

# MATHEMATICS

## PROJECTIVE GEOMETRIZATION OF A SYSTEM OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, III: PROJECTIVE NORMAL SPACES

BY

V. HLAVATÝ

(Communicated by Prof. J. A. SCHOUTEN at the meeting of March 25, 1950)

*Synopsis.* This paper is a continuation of two previous papers with the same title which will be referred to as *PI* and *PII* (Kon. Ned. Akad. v. Wet., Proceedings Vol. 53, Nos 3 and 4, 1950). In the last section of *PII* we saw that the points  $\mathbf{n}$  are not appropriate to define "projective normal spaces". In this paper we use the results of *PI* and *PII* to find a set of ("privileged") points which (together with  $\mathbf{x}$ ) may be used for the definition of projective normal spaces. These spaces are defined in the last section of this paper.

### § 1. *Introductory notions.*

*Lemma* (1, 1). *The normal points (cf. § 4 in PI) satisfy the condition*

$$(1, 1) \quad \mathbf{n}_{a_r \dots a_1} = \mathbf{n}_{(a_r \dots a_1)}, \quad r = 2, \dots, N.$$

*Proof.* The symbols  $\left\{ P_{a'_r \dots a'_s}^{a_s} P_{a'_{s-1} \dots a'_1}^{a_{s-1}} \right\}$  and  $P_{a'_r \dots a'_s \dots a'_1}^{a_s \dots a_1}$  which appear in (1, 7) *PI* are obviously symmetric in their subscripts. If we replace in these symbols the derivatives  $P_{a'_q \dots a'_u}^{a_u}$  by  $\Gamma_{a_u \dots a_q}^{b_q}$  (which are symmetric in their subscripts) and the  $P_{a'}^b$  by  $\delta_a^b$  we obtain the symbols  $\left\{ \Gamma_{a_r \dots a_s}^{b_s} \delta_{a_{s-1} \dots a_1}^{b_{s-1} \dots b_1} \right\}$  and  $\gamma_{a_r \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1}$  symmetric in their subscripts. Consequently the normal points defined by (4, 2) *PI* satisfy (1, 1).

Another lemma to be used later on deals with the tensor  $Q_a^b = y^c \Gamma_{ac}^b$  (cf. Theorem (3, 4) in *PI*).

*Lemma* (1, 2). *If  $N > 2$  then*

$$(1, 2a) \quad y^c K_{(cba)}^d = y^c K_{cba}^d - \frac{2}{3} D_{(b} Q_{a)}^d$$

*and consequently if*

$$(1, 3) \quad Q_a^d = Q \delta_a^d, \quad Q = \text{const.}$$

*then*

$$(1, 4a) \quad y^c K_{(cba)}^d = y^c K_{cba}^d$$

$$(1, 4b) \quad y^c D_{(c} \mathbf{n}_{ba)} = y^c D_c \mathbf{n}_{ba}$$

Proof. We have

$$(1, 5) \quad -y^c \partial_c \Gamma_{ab}^d = \Gamma_{ab}^d, \quad -y^c \partial_a \Gamma_{bc}^d = \Gamma_{ba}^a - \partial_a Q_b^d.$$

On the other hand if  $N > 2$ , then  $K_{cba}^d$  may be thought of as defined by (2, 3) *PII* and this equation together with (1, 5) leads at once to (1, 2a), from which (1, 4a) follows by virtue of (1, 3). Using the equation (2, 2b) in *PII*, we see that

$$(1, 6) \quad y^c D_c \mathbf{n}_{ba} = y^c \mathbf{n}_{cba} + y^c K_{cba}^d \mathbf{x}_d$$

$$(1, 7) \quad y^c D_{(c} \mathbf{n}_{ba)} = y^c \mathbf{n}_{(cba)} + y^c K_{(cba)}^d \mathbf{x}_d.$$

The equation (1, 4b) follows from (1, 6), (1, 7), (1, 1) and (1, 4a).

In the following definition we use the projective tensors  $K$ 's (cf. § 1 in *PII*):

*Definition (1, 1).* A  $\mathfrak{P}_m$  will be referred to as symmetric if the following conditions hold:

I) The tensor  $Q_a^b$  satisfies the relation

$$(1, 8a) \quad Q_a^b = Q \delta_a^b$$

where  $Q \neq -1$  is a constant.

II) Among the tensors  $K$ 's there is at least one, say  $K_{a_{u+1} \dots a_1}^b$ , such that

$$(1, 8b) \quad K \equiv K_{a_{u+1} \dots a_1}^{a_{u+1}} y^{a_u} \dots y^{a_1} \neq 0.$$

III) If  $N > 3$  then <sup>1)</sup>

$$(1, 9) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a) \quad y^{a_r} D_{a_r} \mathbf{n}_{a_{r-1} \dots a_1} = y^{a_r} D_{(a_r} \mathbf{n}_{a_{r-1} \dots a_1)}^{2)} \quad (r=4, \dots, N, \mathbf{x}_a = \mathbf{n}_a) \\ b) \quad y^{a_p} D_{a_p} D_{(a_{p-1} \dots a_{s+1}} K_{a_s \dots a_1)}^c = \\ \quad y^{a_p} D_{(a_p \dots a_{s+1}} K_{a_s \dots a_1)}^c \quad (s=3, 4, \dots, N-1, p=s+1, \dots, N). \end{array} \right.$$

Throughout this paper we will deal with symmetric cases only without stating it explicitly.

One of the consequences of (1, 8a) and (1, 9a) is stated in the following lemma where we put

$$(1, 10) \quad c_r \equiv N + 1 - (r-1)(Q+1), \quad r=2, \dots, N.$$

*Lemma (1, 3).* If  $N \geq 2$ , then

$$(1, 11a) \quad y^b \mathbf{n}_{ba} = y^b \mathbf{n}_{(ba)} = c_2 \mathbf{x}_a.$$

<sup>1)</sup> The condition (1, 9a) is satisfied for  $r=2$  and if  $N > 2$  also for  $r=3$  (cf. the equation (1, 4b)).

<sup>2)</sup> We impose this condition in order to simplify the final results. The device used later on (cf. the equations (1, 12)) may easily be generalized for the case where (1, 9a) does not hold.

If  $N \geq 3$  then

$$(1, 11b) \quad y^c \mathbf{n}_{cba} = y^c \mathbf{n}_{(cba)} = c_3 \mathbf{n}_{ba} - y^c K_{(cba)}^d \mathbf{x}_d.$$

Moreover if  $N \geq 4$  then

$$(1, 11c) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} y^{ar} \mathbf{n}_{a_r \dots a_1} &= y^{ar} \mathbf{n}_{(a_r \dots a_1)} = c_r \mathbf{n}_{a_{r-1} \dots a_1} - \\ &- \sum_{s=2}^{r-2} y^{ar} \left\{ \left\{ K_{(a_r \dots a_s}^{b_s} \delta_{a_{s-1} \dots a_1}^{b_{s-1} \dots b_1} \right\} \right\} \mathbf{n}_{b_s \dots b_1} - y^{ar} K_{(a_r \dots a_1)}^b \mathbf{x}_b, \quad r=4, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Proof: The first equations (1, 11) follow at once from (1, 1) and

$$\mathbf{n}_{ba} = \mathbf{x}_{ba} - \Gamma_{ba}^c \mathbf{x}_c = \mathbf{n}_{ba}$$

Moreover we have by virtue of (1, 8a)

$$(1, 12a) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} y^{ar} D_{a_r} \mathbf{n}_{a_{r-1} \dots a_1} &= y^{ar} [\partial_{a_r} \mathbf{n}_{a_{r-1} \dots a_1} - \Gamma_{a_{r-1} a_r}^h \mathbf{n}_{ha_{r-2} \dots a_1} - \dots - \Gamma_{a_1 a_r}^h \mathbf{n}_{a_{r-1} \dots a_2 h}] \\ &= [N+1-(r-1)] \mathbf{n}_{a_{r-1} \dots a_1} - Q(r-1) \mathbf{n}_{a_{r-1} \dots a_1} = c_r \mathbf{n}_{a_{r-1} \dots a_1}. \\ &r=3, \dots, N \end{aligned} \right.$$

On the other hand if we use (2, 2) in *PII*, (1, 9a), (1, 1) and (1, 4) we obtain

$$(1, 12b) \quad \begin{aligned} y^{ar} [\mathbf{n}_{a_r \dots a_1} - D_{a_r} \mathbf{n}_{a_{r-1} \dots a_1}] &= y^{ar} [\mathbf{n}_{(a_r \dots a_1)} - D_{(a_r} \mathbf{n}_{a_{r-1} \dots a_1)}] = \\ &- \sum_{s=2}^{r-2} y^{ar} \left\{ \left\{ K_{(a_r \dots a_s}^{b_s} \delta_{a_{s-1} \dots a_1}^{b_{s-1} \dots b_1} \right\} \right\} \mathbf{n}_{b_s \dots b_1}^3 - y^{ar} K_{(a_r \dots a_1)}^b \mathbf{x}_b \quad r=3, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

The equations (1, 11b, c) follow at once from (1, 12a, b).

*Lemma* (1, 4). If for each  $r=2, \dots, N$  and  $q'=3, \dots, N$  we have

$$(1, 13) \quad a) \quad c_r \neq 0, \text{ (cf. (1, 10))} \quad b) \quad \mathbf{n}_{a_{q'} \dots a_1} y^{a_1} \dots y^{a_p} \neq 0, \quad (p=2, \dots, q')$$

then the osculating space  $\overset{s}{P}_{m_s}^4$  is spanned by the points  $\mathbf{n}_{a_s \dots a_1}$  ( $s=1, \dots, N$ ;  $\mathbf{x}_a \equiv \mathbf{n}_a$ ).

Proof. The equations (4, 2) in *PI* show that  $\overset{s}{P}_{m_s}$  may be thought of as spanned by the points

$$(1, 14) \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_a \equiv \mathbf{n}_a, \dots, \mathbf{n}_{a_{s-1} \dots a_1}, \mathbf{n}_{a_s \dots a_1}.$$

On the other hand  $\mathbf{x}$  is a linear combination of  $\mathbf{n}_a$ ,  $(N+1) \mathbf{x} = y^a \mathbf{n}_a$  and if (1, 13) hold then by virtue of (1, 11)  $\mathbf{n}_{a_{q-1} \dots a_1}$  is a linear combination of the points

$$\mathbf{n}_{a_q \dots a_1}, \mathbf{n}_{a_{q-2} \dots a_1}, \dots, \mathbf{n}_a \quad (q=2, \dots, N).$$

Hence the space spanned by the points (1, 14) is identical with the space spanned by  $\mathbf{n}_{a_s \dots a_1}$ .

<sup>3)</sup> For  $r=3$  one has to put  $\sum_{s=2}^{r-2} \equiv 0$ .

<sup>4)</sup> Cf. § 1 in *PI*.

In the next Lemma we use (1, 8b) and put

$$H_{a_u} \equiv \frac{1}{K} K_{a_{u+1} \dots a_1}^{a_{u+1}} y^{a_{u-1}} \dots y^{a_1}$$

so that we have

$$(1, 15) \quad y^a H_a = 1.$$

*Lemma (1, 5). The equation*

$$(1, 16) \quad H_a^c (\delta_b^a + y^a H_b) = \delta_b^c$$

*admits only one solution  $H_a^c$ . If we put*

$$(1, 17) \quad \begin{cases} a) & H_{ab}^c \equiv 2 H_{(a} H_{b)}^c = H_{(ab)}^c \\ b) & H_{a_{r+1} \dots a_1}^c \equiv H_{e(a_{r+1}}^c H_{a_r \dots a_1)}^e = H_{(a_{r+1} \dots a_1)}^c \quad (r=2, \dots, N-1) \end{cases}$$

*then we have*

$$(1, 18) \quad a) \quad y^a H_{ab}^c = \delta_b^c \quad b) \quad y^{a_{r+1}} H_{a_{r+1} \dots a_1}^c = H_{a_r \dots a_1}^c.$$

*Proof.* The projective tensor  $\delta_b^a + y^a H_b$  (homogeneous of degree 0) has obviously the rank  $m+1$ . Hence (1, 16) admits only one solution  $H_a^c$ . On the other hand we obtain from (1, 17a), (1, 17b) for  $r=2$ , (1, 15) and (1, 16),

$$(1, 19a) \quad y^a H_{ab}^c = H_b^c + H_b H_a^c y^a = H_a^c (\delta_b^a + y^a H_b) = \delta_b^c$$

$$(1, 19b) \quad \begin{cases} y^a H_{abc}^d = \frac{1}{3} y^a (H_{ea}^d H_{bc}^e + H_{eb}^d H_{ca}^e + H_{ec}^d H_{ab}^e) \\ \quad = \frac{1}{3} (\delta_e^d H_{bc}^e + H_{eb}^d \delta_c^e + H_{ec}^d \delta_b^e) = H_{bc}^d \end{cases}$$

and these equations prove (1, 18a) as well as (1, 18b) for  $r=2$ . The remaining equations (1, 18b) may be obtained by usual induction.

## § 2. Privileged points.

*Definition (2, 1). An object  $\Omega$  with the components  $\Omega_{a_q \dots a_1}^{a \dots b \dots}$  will be termed a privileged object if the equation*

$$(2, 1) \quad y^{a_s} \Omega_{a_q \dots a_1}^{a \dots b \dots} = 0 \quad (s=1, \dots, q)$$

*holds and is  $(x, y, g)$ -invariant (cf. Definition (1, 2) in PI).*

*Theorem (2, 1a). Let  $N \geq 2$ . Then*

$$(2, 2a) \quad \frac{N_{ab} = N_{(ab)} = \mathbf{n}_{ab} - c_{21} H_{ab}^c \mathbf{x}_c}{(c_{21} = c_2)}$$

*are privileged points,*

$$(2, 3a) \quad y^a N_{ab} = y^a N_{ba} = 0$$

*homogeneous of degree  $N-1$*

$$(2, 4a) \quad \dot{N}_{ab} = g^{(N-1)} N_{ab}.$$

*Proof.* We have from (2, 2a) by virtue of (1, 18a) and (1, 11a)

$$y^a N_{ab} = y^a N_{(ab)} = (c_2 - c_{21}) \mathbf{x}_b$$

and consequently if we put  $c_{21} = c_2$  we have (2, 3a). This equation is obviously  $(x, y)$ -invariant. Because  $H_{ab}^d$  is homogeneous of degree  $-1$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_c$  homogeneous of degree  $N$  and  $\mathbf{n}_{ab}$  homogeneous of degree  $N-1$ , we obtain (2, 4a). Hence (2, 3a) is also  $g$ -invariant.

*Theorem (2, 1b). Let  $N \geq 3$ . Then (2, 2a) and*

$$(2, 2b) \quad \frac{\mathbf{N}_{cba} = \mathbf{N}_{(cba)} = \mathbf{n}_{cba} - c_{32} H_{(bc}^e \delta_a^f \mathbf{N}_{ef} - (c_{21} c_3 H_{(cba)}^e - K_{(cba)}^e) \mathbf{x}_e}{c_{32} = \frac{3c_3}{2}}$$

*are privileged points*

$$(2, 3b) \quad y^{a_s} \mathbf{N}_{a_s a_1} = 0 \quad s = 1, 2, 3$$

*and the points (2, 2b) are homogeneous of degree  $N-2$*

$$(2, 4b) \quad \dot{\mathbf{N}}_{cba} = g^{(N-2)} \mathbf{N}_{cba}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $N \geq 3$  and consider the equation

$$(2, 5a) \quad \mathbf{N}_{cba} = \mathbf{n}_{cba} - Q_{cba}^{de} \mathbf{N}_{de} - Q_{cba}^d \mathbf{x}_d$$

where the  $Q$ 's are to be found. Using (1, 11b) and (2, 2a) we obtain

$$(2, 5b) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} y^c \mathbf{N}_{cba} &= c_3 \mathbf{n}_{ba} - y^c K_{(cba)}^d \mathbf{x}_d - y^c [Q_{cba}^{de} \mathbf{N}_{de} + Q_{cba}^d \mathbf{x}_d] \\ &= (c_3 \delta_{(ba)}^{de} - y^c Q_{cba}^{de}) \mathbf{N}_{de} + [c_3 c_{21} H_{ba}^d - y^c K_{(cba)}^d - y^c Q_{cba}^d] \mathbf{x}_d. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Because

$$y^c H_{cba}^d = y^c H_{(cba)}^d = H_{ba}^d$$

the tensor

$$(2, 6a) \quad Q_{cba}^d \equiv c_3 c_{21} H_{cba}^d - K_{(cba)}^d = Q_{(cba)}^d$$

reduces the last member on the right hand side to zero. On the other hand we have by virtue of (2, 3a)

$$\begin{aligned} 3 y^c H_{(cb}^d \delta_a^e \mathbf{N}_{de} &= y^c (H_{cb}^d \delta_a^e + H_{ba}^d \delta_c^e + H_{ac}^d \delta_b^e) \mathbf{N}_{de} \\ &= (\delta_{ba}^{de} + \delta_{ab}^{de}) \mathbf{N}_{de} = 2 \delta_{(ba)}^{de} \mathbf{N}_{de}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the tensor

$$(2, 6b) \quad Q_{cba}^{de} \equiv \frac{3c_3}{2} H_{(cb}^d \delta_a^e = Q_{(cba)}^{de}$$

reduces the first member on the right hand side of (2, 5b) to zero so that we have  $y^c \mathbf{N}_{cba} = 0$ . This equation together with  $\mathbf{N}_{cba} = \mathbf{N}_{(cba)}$  (which we obtain from (2, 5a) and (2, 6)) leads to (2, 3b). The remaining part of the theorem is very easily proved.

*Note.* If  $N > 3$  then

$$y^d H_{dcba}^e = H_{cba}^e$$

and by virtue of (1, 8a) and (1, 9b) (used for the first time) for  $s = 3$ ,  $p = 4$

$$(2, 7) \quad y^d D_{(d} K_{cba)}^e = y^d D_d K_{(cba)}^e = -2(Q+1) K_{(cba)}^e.$$

Hence  $Q_{cba}^a$  as defined by (2, 6a) satisfies the relation

$$(2, 8a) \quad Q_{cba}^e = y^a P_{dcb a}^e$$

where

$$(2, 8b) \quad P_{dcb a}^e = P_{(dcb a)}^e \equiv c_3 c_{21} H_{dcb a}^e + \frac{1}{2(Q+1)} D_{(d} K_{cba)}^e.$$

In the next section we shall generalize this equation in order to be able to generalize the results of Theorems (2, 1).

### § 3. Auxiliary Lemma.

In the following lemma we use the abbreviations

$$(3, 1) \quad \begin{aligned} a) \quad & H_{a_u \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1} \equiv H_{(a_u \dots a_s}^{b_s} \delta_{a_{s-1} \dots a_1)}^{b_{s-1} \dots b_1} \quad ^5) \\ b) \quad & k_{a_u+1 \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1} \equiv \left\{ \left\{ K_{(a_u+1 \dots a_s}^{b_s} \delta_{a_{s-1} \dots a_1)}^{b_{s-1} \dots b_1} \right\} \right\} \\ c) \quad & k_{a_u+1 \dots a_1}^{b_1} \equiv K_{(a_u+1 \dots a_1)}^{b_1} \\ & (u = 2, \dots, N; \quad s = 2, \dots, u-1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3, 1d) \quad \begin{cases} c_{r, r-1} \equiv \frac{rc_r}{2}, & c'_r \equiv c_r k_r, \\ r = 2, \dots, N & r = 3, \dots, N \end{cases}$$

where  $k_3 = 1$  and  $k_r$  for  $r > 3$  is the number taken from the equation ( $r = 4, \dots, N$ )

$$(k_r y^{a_r} H_{a_r a_{r-1} a_{r-2} \dots a_1}^{b_{r-2} \dots b_1} - H_{a_{r-1} a_{r-2} \dots a_1}^{b_{r-2} \dots b_1}) t_{(b_{r-2} \dots b_1)} = 0$$

which holds for any privileged tensor  $t_{(b_{r-2} \dots b_1)}$  whatsoever. Moreover, if  $A^{\dots a \dots}$  and  $B^{\dots a \dots}$  are two tensors which satisfy the equation

$$(3, 1e) \quad (A^{\dots a \dots} - B^{\dots a \dots}) t_{\dots a \dots} = 0$$

for any privileged tensor  $t_{\dots a \dots}$  whatsoever, then we write

$$(3, 1f) \quad A^{\dots a \dots} \cong B^{\dots a \dots}.$$

*Lemma (3, 1).* If  $N \geq 4$  and if a set of tensors  $Q$  satisfies the following conditions

$$(3, 2) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} a) \quad & Q_{a_u a_{u-1} \dots a_1}^{c_{u-1} \dots c_1} \equiv c_{u u-1} H_{a_u a_{u-1} \dots a_1}^{c_{u-1} \dots c_1} \quad u = 2, \dots, N \\ b) \quad & Q_{a_v a_{v-1} a_{v-2} \dots a_1}^{c_{v-2} \dots c_1} \equiv c_{v-1 v-2} c'_v H_{a_v a_{v-1} a_{v-2} \dots a_1}^{c_{v-2} \dots c_1} - k_{a_v a_{v-1} a_{v-2} \dots a_1}^{c_{v-2} \dots c_1} \quad v = 3, \dots, N \quad ^6) \\ c) \quad & y^{a_w} Q_{a_w \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} = c_w Q_{a_w-1 \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \\ & - y^{a_w} \left[ k_{a_w \dots a_s \dots a_{s-1}}^{c_s \dots c_1} + \sum_{s+1}^{w-2} k_{a_w \dots a_q \dots a_1}^{b_q \dots b_1} Q_{b_q \dots b_s \dots b_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \right] \quad ^6), \quad w = 4, \dots, N \\ & \quad \quad \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, w-3 \end{aligned} \right.$$

<sup>5)</sup>  $H_{a_u \dots a_1}^{b_1}$  is defined by (1, 17).

<sup>6)</sup> For  $c_r$  cf. (1, 10).

then the equation

$$(3, 3) \quad y^a P_{a_w \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \cong Q_{a_{w-1} \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \quad w = 4, \dots, N; \quad s = 1, \dots, w-3$$

admits a solution  $P_{a_w \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} = P_{(a_w \dots a_s \dots a_1)}^{c_s \dots c_1}$  homogeneous of degree  $s - w$ , which is a function of the  $H$ 's,  $K$ 's as well as of the derivatives up to  $D_{a_w \dots} D_{a_{q+1}} k_{a_q \dots a_1}^c$  ( $q = 3, \dots, w - 1$ )<sup>7)</sup> and consequently  $Q_{a_w \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1}$  satisfies the equation

$$(3, 2d) \quad \begin{cases} Q_{a_w \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \cong c_w P_{a_w \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} - [k_{a_w \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} + \\ + \sum_{s+1}^{w-2} k_{a_w \dots a_q \dots a_1}^{b_q \dots b_1} Q_{a_q \dots b_s \dots b_1}^{c_s \dots c_1}] \end{cases} \quad w = 4, \dots, N, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, w-3.$$

Proof. We see from Theorems (2, 1) that the conditions (3, 2a, b) for  $u = 2, 3$ ,  $v = 3$  are satisfied<sup>7a)</sup> while (3, 2c) reduces for  $w = 4$  to

$$(3, 4a) \quad y^d Q_{dcb a}^e = c_4 Q_{cba}^e - y^d [K_{(dcb a)}^e + c_{21} k_{dcb a}^{ij} H_{ij}^e]$$

The equation (3, 3) (for  $w = 4$ ) is equivalent to (2, 8a), where  $P$  is given by (2, 8b) so that we have

$$(3, 5) \quad Q_{dcb a}^e = c_4 \left[ c_3 c_{21} H_{dcb a}^e + \frac{1}{2(Q+1)} D_{(d} K_{cba)}^e \right] - (K_{(dcb a)}^e + c_{21} k_{dcb a}^{ij} H_{ij}^e)$$

which proves our lemma for  $w = 4$  (and  $s = 1 = w - 3$ ). For the case  $w = 5 \leq N$  we have to consider  $Q_{a_5 \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1}$ ,  $s = 1, 2$ . The tensor  $Q_{a_4 \dots a_2 a_1}^{b_2 b_1}$  which appears in (3, 2c) for  $w = 5$ ,  $s = 2$  is given by (3, 2b) for  $v = 4$ . Because of (2, 7) and (1, 9b) we have

$$(3, 6a) \quad \begin{cases} y^{a_5} D_{a_5} k_{a_4 a_2 a_1}^{b_2 b_1} = 3 y^{a_5} D_{a_5} K_{(a_4 a_2 a_1)}^{b_2} \delta_{a_1}^{b_1} = \\ \cong \frac{1}{4} y^{a_5} D_{(a_5} K_{a_4 a_2 a_1)}^{b_2} \delta_{a_1}^{b_1} = \frac{5}{4} y^{a_5} D_{(a_5} k_{a_4 a_2 a_1)}^{b_2 b_1} \end{cases}$$

$$(3, 6b) \quad 3 y^{a_5} D_{a_5} K_{(a_4 a_2 a_1)}^{b_2} \delta_{a_1}^{b_1} = -3.2(1+Q) K_{(a_4 a_2 a_1)}^{b_2} \delta_{a_1}^{b_1} = -2(1+Q) k_{a_4 a_2 a_1}^{b_2 b_1}$$

and

$$(3, 6c) \quad y^{a_5} H_{a_4 a_2 a_1}^{b_2 b_1} \cong \frac{4}{5} H_{a_4 a_2 a_1}^{b_2 b_1}.$$

Consequently

$$(3, 7a) \quad Q_{a_5 a_4 a_2 a_1}^{b_2 b_1} \cong c_5 P_{a_5 a_4 a_2 a_1}^{b_2 b_1} - (k_{a_5 a_4 a_2 a_1}^{b_2 b_1} + k_{a_5 a_4 a_2 a_1}^{b_2 b_1} Q_{b_2 b_1}^{c_2 c_1})$$

where

$$(3, 7b) \quad P_{a_5 a_4 a_2 a_1}^{b_2 b_1} = P_{(a_5 a_4 a_2 a_1)}^{b_2 b_1} = \frac{5}{4} \left[ c_{32} c_4' H_{a_5 a_4 a_2 a_1}^{b_2 b_1} + \frac{1}{2(1+Q)} D_{(a_5} k_{a_4 a_2 a_1)}^{b_2 b_1} \right]$$

and these two equations prove our lemma for  $w = 5 \leq N$  and  $s = 2$ . In

<sup>7)</sup> Its construction will be given in the proof.

<sup>7a)</sup> cf. the equations (2, 5) and (2, 6).

order to complete the proof for  $w = 5$  and  $s = 1$ , we use the relationships deduced from (1, 8a) and (1, 9b)

$$\begin{aligned} y^{a_s} D_{(a_s} D_{a_s} K_{a_s a_s a_1)}^b &= y^{a_s} D_{a_s} D_{(a_s} K_{a_s a_s a_1)}^{b_1} = -3(1+Q) D_{(a_s} K_{a_s a_s a_1)}^{b_1} \\ y^{a_s} D_{(a_s} K_{a_s a_s a_1)}^{b_1} &= y^{a_s} D_{a_s} K_{(a_s a_s a_1)}^b = -3(1+Q) K_{(a_s a_s a_1)}^{b_1} \end{aligned}$$

so that we have by virtue of (3, 5) and (3, 6)

$$(3, 8a) \quad Q_{a_s a_s a_1}^{b_1} = y^{a_s} P_{a_s \dots a_1}^{b_1}$$

where

$$(3, 8b) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} P_{a_s \dots a_1}^{c_1} &= P_{(a_s \dots a_1)}^{c_1} \cong c_4 \left[ c_3 c_{21} H_{a_s \dots a_1}^{c_1} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3 (1+Q)^2} D_{(a_s} D_{a_s} K_{a_s a_s a_1)}^{c_1} \right] \\ &+ \left[ \frac{1}{3(Q+1)} D_{(a_s} K_{a_s \dots a_1)}^{b_1} + \frac{5 c_{21}}{8(1+Q)} D_{(a_s} k_{a_s a_s a_1)}^{b_1 b_1} H_{c_3 b_1}^{b_1} \right] \end{aligned} \right.$$

and consequently

$$(3, 8c) \quad Q_{a_s \dots a_1}^{c_1} \cong c_5 P_{a_s \dots a_1}^{c_1} - \left( k_{a_s \dots a_1}^{c_1} + \sum_2^3 k_{a_{w'} \dots a_{q'} a_1}^{b_q \dots b_1} Q_{b_q \dots b_1}^{c_1} \right).$$

The equations (3, 8) prove the lemma for  $w = 5 \leq N$ ,  $s = 1$ . Let us now suppose that we already proved the lemma for all  $x = 4, 5, \dots, w' < N$ . Then we have in particular

$$(3, 9) \quad Q_{a_{w'} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \cong c_{w'} P_{a_{w'} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} - \left[ k_{a_{w'} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} + \sum_{s+1}^{w'-2} k_{a_{w'} \dots a_{q'} a_1}^{b_q \dots b_1} Q_{b_q \dots b_{s'} b_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \right]$$

$$s = 1, \dots, w' - 3$$

where  $P_{a_{w'} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} = P_{(a_{w'} \dots a_{s'} a_1)}^{c_s \dots c_1}$  is a function of the  $H$ 's and  $K$ 's as well as of the derivatives up to  $D_{a_{w'} \dots} D_{a_{q+1}} k_{a_q \dots a_1}^{b_1}$ , ( $q = 3, \dots, w' - 1$ ) and

$$(3, 10) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} y^{a_{w'+1}} Q_{a_{w'+1} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} &= c_{w'+1} Q_{a_{w'+1} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \\ &- y^{a_{w'+1}} \left[ k_{a_{w'+1} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} + \sum_{s+1}^{w'-1} k_{a_{w'+1} \dots a_{q'} a_1}^{b_q \dots b_1} Q_{b_q \dots b_{s'} b_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \right] \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$s = 1, 2, \dots, w' - 2.$$

Using now the conditions (1, 8a) and (1, 9b) we prove by the same argument as before that

$$(3, 11) \quad Q_{a_{w'+1} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \cong y^{a_{w'+1}} P_{a_{w'+1} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \quad s = 1, \dots, w' - 3$$

where  $P_{a_{w'+1} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} = P_{(a_{w'+1} \dots a_{s'} a_1)}^{c_s \dots c_1}$  is a function of the  $H$ 's and  $k$ 's as well as of the derivatives up to  $D_{a_{w'+1} \dots} D_{a_{q+1}} k_{a_q \dots a_1}^{b_1}$  ( $q = 3, \dots, w'$ ). Hence we have from (3, 10) and (3, 11) the equation

$$(3, 12) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} Q_{a_{w'+1} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1} &\cong c_{w'+1} P_{a_{w'+1} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} - \\ &- \left( k_{a_{w'+1} \dots a_{s'} a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} + \sum_{s+1}^{w'-1} k_{a_{w'+1} \dots a_{q'} a_1}^{b_q \dots b_1} Q_{b_q \dots b_{s'} b_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} \right) \end{aligned} \right.$$



for  $s = 1, \dots, w' - 3$ . The equation (3, 12) for  $s = w' - 2$  may be obtained by a similar argument based on (3, 2b) for  $v = w'$ . The induction based on these results proves our Lemma but for the statement of the homogeneity of the  $P$ 's. In order to prove this statement we observe first from (3, 2a, b) that  $Q_{a_u a_{u-1} \dots a_1}^{b_{u-1} \dots b_1}$  resp.  $Q_{a_u \dots a_{u-2} \dots a_1}^{b_{u-2} \dots b_1}$  is homogeneous of degree  $-1$  resp.  $-2$ . Hence from (3, 2c) for  $s = w - 3$  we see that  $Q_{a_w \dots a_{w-3} \dots a_1}^{b_{w-3} \dots b_1}$  must be homogeneous of degree  $-3$ . Consequently, from the same equation for  $w = x - 1$  we obtain by the same argument that  $Q_{a_x \dots a_{x-4} \dots a_1}^{b_{x-4} \dots b_1}$  is homogeneous of degree  $-4$ . Proceeding in the same way we arrive at the conclusion that  $Q_{a_w \dots a_{s+1} \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1}$  is homogeneous of degree  $s - w$ , ( $w = 4, \dots, N$ ;  $s = 1, \dots, w - 3$ ). Hence  $P_{a_w \dots a_{s+1} \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1}$  which satisfies (3, 3) must be by virtue of (3, 2d) and (3, 3) homogeneous of degree  $s - w$ .

#### § 4. Privileged points. Continuation.

Lemma (3, 1) enables us to prove the following

*Theorem (4, 1). Let  $N \geq 4$  and let the tensors  $Q$  be defined by (3, 2a, b, c, d). Then the points*

$$(4, 1a) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N_{a_r \dots a_1} = N_{(a_r \dots a_1)} \equiv n_{a_r \dots a_1} - \sum_{s=1}^{r-1} Q_{a_r \dots a_{s+1} \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1} N_{b_s \dots b_1}, \\ (r = 2, \dots, N; N_a \equiv x_a) \end{array} \right.$$

*are privileged points*

$$(4, 1b) \quad y^{a_p} N_{a_r \dots a_1} = 0 \quad p = 1, \dots, r$$

*homogeneous of degree  $N + 1 - r$*

$$(4, 1c) \quad \dot{N}_{a_r \dots a_1} = g^{(N+1-r)} N_{a_r \dots a_1}.$$

*The proof may be accomplished in four steps:*

a) Theorems (2, 1) are particular cases of our theorem for  $r = 2$  resp.  $r = 3$ <sup>8)</sup>. Let us assume that we proved our Theorem for all  $x = 4, \dots, r' - 1, r' \leq N$ .

b) Denote by  $Q_{a_{r'} \dots a_{s+1} \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1}$  a set of unknown tensors and consider the points

$$(4, 2) \quad N_{a_{r'} \dots a_1} \equiv n_{a_{r'} \dots a_1} - \sum_{s=1}^{r'-1} Q_{a_{r'} \dots a_{s+1} \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1} N_{b_s \dots b_1}.$$

<sup>8)</sup> For  $r=2$  the equations (3, 2, b, c, d) do not exist. For  $r=3$  the equations (3, 2c, d) do not exist (cf. the equation (2, 8a)).

Using Lemma (1, 3) and the equations (4, 1a) (where instead of  $r$  we put  $x = 2, 3, \dots, r' - 1$ ) we obtain from (4, 2)

$$(4, 3a) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & y^{a_{r'}} N_{a_{r'} \dots a_1} \equiv (c_{r'} \delta_{(a_{r'} - 1 \dots a_1)}^{b_{r'} - 1 \dots b_1} - y^{a_{r'}} Q_{a_{r'} a_{r'} - 1 \dots a_1}^{b_{r'} - 1 \dots b_1}) N_{b_{r'} - 1 \dots b_1} \\ & + [c_{r'} Q_{a_{r'} - 1 a_{r'} - 2 \dots a_1}^{b_{r'} - 2 \dots b_1} - y^{a_{r'}} (k_{a_{r'} \dots a_{r'} - 2 \dots a_1}^{b_{r'} - 2 \dots b_1} + Q_{a_{r'} \dots a_{r'} - 2 \dots a_1}^{b_{r'} - 2 \dots b_1})] N_{b_{r'} - 2 \dots b_1} \\ & + \sum_1^{r' - 3} [c_{r'} Q_{a_{r'} - 1 \dots a_{s+1} a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} - y^{a_{r'}} (Q_{a_{r'} \dots a_{s+1} a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} + k_{a_{r'} \dots a_{s+1} a_s \dots a_1}^{c_s \dots c_1} + \\ & + \sum_{s+1}^{r' - 2} k_{a_{r'} \dots a_{s+1} a_{s+2} \dots a_1}^{b_{s+2} \dots b_1} Q_{b_{s+2} \dots b_1}^{c_s \dots c_1})] N_{c_s \dots c_1}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

On the other hand we have according to (3, 1a), (1, 18b) and *by virtue* of (4, 1b) (for  $r' - 1$  instead of  $r$ )

$$(4, 4a) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & y^{a_{r'}} H_{a_{r'} a_{r'} - 1 \dots a_1}^{b_{r'} - 1 \dots b_1} N_{b_{r'} - 1 \dots b_1} = y^{a_{r'}} H_{(a_{r'} a_{r'} - 1}^{b_{r'} - 1} \delta_{a_{r'} - 2 \dots a_1}^{b_{r'} - 2 \dots b_1} N_{b_{r'} - 1 \dots b_1} \\ & = \frac{2}{r'} \delta_{(a_{r'} - 1 \dots a_1)}^{b_{r'} - 1 \dots b_1} N_{b_{r'} - 1 \dots b_1} \end{aligned} \right.$$

and moreover according to (3, 2a) for  $u = r' - 1$ <sup>9)</sup>

$$(4, 4b) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} & c_{r'} Q_{a_{r'} - 1 a_{r'} - 2 \dots a_1}^{b_{r'} - 2 \dots b_1} N_{b_{r'} - 2 \dots b_1} = c_{r'} c_{r' - 1} H_{a_{r'} - 1 a_{r'} - 2 \dots a_1}^{b_{r'} - 2 \dots b_1} N_{b_{r'} - 2 \dots b_1} \\ & = c'_{r'} c_{r' - 1} y^{a_{r'}} H_{a_{r'} a_{r'} - 1 a_{r'} - 2 \dots a_1}^{b_{r'} - 2 \dots b_1} N_{b_{r'} - 2 \dots b_1}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Hence if we impose on  $Q_{a_{r'} \dots a_{s+1} a_s \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1}$  ( $s = 1, \dots, r' - 1$ ) the conditions (3, 2a, b, c) for  $u = v = w = r'$  then we obtain

$$(4, 3b) \quad y^{a_{r'}} N_{a_{r'} \dots a_1} = 0.$$

Moreover from (3, 2a, b, c) for  $u = v = w = r'$  we obtain (3, 2d). Hence all tensors  $Q_{a_{r'} \dots a_{s+1} a_s \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1}$  are symmetric in their subscripts so that we have according to (4, 2)

$$N_{a_{r'} \dots a_1} = N_{(a_{r'} \dots a_1)}.$$

This equation together with (4, 3b) leads to

$$(4, 3c) \quad y^{a_p} N_{a_{r'} \dots a_1} = 0 \quad p = 1, \dots, r'.$$

On the other hand the  $Q_{a_{r'} \dots a_{s+1} a_s \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1}$  ( $s = 1, \dots, r' - 1$ ) defined by (3, 2a, b, c, d) are homogeneous of degree  $s - r'$ . Hence  $N_{a_{r'} \dots a_1}$  are homogeneous of degree  $N + 1 - r'$ .

<sup>9)</sup> According to our assumption in the section a) of the proof, the equation (3, 2a), resp. (3, 2b), resp. (3, 2c, d) exist for  $u = 2, \dots, r' - 1$ , resp.  $v = 3, \dots, r' - 1$ , resp.  $w = 4, \dots, r' - 1$ .

c) Starting with Theorems (2, 1) and applying the same arguments as in b), we easily prove the statements of our theorems for all  $x = 4, \dots, r' - 1$ . Hence the assumption of section a) is fulfilled.

d) The usual induction based on the assumption of the section a) and on the results of section b) proves our theorem for  $r = 2, \dots, N$ .

### § 5. Projective normal spaces.

*Definition (5, 1).* The space spanned by the points  $\mathbf{x}, N_{a_r \dots a_1}$  will be denoted by  $\bar{N}_{n_{r-1}}^{r-1}$  and referred to as the  $(r-1)$ st projective normal space of our  $\mathfrak{P}_m$ , ( $r = 2, \dots, N$ ).

*Theorem (5, 1).* The normal space  $\bar{N}_{n_{r-1}}^{r-1}$  has the following properties

- a) It is  $(x, y, g)$ -invariant.
- b) It is contained in the osculating space  $\bar{P}_{m_r}^r$  and intersects  $\bar{P}_{m_s}^s$  ( $s < r$ ) only in  $\mathbf{x}$ .
- c) If

$$(5, 1) \quad a) \quad c_2 c_3 \dots c_r \neq 0, \quad b) \quad n_{a_q \dots a_1} y^{a_1} \dots y^{a_p} \neq 0, \\ q = 3, \dots, r; p = 2, \dots, r$$

then its dimension is

$$(5, 2) \quad n_{r-1} = m_r - m_{r-1}.$$

*Proof.* Because the points  $\mathbf{x}, N_{a_r \dots a_1}$  are  $(x, y)$ -invariant,  $\bar{N}_{n_{r-1}}^{r-1}$  is obviously  $(x, y)$ -invariant. Because  $\mathbf{x}, N_{a_r \dots a_1}$  are homogeneous (of degree  $N+1$  resp.  $N+1-r$ ) the space spanned by them must be  $g$ -invariant.

On the other hand using (4, 1a) as well as the equations (4, 2) in  $PI$  we see that  $N_{a_r \dots a_1}$  may be expressed in the following way

$$(5, 3) \quad N_{a_r \dots a_1} \equiv \mathbf{x}_{a_r \dots a_1} + \sum_1^{r-1} \Omega_{a_r \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1} \mathbf{x}_{b_s \dots b_1}.$$

Hence all points  $N_{a_r \dots a_1}$  are in  $\bar{P}_{m_r}^r$  and consequently  $\bar{N}_{n_{r-1}}^{r-1} \subset \bar{P}_{m_r}^r$ . Moreover if some point  $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{x}$  of  $\bar{N}_{n_{r-1}}^{r-1}$  is in  $\bar{P}_{m_q}^q$  ( $q < r$ ) then according to (5, 3) it must be a linear combination of points  $y^{a_r} \dots y^{a_{q+1}} N_{a_r \dots a_1}$ . Because  $N_{a_r \dots a_1} \equiv N_{(a_r \dots a_1)}$  are privileged points, we have

$$y^{a_r} \dots y^{a_{q+1}} N_{a_r \dots a_1} = 0$$

and consequently there is no point  $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{x}$  of  $\bar{N}_{n_{r-1}}^{r-1}$  in  $\bar{P}_{m_q}^q$  ( $q < r$ ). Consider now the equations

$$(5, 4) \quad \begin{cases} a) \quad n_{a_r \dots a_1} = N_{a_r \dots a_1} + M_{a_r \dots a_1} \\ b) \quad M_{a_r \dots a_1} \equiv \sum_1^{r-1} Q_{a_r \dots a_s \dots a_1}^{b_s \dots b_1} N_{b_s \dots b_1}, \quad (N_a \equiv \mathbf{x}_a) \end{cases}$$

(equivalent with (4, 1a)) and denote by  $\overleftarrow{P}_{m_{r-1}}' \subset \overleftarrow{P}_{m_{r-1}}$  the space spanned by the points  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{M}_{a_r \dots a_1}$ . Suppose  $m'_{r-1} < m_{r-1}$ . Since  $\overleftarrow{N}_{n_{r-1}}^{-1}$  intersects  $\overleftarrow{P}_{m_q}^q$  ( $q < r$ ) only in  $\mathbf{x}$ , the space spanned by the points  $\mathbf{n}_{a_r \dots a_1}$ , which satisfy (5, 4a), can not be  $\overleftarrow{P}_{m_r}$  for  $m'_{r-1} < m_{r-1}$  and this together with (5, 1) contradicts Lemma (1, 4). Because we can not have  $m'_{r-1} > m_{r-1}$  and the assumption  $m'_{r-1} < m_{r-1}$  is a contradictory one, we must have  $m'_{r-1} = m_{r-1}$ , so that the space spanned by the points  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{M}_{a_r \dots a_1}$  is the osculating space  $\overleftarrow{P}_{m_{r-1}}^{-1}$ . Hence we see from (5, 4a) and from the statement b) that (5, 2) holds.

Note: The points  $\mathbf{N}_{(a_r \dots a_1)}$  ( $r = 2, \dots, N$ ) which (together with  $\mathbf{x}$ ) span the normal space  $\overleftarrow{N}_{n_{r-1}}^{-1}$  are linearly dependent even in the maximal case (cf. equation (4, 1b)):

*Theorem (5, 2). In the maximal case the points  $\mathbf{N}_{(a_r \dots a_1)}$  are linearly "interdependent", e.g. any of their linear combination which is equal to zero must be built up as a linear combination of  $y^a \mathbf{N}_{a_r \dots a_1}$  ( $p = 1, \dots, r$ ).*

*Proof.* Introduce a special parameter system for which  $y^a = \delta_0^a$  at  $P$ . Then (5, 3) reduces to

$$(5, 5) \quad \mathbf{N}_{(a_r \dots a_1)} = \mathbf{x}_{(a_r \dots a_1)} + \sum_1^{r-1} \Omega_{(a_r \dots a_s \dots a_1)}^{b_s \dots b_1} \mathbf{x}_{b_s \dots b_1} \text{ at } P$$

$$(a_1, \dots, a_r = 1, \dots, m)$$

while the remaining equations (5, 3) reduce to identity  $0 = 0$ . In order to prove our theorem, it is sufficient to prove that  $\mathbf{N}_{(a_r \dots a_1)}$  are linearly independent: The points  $\mathbf{x}_{(a_r \dots a_1)}$  span  $\overleftarrow{P}_{m_r}$  while the points  $\mathbf{x}_{(a_r o \dots o a_{s-1} \dots a_1)}$  span  $\overleftarrow{P}_{m_s}^s$ . Hence in the maximal case [where the points  $\mathbf{x}_{(a_r \dots a_1)}$  are linearly independent] the points  $\mathbf{x}_{(a_r \dots a_1)}$  are linearly independent and span (together with  $\mathbf{x}$ ) a  $n_{r-1}$ -dimensional space<sup>10)</sup>  $M_{n_{r-1}} \subset \overleftarrow{P}_{m_r}$  not contained in  $\overleftarrow{P}_{m_{r-1}}^{-1}$ . Consequently by virtue of (5, 5) the points  $\mathbf{N}_{(a_r \dots a_1)}$  are linearly independent.

Note. Suppose  $m = 1$ . Because  $y^a \mathbf{x}_a = (N+1) \mathbf{x}$ , the points  $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1$  are on the tangential line (the first osculating space  $\overleftarrow{P}_1^1$ ) of  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ . Introduce a parameter system  $y^a$  for which  $y^a = \delta_0^a$  at  $\mathbf{x}$ . Then we have in this parameter system

$$0 = y^a \mathbf{N}_{ab} = \mathbf{N}_{0b} \text{ at } \mathbf{x}.$$

<sup>10)</sup>  $n_{r-1} = \binom{m+r-1}{r} = \binom{m+r}{r} - \binom{m+r-1}{r-1} = m_r - m_{r-1}$ .

Hence the set of points  $N_{ab}$  reduces here to  $N_{11}$  and the space  $\overset{1}{N}_1$  spanned by  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $N_{ab}$  is the line which joins the points  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $N_{11}$  (the first projective normal). Because  $\overset{1}{N}_1$  is  $\gamma$ -invariant it does not depend on the choice of parameters. Hence if we chose again an arbitrary parameter system, we obtain the same straight line  $\overset{1}{N}_1$  which contains the points  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $N_{ab}$ . It may be easily proved by the same argument that the space  $\overset{r-1}{N}_{n_{r-1}}$  is a straight line (the  $(r-1)$ st projective normal) which contains the points  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $N_{a_r \dots a_1}$  ( $r = 2, \dots, N$ ).

*Indiana University  
Department of Mathematics*

*Bloomington (Indiana), U.S.A.*