2].

The present note deals with similar problems (Theorems I, II, III and IV). Theorem V is a generalisation of a theorem of the first mentioned author, see [3]. We prove the following

**Theorem I.**

*Let the polynomial*

$$f(z) = (z - a_1)(z - a_2) \dots (z - a_n)$$

*have all its zeros in the circle  $|z - a| \leq R$ , and let the polynomial*

$$g(z) = (z - b_1)(z - b_2) \dots (z - b_n)$$

*have no zero in the circle  $|z - a| \leq \varrho$ ,  $\varrho < R$ .*

*Then no polynomial*

$$h(z) = \lambda f(z) - g(z), \quad |\lambda| \leq t^n, \quad 0 \leq t < \frac{\varrho}{R},$$

*has a zero in the circle*

$$(1) \quad |z - a| \leq r = \frac{\varrho - Rt}{1 + t} (\leq \varrho).$$

**Proof.**

Every point  $z_0$  with  $h(z_0) \neq 0$  satisfies

$$\left| \frac{g(z_0)}{f(z_0)} \right| \neq |\lambda|.$$

Now we have for every point  $z_0$  of the region (1)

$$|z_0 - a_k| \leq |z_0 - a| + |a_k - a| \leq r + R,$$

and

$$|z_0 - b_k| \geq |b_k - a| - |z_0 - a| > \varrho - r,$$

so that

$$\left| \frac{f(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right| = \prod_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{z_0 - a_k}{z_0 - b_k} \right| < \left( \frac{r + R}{\varrho - r} \right)^n = \frac{1}{t^n} \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem I.

A generalisation of Theorem I is

**Theorem II.**

*Let the polynomial*

$$f(z) = (z - a_1)(z - a_2) \dots (z - a_n)$$

*have all its zeros in the circle  $|z - \alpha| \leq \varrho_1$ , and let the polynomial*

$$g(z) = (z - b_1)(z - b_2) \dots (z - b_n)$$

*have no zero in the circle*

$$|z - \beta| \leq \varrho_2, \quad \varrho_1 > \varrho_2, \quad |\alpha - \beta| < \min(\varrho_1 - \varrho_2, \varrho_2).$$

*Then no polynomial*

$$h(z) = \lambda f(z) - g(z), \quad |\lambda|^{1/n} < \frac{\varrho_2 - |\beta - \alpha|}{\varrho_1},$$

*has a zero in the region*

$$(2) \quad |\lambda|^{1/n} \cdot |z - \alpha| + |z - \beta| \leq \varrho_2 - \varrho_1 |\lambda|^{1/n}.$$

**Proof.** We put  $|z_0 - \alpha| = r_1$  and  $|z_0 - \beta| = r_2$ , where  $z_0$  is a point of the region (2). From our assumptions it follows that  $z_0$  is an interior point of the circle  $|z - \beta| \leq \varrho_2$ .

Now we have

$$|z_0 - a_k| \leq |z_0 - \alpha| + |a_k - \alpha| \leq r_1 + \varrho_1,$$

and

$$|z_0 - b_k| \geq |b_k - \beta| - |z_0 - \beta| > \varrho_2 - r_2,$$

so that

$$\left| \frac{z_0 - a_k}{z_0 - b_k} \right| < \frac{r_1 + \varrho_1}{\varrho_2 - r_2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{f(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right| < \left( \frac{r_1 + \varrho_1}{\varrho_2 - r_2} \right)^n \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|},$$

and from these last inequalities it follows that  $z_0$  is not a zero of  $h(z)$ .

**Theorem III.**

*Let  $G_1$  be a half-plane containing the zeros of the polynomial*

$$f(z) = (z - a_1)(z - a_2) \dots (z - a_n).$$

*Let  $G_2$  be the complementary half-plane containing the zeros of the polynomial*

$$g(z) = (z - b_1)(z - b_2) \dots (z - b_n),$$

*and let  $l$  be the boundary line of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .*

*Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two points of  $G_1$  with*

$$|\alpha - \beta| > d, \quad \text{where} \quad d = \max_{k=1, \dots, n} |\alpha - a_k|.$$

*Let  $P$  be the parabola with  $\beta$  as focus and with  $l$  as directrix, and let  $H$*

be the hyperbola with foci at  $a$  and  $\beta$ , and with the major axis  $d$ . Then the polynomial

$$h(z) = f(z) + g(z)$$

has no zero in the region  $R$ , the common part of the interior of  $P$ , and the interior of  $H$  containing  $a$ .

Remarks. 1. The set of points from which no tangent can be drawn to a given conic section is said to be the interior of that conic section.

2. If we choose  $\beta$  on the perpendicular from  $a$  on  $l$ , then the set of points  $R$  is not empty.

Proof. We denote by  $z_0$  an arbitrary point of  $R$ .

It is obvious, that

$$|z_0 - b_k| > |z_0 - \beta|,$$

and

$$|z_0 - a_k| \leq |z_0 - a| + |a_k - a| \leq |z_0 - a| + d.$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad \left| \frac{f(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right| = \prod_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{z_0 - a_k}{z_0 - b_k} \right| < \left( \frac{|z_0 - a| + d}{|z_0 - \beta|} \right)^n < 1,$$

where the last inequality follows from

$$|z_0 - \beta| - |z_0 - a| > d$$

From (3) we see that  $z_0$  is no zero of  $h(z)$ .

Theorem IV.

Let  $G_1$  be a half-plane containing the zeros of the polynomial

$$f(z) = (z - a_1)(z - a_2) \dots (z - a_n).$$

Let  $G_2$  be the complementary half-plane containing the points  $a$  and  $\alpha$ , and let  $l$  be the boundary line of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

Let  $P$  be the parabola with  $a$  as focus and  $l$  as directrix. Let  $C$  be the circle of Apollonius for the points  $a$  and  $\alpha$ , with ratio  $t$  ( $0 < t < 1$ ), and of which  $a$  is an interior point (this means that  $C$  is the set of points  $z$  with

$$|z - a| = t|z - \alpha|).$$

Let  $g(z)$  be the polynomial

$$g(z) = (z - a)^n.$$

Then no polynomial

$$h(z) = g(z) + \lambda f(z), |\lambda| = t^n, 0 < t < 1,$$

has a zero in the region  $R$ , which the interiors of  $P$  and  $C$  have in common.

Proof. We denote by  $z_0$  an arbitrary point of  $R$ .

Then

$$|z_0 - a_k| > |z_0 - a|, \text{ and } |z_0 - a| < t|z_0 - \alpha|,$$

so that

$$\left| \frac{g(z_0)}{f(z_0)} \right| = \prod_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{z_0 - a}{z_0 - a_k} \right| < \left| \frac{z_0 - a}{z_0 - a} \right|^n < t^n \leq |\lambda|.$$

Hence

$$|g(z_0)| < |\lambda f(z_0)|.$$

This means that  $z_0$  is no zero of  $h(z)$ .

**Theorem V.**

*Let*

$$f(z) = (z - a_1)(z - a_2) \dots (z - a_n),$$

with

$$a_k = x_k + i y_k \quad (k = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$g(z) = (z - b_1)(z - b_2) \dots (z - b_n),$$

with

$$b_k = x_k + i y_k^*, \quad y_k > y_k^* \quad (k = 1, \dots, n),$$

$$F(z) = \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{(z - a_1)(z - a_2) \dots (z - a_n)}{(z - b_1)(z - b_2) \dots (z - b_n)},$$

$$F'(\zeta) = 0, \quad F(\zeta) \neq 0, \quad \zeta = \xi + i \eta.$$

*Then:*

(\*) *If the intervals*

$$(4) \quad y_k \geq y \geq y_k^* \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

*have an interval  $I$  in common,  $\eta$  is not an interior point of  $I$ .*

(\*\*)  *$\zeta$  is not a point of the region  $R$ , which the interiors of the hyperbolas  $H_k$*

$$(x - x_k)^2 - (y - y_k)(y - y_k^*) = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n)$$

*have in common.  $\zeta$  is an interior point of one  $H_k$  at least, and an exterior point of one the other  $H_k$  at least.*

(\*\*\*) *If  $(k = 1, \dots, n)$*

$$2m_k = y_k + y_k^*,$$

*then  $\zeta$  is not a point of the regions  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , the common parts of the regions*

$$(x - x_k)(y - m_k) > 0,$$

*and*

$$(x - x_k)(y - m_k) < 0,$$

*respectively.*

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F'(z)}{F(z)} &= \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{z - a_k} - \frac{1}{z - b_k} \right\} = \\ &= i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{y_k - y_k^*}{\{x - x_k + i(y - y_k)\} \{x - x_k + i(y - y_k^*)\}} = \\ &= i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{y_k - y_k^*}{\{(x - x_k)^2 - (y - y_k)(y - y_k^*)\} + i \{2xy - xy_k - xy_k^* - 2yx_k + x_k y_k + x_k y_k^*\}} \end{aligned}$$

If now  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ ,  $F'(\zeta) = 0$  and  $F(\zeta) \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{F'(\zeta)}{F(\zeta)} = i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{y_k - y_k^*}{A_k + iB_k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_k(y_k - y_k^*)}{A_k^2 + B_k^2} + i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A_k(y_k - y_k^*)}{A_k^2 + B_k^2} = 0,$$

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{A_k(y_k - y_k^*)}{A_k^2 + B_k^2} = 0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_k(y_k - y_k^*)}{A_k^2 + B_k^2} = 0,$$

with

$$A_k = (\xi - x_k)^2 - (\eta - y_k)(\eta - y_k^*),$$

and

$$B_k = 2\xi\eta - \xi y_k - \xi y_k^* - 2\eta x_k + x_k y_k + x_k y_k^*.$$

If  $\zeta$  is a point of  $R$ , then the inequalities

$$(6) \quad A_k > 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n)$$

hold. From (6) and our assumption  $y_k - y_k^* > 0$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ) it follows that the first equality of (5) does not hold.

So (\*\*) is proved.

(\*) is an immediate consequence of (\*\*).

Furthermore we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_k(y_k - y_k^*)}{A_k^2 + B_k^2} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(y_k - y_k^*)(\xi - x_k)(2\eta - y_k - y_k^*)}{A_k^2 + B_k^2} =$$

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(y_k - y_k^*)(\xi - x_k)(\eta - m_k)}{A_k^2 + B_k^2}$$

It can easily be seen that the last expression does not vanish if  $\zeta$  is a point of  $R_1$ , or  $R_2$ . This concludes the proof of (\*\*\*).

#### LITERATURE

- [1] GYULA DE SZ. NAGY, Generalisations of certain theorems of G. SZEGÖ on the location of zeros of polynomials, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, **53**, 12, 1164—1169 (1947).
- [2] SZEGÖ, G., Bemerkungen zu einem Satz von J. H. GRACE über die Wurzeln algebraischer Gleichungen, *Math. Zeitschr.* **13**, 28—55 (1922).
- [3] GYULA DE SZ. NAGY, Ueber rationale Funktionen, deren Nullstellen und Pole an entgegengesetzten Seiten einer Geraden liegen, *Hung. Acta Math.*, **1** 12—16 (1949).