NEW RESULTS IN THE THEORY OF C-UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION

BY

L. KUIPERS AND B. MEULENBELD

(Communicated by Prof. J. A. Schouten at the meeting of April 29, 1950)

§ 1. Introduction.

In a recent paper [1] B. Meulenbeld developed the theory of the C-uniform distribution (mod 1) of the values of a function of n variables. For the definition of this kind of distribution we refer to the paper mentioned above. In this note the author also formulated a useful test to establish the behaviour of a system of m functions of n variables with regard to the C-uniform distribution (mod 1). We repeat here the C-test in the special form (m = 1) in which it will be used in the present paper.

C-test.

Let n be a positive integer and let F be a sequence of n-dimensional intervals:

(1)
$$Q: 0 \leq t_{\mu} < T_{\mu} \qquad (\mu = 1, ..., n),$$

where T_n and the measure of Q tend to infinity if Q runs through F.

Let $f(t) = f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ be a function, defined for all $(t) = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ of all Q.

Then it is necessary and sufficient for the C-uniform distribution (mod 1) of the function f(t) in the intervals (1), that, for every integer $h \neq 0$, f(t) satisfies the relation:

$$\lim \frac{1}{T_1 T_2 \dots T_n} \int_0^{T_1} \int_0^{T_2} \dots \int_0^{T_n} e^{2\pi i h / (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_n = 0,$$

if Q runs through F.

In the present paper we shall prove the following Theorems.

Theorem I.

Let F be a sequence of n-dimensional intervals

$$Q: 0 \leq t_k < T_k \qquad (k = 1, 2, ..., n),$$

where $T_k(k=1, 2, ..., n) \to \infty$, if Q runs through F.

Let $f(t) = f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ be a function defined for all (t) of all Q.

Let f(t) have first partial derivatives with respect to each t_k , with the property:

(2)
$$\left| \left| t_k \frac{\delta f(t_1, ..., t_n)}{\delta t_k} \right| < M_k \text{ for } t_k \ge \overline{t}_k \ge 0 \ (\overline{t}_k \text{ fixed}),$$

uniformly in $(t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1}, t_{k+1}, \ldots, t_n)$, where the M_k are fixed positive numbers $(k = 1, \ldots, n)$.

Then f(t) is not C-uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F. For n = 1 we get the following

Theorem II.

Let F be a sequence of intervals

$$Q: 0 \leqslant t < T$$
, with $T \to \infty$.

Let f(t) be a differentiable function, with the property:

$$|t f'(t)| < K \text{ for } t \geqslant t_0 \geqslant 0,$$

where to and K are fixed numbers.

Then f(t) is not C-uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem II we have:

If f(t) $(t \ge 0)$ is C-uniformly distributed (mod 1), then t f'(t) cannot be bounded.

This Theorem II is a considerable improvement of a theorem we proved in [2] (Theorem II).

The additional restrictive condition we made on t f'(t) in the note just mentioned can be omitted.

In order to prove Theorem I we again apply the C-test, but the argumentation is quite different from that used in our previous papers. In the present note we make use of the following

Lemma.

If $\varphi(u)$ $(u \geqslant 0)$ is a function with first and second derivative, if

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\varphi(u)}{u}=a \text{ (constant)},$$

and

 $u \varphi''(u)$ is bounded for $u \geqslant u_0$ (fixed) $\geqslant 0$,

then

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\,\varphi'\left(u\right)=a.$$

For the proof of this lemma we refer to [3].

Theorem III.

Let F be a sequence of n-dimensional intervals

$$Q: 0 \leq t_k < T_k \quad (k = 1, ..., n),$$

where T_n and the measure of Q tend to infinity if Q runs through F.

Let $f(t) = f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ be a measurable function defined for all (t) of all Q. Let f(t) have a partial derivative with respect to t_n with the property:

(3)
$$\lim_{t_n\to\infty}t_n^p\frac{\partial f(t_1,\ldots,t_n)}{\partial t_n}=c\neq 0,$$

uniformly in (t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}) , where c and p are fixed numbers, and $0 \leq p < 1$. Then f(t) is C-uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F.

For n=1 we get

Theorem IV.

Let F be a sequence of intervals

$$Q: 0 \le t < T$$
, with $T \to \infty$.

Let f(t) $(t \ge 0)$ be a differentiable function with the property

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} t^p f'(t) = c \neq 0, \ 0 \leqslant p < 1.$$

Then f(t) is C-uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals Q of F. This Theorem is a generalisation of Theorem III of [2], where we assumed p = 0. N. H. Kuiper reported us by letter that he also possesses a proof of Theorem IV.

In § 2 we prove Theorem I, in § 3 Theorem III, while in § 4 we give some examples.

We remark that Theorem IV does not hold if we take p=1.

In this case f(t) is not C-uniformly distributed (mod 1) as follows from Theorem II.

§ 2. Proof of Theorem I.

We shall show that the expression

$$I = rac{1}{T_1 \dots T_n} \int\limits_0^{T_1} \dots \int\limits_0^{T_n} e^{2 \pi i h f(t_1, \dots, t_n)} dt_1 \dots dt_n$$

does not tend to zero, if Q runs through F.

Let us suppose that

$$I^* = rac{1}{T_1...T_n}\int\limits_0^{T_1}...\int\limits_0^{T_n}\cos\,2\pi h f(t_1,...,t_n)\,dt_1\ldots dt_n$$

tends to zero if Q runs through F. Then we should also have:

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{T_n\to\infty}\dots\lim_{T_1\to\infty}\frac{1}{T_1\dots T_n}\int\limits_0^{T_1}\dots\int\limits_0^{T_n}\cos\,2\pi h f(t_1\,,\,\dots\,,t_n)\,\,dt_1\,\dots\,dt_n=\\ &\lim_{T_n\to\infty}\dots\lim_{T_1\to\infty}\left[\lim_{T_1\to\infty}\frac{1}{T_1}\int\limits_0^{T_1}\left\{\frac{1}{T_2\dots T_n}\int\limits_0^{T_2}\dots\int\limits_0^{T_n}\cos\,2\pi h f(t_1\,,\,\dots\,,\,t_n)\,\,dt_2\dots\,dt_n\right\}dt_1\right]=\\ &\lim_{T_n\to\infty}\dots\lim_{T_1\to\infty}\left[\lim_{T_1\to\infty}\frac{1}{T_2\dots T_n}\int\limits_0^{T_1}\dots\int\limits_0^{T_n}\cos\,2\pi h f(T_1\,,\,t_2\,,\,\dots\,,\,t_n)\,\,dt_2\dots\,dt_n\right]=\\ \end{split}$$

(as follows from the lemma and from (2) with k=1)

$$\lim_{T_1 \to \infty} \lim_{T_n \to \infty} \dots \lim_{T_2 \to \infty} \frac{1}{T_2} \int_0^{T_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{T_3 \dots T_n} \int_0^{T_2} \dots \int_0^{T_n} \cos 2\pi h f(T_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \ dt_3 \dots dt_n \right\} dt_2 = 0$$

$$\lim_{T_{1}\to\infty}\lim_{T_{n}\to\infty}\dots\lim_{T_{s}\to\infty}\frac{1}{T_{s}\dots T_{n}}\int_{0}^{T_{s}}\dots\int_{0}^{T_{n}}\cos 2\pi h f(T_{1},T_{2},t_{3},\dots,t_{n})\ dt_{3}\dots dt_{n}=0$$

(as follows again from the lemma and from (2) with k=2).

Repeating this argument we should finally have:

(4)
$$\lim_{T_1\to\infty} \dots \lim_{T_n\to\infty} \cos 2\pi h f(T_1, \dots, T_n) = 0.$$

If we furthermore suppose that

$$I^{**} = \frac{1}{T_1 \dots T_n} \int_{0}^{T_1} \dots \int_{0}^{T_n} \sin 2 \pi \, h \, f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \, dt_1 \dots \, dt_n$$

tends to zero if Q runs through F, then we should find in a similar way:

(5)
$$\lim_{T_1 \to \infty} \dots \lim_{T_n \to \infty} \sin 2 \pi h f(T_1, \dots, T_n) = 0.$$

Both relations (4) and (5) however cannot be satisfied simultaneously. So our assumption is false, and we see that I does not tend to zero if Q runs through F.

§ 3. Proof of Theorem III.

Put $P=T_1\,T_2\,\ldots\,T_n$. Without loss of generality we assume c>0. From (3) it follows, that, for an arbitrary small $\varepsilon>0$ and $t_n>T_n^*=T_n^*(\varepsilon)$, we have

(6)
$$\left| \frac{1}{t_n^p \frac{\partial f(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\partial t_n}} - \frac{1}{c} \right| < \varepsilon,$$

uniformly in (t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}) .

For $T_n > T_n^*$ the expression

$$I=rac{1}{P}\int\limits_0^{T_1}\ldots\int\limits_0^{T_n}e^{2\pi ihf(t_1,\ldots,t_n)}\,dt_1\ldots\,dt_n$$

can be written as

(7)
$$I = \frac{1}{P} \int_{0}^{T_{1}} \dots \int_{0}^{T_{n^{*}}} + \frac{1}{P} \int_{0}^{T_{1}} \dots \int_{T_{n^{*}}}^{T_{n}}.$$

It is easily seen that the first term on the right of (7) tends to zero if Q

runs through F. The second term on the right of (7) equals

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{P} \int_{0}^{T_{1}} \dots \int_{0}^{T_{n-1}} \int_{f(T_{n}^{*})}^{f(T_{n})} \frac{e^{2\pi i \hbar u} du}{\frac{\delta f(t_{1}, \dots, t_{n})}{\delta t_{n}}} \right] dt_{1} \dots dt_{n} = \\ \frac{1}{P} \int_{0}^{T_{1}} \dots \int_{0}^{T_{n-1}} \int_{f(T_{n}^{*})}^{f(T_{n})} t_{n}^{p} e^{2\pi i \hbar u} \left(\frac{1}{t_{n}^{p} \frac{\delta f(t_{1}, \dots, t_{n})}{\delta t_{n}}} - \frac{1}{c}\right) du \right] dt_{1} \dots dt_{n-1} + \\ \frac{1}{cP} \int_{0}^{T_{1}} \dots \int_{0}^{T_{n-1}} \int_{f(T_{n}^{*})}^{f(T_{n})} \{F(u)\}^{p} e^{2\pi i \hbar u} du \right] dt_{1} \dots dt_{n-1}, \end{cases}$$

where we put, for the sake of brevity,

$$f(T_n) = f(t_1, ..., t_{n-1}, T_n)$$

$$f(T_n^*) = f(t_1, ..., t_{n-1}, T_n^*),$$

and where $t_n = F(u, t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1})$ is the inverse function of $u = f(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$. This inverse function exists on account of our assumption c > 0. The first term on the right of (8) is in absolute value less than

(9)
$$\varepsilon \left| \frac{f(T_n) - f(T_n^{\bullet})}{T_n} \right| T_n^p < \varepsilon \frac{|f(T_n)| + |f(T_n^{\bullet})|}{T_n^{1-p}}.$$

From

$$\lim_{T_n \to \infty} \frac{|f(T_n)|}{T_n^{1-p}} = \lim_{T_n \to \infty} \frac{T_n^p |f'(T_n)|}{1-p} = \frac{c}{1-p} ,$$

(9), and the assumption $0 \le p < 1$, it follows, that the first term on the right of (8) tends to zero if Q runs through F.

Furthermore we have:

$$\int\limits_{f(T_{n}^{\bullet})}^{f(T_{n})} \{F(u)\}^{p} \, e^{2\pi i h u} \, du = \left[\frac{\{F(u)\}^{p} \, e^{2\pi i h u}}{2\pi i h}\right]_{u=f(T_{n}^{\bullet})}^{u=f(T_{n}^{\bullet})} + \\ -\frac{p}{2\pi i h} \int\limits_{f(T_{n}^{\bullet})}^{f(T_{n})} e^{2\pi i h u} \, \{F(u)\}^{p-1} \, F'(u) \, du = \frac{T_{n}^{p} \, e^{2\pi i h f(T_{n}^{\bullet})}}{2\pi i h} - \frac{T_{n}^{\bullet p} \, e^{2\pi i h f(T_{n}^{\bullet})}}{2\pi i h} + \\ -\frac{p}{2\pi i h} \int\limits_{T_{n}^{\bullet}}^{T_{n}} e^{2\pi i h f(t)} \, t_{n}^{p-1} \, dt_{n} = K_{1} + K_{2} + K_{3} \,, \, \, \text{say}.$$

Now we have, replacing the form [] in the second term on the right

of (8) by $K_1 + K_2 + K_3$, successively

$$igg| rac{1}{c\,P} \int\limits_0^{T_1} \ldots \int\limits_0^{T_{n-1}} K_1\,dt_1 \ldots dt_{n-1} igg| < rac{1}{2\pi\,|h|\,c\,T_n^{1-p}}, \ igg| rac{1}{c\,P} \int\limits_0^{T_1} \ldots \int\limits_0^{T_{n-1}} K_2\,dt_1 \ldots dt_{n-1} igg| < rac{T_n^{\,st\,p}}{2\pi\,|h|\,c\,T_n}, \ igg| rac{1}{c\,P} \int\limits_0^{T_1} \ldots \int\limits_0^{T_{n-1}} K_3\,dt_1 \ldots dt_{n-1} igg| < rac{T_n^{\,st\,p}}{2\pi\,|h|\,c\,T_n};$$

and from these inequalities it follows that also the second term on the right of (8) tends to zero if Q runs through F.

This completes the proof.

§ 4. Examples.

a) The functions

$$f(t) = \lg (1 + t_1 + ... + t_n),$$

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lg (1 + t_k),$$

$$f(t) = \lg (a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k t_k^{\beta_k}) \text{ with } a_0 > 0 \text{ and } a_k, \beta_k > 0 \quad (k = 1, ..., n),$$

are not C-uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals

$$(10) 0 \leqslant t_k < T_k, T_k \to \infty (k = 1, ..., n),$$

as follows from Theorem I.

b) The function

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k^{1-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\sin t_k}{t_k} + \psi(t_1, ..., t_{n-1}),$$

where ψ is an arbitrary real measurable function, and where $0 \leqslant p < 1$, satisfies

$$\lim_{t_{n}\to\infty}t_{n}^{p}\frac{\partial f(t_{1},...,t_{n})}{\partial t_{n}}=1-p>0, \text{ uniformly in } (t_{1},...,t_{n-1}),$$

so that f(t) is C-uniformly distributed (mod 1) in the intervals (10), as follows from Theorem III.

Bandung, 1 April 1950.

University of Indonesia.

LITERATURE

- B. MEULENBELD, On the uniform distribution of the values of functions of n variables, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. v. Wetenschappen 53, 311-317 (1950).
- 2. Kuipers, L. and B. Meulenbeld, Some theorems in the theory of uniform distribution, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. v. Wetenschappen, 53, 305-308 (1950).
- 3. Kloosterman, H. D., Over de omkering van enkele limietstellingen, Mathematica B 8, pp. 1-11 (Zutphen, 1939-1940).